

UNIVERSITY OF
CAMBRIDGE

MATHEMATICS TRIPOS

Part III

**Lie Algebras and Their
Representations**

Michaelmas, 2019

Lectures by

I. GROJNOWSKI

Notes by

QIANGRU KUANG

Contents

1	Introduction & Motivation	2
2	Representations of \mathfrak{sl}_2	9
2.1	Consequences	14
3	Structure and Classification of simple Lie algebras	16
4	Structure theory of semisimple Lie algebras	23
5	Root systems	29
	Index	32

1 Introduction & Motivation

The objects of interest in this course are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{SL}_n &= \{A \in \mathrm{Mat}_n : \det A = 1\} \\ \mathrm{SO}_n &= \{A \in \mathrm{SL}_n : AA^T = I\} \\ \mathrm{Sp}_{2n} &= \dots\end{aligned}$$

and five more examples. First of all they are algebraic groups.

We have $\mathrm{SU}_2 \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2$. Note that SU_2 is homeomorphic to S^3 and so is compact. In fact it is maximal compact and every maximal compact subgroup of SL_2 is conjugate to SU_2 .

We will look at the tangent space of the group at the identity, which is just a finite-dimensional vector space.

Definition. A *linear algebraic group* is a subgroup of Mat_n which is defined by polynomial equations in the matrix coefficients.

For example SL_n and SO_n are linear algebraic groups. GL_n is also an example as we have embedding

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{GL}_n &\rightarrow \mathrm{Mat}_{n+1} \\ A &\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & \lambda \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

where the image is given by $\det A \cdot \lambda = 1$.

Example. Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_2$ and let

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} + \dots$$

so

$$\det g = 1 + \varepsilon(a + d) + \text{higher terms}$$

so $\det g = 1$ if and only if $a + d = 0$ if we pretend to be physicists for a second. Now introduce the dual numbers

$$E = \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2) = \{a + b\varepsilon : a, b \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

If G is an algebraic group then we define

$$G(E) = \{A \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(E) : A \text{ satisfies the defining equations of } G\}.$$

Then

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(E) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} : \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in E, \alpha\delta - \beta\gamma = 1 \right\}$$

Now the map $E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \varepsilon \mapsto 0$ defines a map $\pi : G(E) \rightarrow G$. We define the *Lie algebra* of G to be

$$\mathfrak{g} \cong \pi^{-1}(I) \cong \{X \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}) : I + \varepsilon X \in G(E)\}.$$

In particular,

$$\mathrm{SL}_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C}) : a + d = 0 \right\}.$$

Exercise. Show that $G(E) = TG$ is the tangent bundle of G and \mathfrak{g} is the tangent space at 1, $I + X\varepsilon$ is the germ of a curve through $1 \in G$.

Example. Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} G(E) &= \{\tilde{A} \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(E) : \tilde{A}^{-1} \text{ exists}\} \\ &= \{A + B\varepsilon : A, B \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}), A^{-1} \text{ exists}\} \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is because

$$(A + B\varepsilon)(A^{-1} - A^{-1}BA^{-1}\varepsilon) = I.$$

So there is no condition on B so $\mathfrak{gl}_n = \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Another explanation for this result is that \det does not vanish in a neighbourhood of the identity matrix so we get all matrices in the Lie algebra.

Exercise. Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_n$. Show that

$$\det(I + \varepsilon X) = 1 + \varepsilon \operatorname{tr} X$$

and hence

$$\mathfrak{sl}_n = \{X \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}) : \operatorname{tr} X = 0\}.$$

Example. Let

$$G = \mathrm{O}_n = \{A \in \mathrm{Mat}_n : AA^T = -I\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g} &= \{X \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}) : (I + \varepsilon X)(I + \varepsilon X)^T = -I\} \\ &= \{X \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}) : X + X^T = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

Note $\operatorname{tr} X^T = \operatorname{tr} X$ so $\operatorname{tr} X = \operatorname{tr} X^T = 0$. Thus SO_n has the same Lie algebra. In other words, by just looking into the Lie algebras we cannot distinguish the groups O_n and SO_n . This is because O_n has two connected components, and the component of the identity is SO_n . Of course the tangent space at the identity doesn't tell us anything in the other component. Thus this undesirable situation can be remedied by restricting to connected Lie groups.

What structure does \mathfrak{g} have that it inherits from G ? It is not a (multiplicative) group as

$$(I + A\varepsilon)(I + B\varepsilon) = I + \varepsilon(A + B)$$

has nothing to do with multiplication. Instead, we can consider the commutator

$$\begin{aligned} G \times G &\rightarrow G \\ (P, Q) &\mapsto PQP^{-1}Q^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

This sends $(I, I) \mapsto I$ so by differentiating at the origin we get a map $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. Actually, we want a bilinear map $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, so differentiate in each variable separately: fix P and differentiate $f_P : Q \mapsto PQP^{-1}Q^{-1}$ to get $df_P : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. Then we differentiate it as a function of P .

Explicitly, write

$$\begin{aligned} P &= I + \varepsilon A \\ Q &= I + \delta B \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon^2 = \delta^2 = 0, \varepsilon\delta = \delta\varepsilon \neq 0$. Then

$$PQP^{-1}Q^{-1} = I + (AB - BA)\varepsilon\delta$$

so the map constructed out of the commutators is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \\ (A, B) &\mapsto AB - BA \end{aligned}$$

This is called the *Lie bracket* of A and B .

Exercise.

1. Show by differentiation that

$$(PQP^{-1}Q^{-1})^{-1} = QPQ^{-1}P^{-1}$$

implies that

$$[B, A] = -[A, B]$$

so the Lie bracket is anti-symmetric.

2. Show associativity of multiplication implies that

$$[[X, Y], Z] + [[Y, Z], X] + [[Z, X], Y] = 0.$$

This is the *Jacobi identity*.

Also show this is true from the definition $[A, B] = AB - BA \in \text{Mat}_n$.

Definition (Lie algebra). Let k be a field, $\text{ch } k \neq 2$. A *Lie algebra* \mathfrak{g} is a k -vector space equipped with a bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ that

1. is anti-symmetric: $[X, Y] = -[Y, X]$,
2. satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$[[X, Y], Z] + [[Y, Z], X] + [[Z, X], Y] = 0.$$

Example.

1. $\mathfrak{gl}_n = \text{Mat}_n$ with $[A, B] = AB - BA$. More generally, if V is a vector space, write $\mathfrak{gl}(V) = \text{End}(V)$.
2. $\mathfrak{so}_n = \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}_n : A + A^T = 0\}$.
3. $\mathfrak{sl}_n = \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}_n : \text{tr } A = 0\}$.
4. $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n} = \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}_{2n} : JA^TJ^{-1} + A = 0\}$ where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ -1 & -1 & & \end{pmatrix}$$

5. $\mathfrak{b}_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ of upper triangular matrices.

6. \mathfrak{u}_n of strictly upper triangular matrices.
7. If V is any vector space, let $[\cdot, \cdot] : V \times V \rightarrow V$ be the zero map. This is a Lie algebra, called *abelian Lie algebra*.

Exercise.

1. Show \mathfrak{gl}_n is a Lie algebra.
2. Show examples 2 - 7 are sub-Lie algebras of \mathfrak{gl}_n .
3. Find algebraic groups whose Lie algebras are the examples above.
4. Show $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}_2$ is not a Lie algebra.

Example. Any 1-dim Lie algebra is abelian by anti-symmetry.

Exercise. Classify all Lie algebras of dimension 3.

Definition (representation). A *representation* of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on a vector space V is a Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$. We say \mathfrak{g} acts on V .

We have the silly example of trivial representation: \mathfrak{g} acts on $V = k$ by $x \mapsto 0$.

Less trivially, for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{adx} : \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \\ y &\mapsto [x, y] \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.1. $\text{ad} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a representation of \mathfrak{g} , i.e. \mathfrak{g} acts on it self. This is called the adjoint representation.

Proof. Must show

$$\text{ad}[x, y] = \text{adxady} - \text{adyadx}.$$

If $z \in \mathfrak{g}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{ad}[x, y])(z) &= [[x, y], z] \\ \text{RHS}(z) &= [x, [y, z]] - [y, [x, z]] = -[[y, z], x] - [[z, x], y] \end{aligned}$$

and they are equal by Jacobi. □

Definition (center). The *center* of \mathfrak{g} is

$$\{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [x, y] = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathfrak{g}\} = \ker(\text{ad} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})),$$

which is an abelian Lie algebra.

In particular, the center of \mathfrak{g} is 0 if and only if ad is an embedding. Question: does every finite-dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} have a faithful finite-dimensional representation? In other words, does $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ for some V ?

Note: every affine algebraic group has a faithful representation.

Theorem 1.2 (Ado). *Any finite-dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over k has a faithful finite-dimensional rep, i.e. $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_n$ for some n .*

Example. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ with basis

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

so we have

$$[e, f] = h, [h, e] = 2e, [h, f] = -2f$$

so a representation of \mathfrak{sl}_2 is a triple of matrices $E, F, H \in \text{Mat}_n$ with these relations. How can we find such? The answer, at this moment, is to find reps of the algebraic group SL_2 and differentiating. Later we will find them just by using linear algebra.

Definition (algebraic representation). If G is an algebraic group. An *algebraic representation* of G on a vector space V is a homomorphism $G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ defined by polynomial equations in the matrix coefficients.

Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ be an algebraic rep. We have $\rho(I) = I$. Consider the map $G(E) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)(E)$. We get

$$\rho(I + A\varepsilon) = I + \varepsilon d\rho(A)$$

for some function $d\rho(A)$ of A .

Exercise. $d\rho$ is the derivative of ρ at identity.

Exercise. $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ implies that $d\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism, so V is a representation of \mathfrak{g} .

Let $G = \text{SL}_2$ and let $L(n)$ be homogeneous polynomials in x, y of degree n , with basis $x^n, x^{n-1}y, \dots, y^n$, so has dimension $n + 1$. GL_2 acts on $L(n)$ by change of coordinates: if $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, $f \in L(n)$ then

$$(\rho_n(g)f)(x, y) = f(ax + cy, bx + dy).$$

Check that

1. ρ_0 is the trivial rep.
2. ρ_1 is the usual 2-dim rep.
- 3.

$$\rho_2 \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & ab & b^2 \\ 2ac & ad + bc & 2bd \\ c^2 & cd & d^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Differentiate and we get an action of \mathfrak{sl}_2 on $L(n)$. Explicitly,

$$\rho(I + \varepsilon e)x^i y^j = x^i (y + \varepsilon x)^j = x^i y^j + \varepsilon j x^{i+1} y^{j-1}$$

and hence

$$d\rho(e)x^i y^j = j x^{i+1} y^{j-1}.$$

Exercise.

1. The Lie algebra acts by

$$\begin{aligned}e \cdot (x^i y^j) &= j x^{i+1} y^{j-1} \\ f \cdot (x^i y^j) &= i x^{i-1} y^{j+1} \\ h \cdot (x^i y^j) &= (i - j) x^i y^j\end{aligned}$$

2. Check directly this gives a rep of \mathfrak{sl}_2 .
3. Show $L(2)$ is isomorphic to the adjoint rep.
4. Show that

$$e = x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, f = y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, h = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

defines an (infinite-dimensional) rep of \mathfrak{sl}_2 on $k[x, y]$. Some implication: this can be defined for all characteristics, and the differential operator is suggesting that reps of Lie groups might have something to do with calculus.

5. Show if $\text{ch } k = 0$ then $L(n)$ is irreducible as an \mathfrak{sl}_2 , hence SL_2 -module.

The map $\rho \mapsto d\rho$ defines a functor from the category of a linear algebraic group G to the category of Lie algebra reps of \mathfrak{g} . However, this is not as nice a map as you might hope.

Example. Let $G = \mathbb{C}^\times$ so $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{C}$ is the abelian Lie algebra. A rep of \mathfrak{g} on a vector space V is the same as an element $A \in \text{End}(V)$. A submodule $W \subseteq V$ is a subspace W such that $gW \subseteq W$, i.e. $A \cdot W \subseteq W$, so the same as an A -subspace of V . Check that A and A' in $\text{End}(V)$ determine isomorphic reps of \mathfrak{g} if and only if A, A' are conjugate. Hence isomorphism classes of reps of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{C}$ is in bijection with conjugacy classes of matrices, and hence is determined by its Jordan normal form.

In addition, any $A \in \text{End}(V)$ has an eigenvector as V is a vector space over \mathbb{C} . Thus the only irreducible rep of \mathfrak{g} are the 1-dim ones.

A rep is isomorphic to a direct sum of irred reps if and only if A is diagonalisable. For example if $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then the associated rep is *indecomposable*, i.e. it does not split into a direct sum, as the only A -subspaces are $\langle e_1 \rangle, \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle e_1, \dots, e_n \rangle$.

Now in contrast consider reps of $G = \mathbb{C}^\times$. It is a theorem that the irred algebraic reps of \mathbb{C}^\times are the 1-dim reps where $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ acts on \mathbb{C} by $z \cdot v = z^n v$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In other words they are given by $G \rightarrow \text{GL}_1, z \mapsto z^n$. Moreover, any finite-dimensional rep of G is a direct sum of irreducible (this is similar to the proof that the only irred reps of the compact group S^1 are given by $z \mapsto z^n$, once we set up the theory of algebraic groups).

Exercise. Show $\rho \mapsto d\rho$ sends $z \mapsto z^n$ to the algebraic rep $n \in \mathbb{C}$.

The rep of Lie algebra \mathbb{C} is continuous while that of the algebraic group \mathbb{C}^\times is discrete. This has something to do with S^1 and its topology. Later we'll see that the functor d gives an equivalence of category when restricted to simply connected Lie groups.

Note. Notice \mathfrak{g} is also the Lie algebra of the additive group $(\mathbb{C}, +)$, whose algebraic reps resemble the reps of \mathfrak{g} .

Less distressingly, if $Z \subseteq G$ is a finite central subgroup then $T_1(G/Z) = T_1G$ so the Lie algebras of G and G/Z agree.

Exercise. Let $G_n = \mathbb{C}^* \ltimes \mathbb{C}$ where \mathbb{C}^* acts on \mathbb{C} by $t \cdot \lambda = t^n \lambda$ so

$$(t, \lambda)(t', \lambda') = (tt', t^n \lambda + \lambda').$$

Show that $G_n \cong G_m$ if and only if $n = \pm m$, but

$$\text{Lie } G_n = \text{Lie } G_m = \mathbb{C}x + \mathbb{C}y$$

where $[x, y] = y$, so the functor is not faithful.

As a side note, the functor is not surjective either.

2 Representations of \mathfrak{sl}_2

Recall that \mathfrak{sl}_2 has basis

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

so we have

$$[e, f] = h, [h, e] = 2e, [h, f] = -2f$$

We would like to prove

Theorem 2.1.

1. For each $n \geq 0$ there is a unique irreducible rep of \mathfrak{sl}_2 of dimension $n + 1$.
2. Every finite-dimensional rep of \mathfrak{sl}_2 is a direct sum of irred reps.

Definition (weight space). Let V be a rep of \mathfrak{sl}_2 . If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the λ -weight space of V is

$$V_\lambda = \{v \in V : hv = \lambda v\},$$

the eigenspace of h .

Example. $L(n)_\lambda = \mathbb{C}x^i y^j$ if $i - j = \lambda$.

Let $v \in V_\lambda$ and we have

$$h \cdot ev = (he - eh + eh)v = ([h, e] + eh)v = 2ev + e\lambda v = (\lambda + 2)ev$$

so if $v \in V_\lambda$ then $ev \in V_{\lambda+2}$, if and only if $ev \neq 0$. Similarly $fv \in V_{\lambda-2}$. Thus f and e shifts between a string of spaces $V_{\lambda+2}, V_\lambda, V_{\lambda-2}, \dots$

$$\dots \xleftrightarrow{e} V_{\lambda-2} \xleftrightarrow[f]{e} V_\lambda \xleftrightarrow[f]{e} V_{\lambda+2} \xleftrightarrow{e} \dots$$

If $v \in V_\lambda \cap \ker e$, that is $ev = 0, hv = \lambda v$ we say v is a *highest weight vector* with highest weight λ .

Lemma 2.2. Let V be a rep of \mathfrak{sl}_2 , $v \in V_\lambda$ a highest weight vector of weight λ then $W = \langle v, fv, f^2v, \dots \rangle$ is an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -invariant subspace, that is a subrep of V .

Proof. We must show the image of W under f, h, e are contained in W . $fW \subseteq W$ by construction. As $v \in V_\lambda$, we see that $f^k v \in V_{\lambda-2k}$ and so $hW \subseteq W$. Finally $ev = 0 \in W$ and

$$e \cdot fv = (ef - fe + fe)v = hv = \lambda v \in W$$

$$e \cdot f^2v = ([e, f] + fe)fv = (\lambda - 2)fv + f \cdot \lambda v = (2\lambda - 2)fv \in W$$

$$e \cdot f^3v = ([e, f] + fe)f^2v = (\lambda - 4)f^2v + f(2\lambda - 2)fv = (3\lambda - 6)f^2v \in W$$

and so on. It is an exercise to show by induction

$$e \cdot f^n v = n(\lambda - n + 1)f^{n-1}v.$$

□

We have a surprising result:

Lemma 2.3. *Let V be a finite-dimensional \mathbb{C} -space and a rep of \mathfrak{sl}_2 and $v \in V$ a highest weight vector with highest weight λ then $\lambda \in \{0, 1, \dots\} = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.*

Proof. Note that all $f^k v$ lie in different eigenspaces for h so if non-zero they are linearly independent. But V is finite dimensional so exists k such that $f^k v \neq 0, f^{k+1} v = 0$. The exercise shows

$$0 = e f^{k+1} v = (k+1)(\lambda - k) f^k v$$

so $k+1 \neq 0$ so $\lambda = k$. □

Lemma 2.4. *If V is a finite-dimensional rep of \mathfrak{sl}_2 then it has a highest weight vector.*

Proof. As V is a \mathbb{C} -space h has an eigenvector. Apply e to it get $v, ev, e^2 v, \dots$ which are eigenvectors with different eigenvalues so if nonzero are linearly independent so exists k such that $e^k v = 0$, so $e^{k-1} v$ is a highest weight eigenvector. □

Corollary 2.5. *Let $k \in \mathbb{C}$. If V is an irreducible finite dimensional representation of \mathfrak{sl}_2 then $\dim V = n+1$ and V has basis v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n with*

$$\begin{aligned} h v_i &= (n - 2i) v_i \\ f v_i &= v_{i+1} \\ e v_i &= i(n - i + 1) v_{i-1} \end{aligned}$$

In particular there is a unique irreducible representation of dimension $n+1$, which is isomorphic to $L(n)$.

(Picture of string)

Exercise.

1. Find the explicit relation between this basis and the $x^a y^b$ basis earlier, where $a + b = n$.
2. Recall $\mathbb{C}[x, y] = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} L(n)$ as a representation of \mathfrak{sl}_2 where e, h, f acts as differential operators. Show that the same operators give a rep of \mathfrak{sl}_2 on $x^\lambda y^\mu \mathbb{C}[x/y, y/x]$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Determine the submodules of this rep.

Now we show that all reps can be written as direct sum of the irreducible ones. This is one of the more difficult theorem but will lead us towards the general result later. We will show strings of different lengths don't interact, then strings of the same lengths do not interact.

Definition. Let V be a rep of \mathfrak{sl}_2 . Define $\Omega \in \text{End}(V)$ by

$$\Omega = ef + fe + \frac{1}{2}h^2,$$

the *Casimir* of \mathfrak{sl}_2 .

Lemma 2.6. Ω is central, that is $e\Omega = \Omega e$, $f\Omega = \Omega f$, $h\Omega = \Omega h$.

Proof. We will later show a slick proof. For now this is left as an exercise. For example

$$\begin{aligned} e\Omega &= e(e f + f e + \frac{1}{2}h^2) \\ &= e(e f - f e) + 2e f e \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(e h - h e)h + \frac{1}{2}h e h \\ &= 2e f e + \frac{1}{2}h e h \\ &= \dots \\ &= \Omega e \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.7. If V is an irreducible rep of \mathfrak{sl}_2 , then Ω acts on V by a scalar.

Proof. Similar to Schur's lemma. □

Lemma 2.8. Ω acts on $L(n)$ as multiplication by $\frac{1}{2}n^2 + n$.

Proof. We can choose any nonzero element and use the above corollary. Alternatively we can do it by hand. Let v be the highest weight vector of $L(n)$ so $ev = 0$, $hv = nv$. Then

$$\Omega = (ef - fe) + 2fe + \frac{1}{2}h^2 = (\frac{1}{2}h^2 + h) + 2fe$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega v &= (\frac{1}{2}n^2 + n)v \\ \Omega(f^k v) &= f^k \Omega v = (\frac{1}{2}n^2 + n)f^k v \end{aligned}$$

□

This immediately implies “strings of different lengths don't interact”, which we shall make sense of now.

Let V be a finite dimensional rep of \mathfrak{sl}_2 . Let

$$V^\lambda = \{v \in V : (\Omega - \lambda)^{\dim V} v = 0\}$$

be the generalised eigenspace for Ω with eigenvalue λ . By linear algebra, $V = \bigoplus_\lambda V^\lambda$. Claim that each V^λ is a subrep, i.e. preserved by \mathfrak{sl}_2 , so this is a direct sum decomposition of V as reps of \mathfrak{sl}_2 .

Proof. Let $x \in \mathfrak{sl}_2, v \in V^\lambda$. Then

$$(\Omega - \lambda)^{\dim V} xv = x(\Omega - \lambda)^{\dim V} v = 0$$

as Ω is central so $xv \in V^\lambda$. □

Claim that if $V^\lambda \neq 0$ then $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}n^2 + n$ for a unique $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and “ V^λ is glued together from copies of $L(n)$ ”. Formally, “gluing” refers to the following:

Definition (composition series). Let W be a finite dimensional representation of \mathfrak{g} . A *composition series* for W is a sequence of submodules

$$0 = W_0 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq W_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq W_r = W$$

such that each W_i/W_{i-1} is a non-zero irreducible module.

Example.

1. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{C}, W = \mathbb{C}^r$ where $1 \in \mathfrak{g}$ acts as $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then there is a unique composition series for W , namely

$$0 \subseteq \langle e_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \subseteq \dots \subseteq \langle e_1, \dots, e_r \rangle.$$

2. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{C}, W = \mathbb{C}^r$ and $1 \in \mathfrak{g}$ acts as 0. Then any chain of subspaces

$$W_0 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq W_r$$

with $\dim W_i = i$ is a composition series.

The intuition is that by choosing a suitable basis, we can put each element of \mathfrak{g} into *block triangular form*, with the diagonal blocks A_i the action on the subquotient W_i/W_{i-1} , which we require to be irreducible.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & & * \\ & A_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & A_r \end{pmatrix}$$

Lemma 2.9. *Composition series always exist.*

Proof. Induct on $\dim W$. Take an irreducible subrep of W (why does it always exist?), call it W_1 . Then W/W_1 has smaller dimension than W so has a composition series. Take the preimage of this in W and stick W_1 in the front. □

Remark. The subquotients W_i/W_{i-1} are unique (up to reordering). This requires proof in general, but will follow for Lie algebras from what we show in a bit.

Now we can rephrase the claim as follow: if $V^\lambda \neq 0$ then $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}n^2 + n$ for a unique $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and V^λ has a composition series where all of the subquotients W_i/W_{i-1} are isomorphic to $L(n)$. This proves the slogan “strings of different lengths don’t interact”.

Proof. First observe that if $n \neq m$ then Ω acts on $L(n)$ and $L(m)$ by different numbers, as $n \mapsto \frac{1}{2}n^2 + n$ is an increasing function for $n \geq -1$. Thus if $V^\lambda \neq 0$, let $L(n)$ be an irreducible submodule of V^λ . As Ω acts on $L(n)$ by $\frac{1}{2}n^2 + n$, we have $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}n^2 + n$, and then Ω acts on $V^\lambda/L(n)$ with generalised eigenvalue $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}n^2 + n$, and for the same reason all composition factors of V^λ must be $L(n)$ for this n . \square

Now we have $V = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^{\frac{1}{2}n^2+n}$ where each $V^{\frac{1}{2}n^2+n}$ has all composition factors $L(n)$. We now show strings of the same lengths don't interact.

Lemma 2.10.

1. $hf^k = f^k(h - 2k)$ for all $k \geq 0$.
2. $ef^{k+1} = f^{k+1}e + (k+1)f^k(h - k)$ for all $k \geq 0$.

Proof. Exercise. \square

If $W' \subseteq W$ and h preserves W' then the set of generalised eigenvalues of h on W is the union on that of h on W' and W/W' . As a result, h acts on V^λ with generalised eigenvalues in $\{-n, -n+2, \dots, n-2, n\}$. Also the only generalised eigenvalue of h on $\ker(e : V^\lambda \rightarrow V^\lambda)$ is n , that is $(h - n)^{\dim V^\lambda} \cdot x = 0$ for all $x \in V^\lambda \cap \ker e$.

Proposition 2.11. h acts diagonally on $\ker(e : V^\lambda \rightarrow V^\lambda)$, that is it acts by multiplication by n . Thus

$$\ker(e : V^\lambda \rightarrow V^\lambda) = (V^\lambda)_n = \{x \in V^\lambda : hx = nx\}.$$

Proof. If $hx = nx$ then $ex \in (V^\lambda)_{n+2} = 0$ so $x \in \ker e$. Conversely let $x \in \ker e$. We know $(h - n)^{\dim V^\lambda} x = 0$. By exercises

$$(h - n + 2k)^{\dim V^\lambda} f^k x = f^k (h - n)^{\dim V^\lambda} x = 0$$

so $f^n x$ is in the generalised eigenspace of h with eigenvalue $n - 2k$. Claim that on the other hand, for any $0 \neq y \in \ker e$, $f^n y \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $0 = W_0 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq W_r = V^\lambda$ be a composition series of V^λ such that $W_i/W_{i-1} \cong L(n)$ for all i . Then exists i such that $y \in W_i, y \notin W_{i-1}$. Then $\bar{y} = y + W_{i-1} \in W_i/W_{i-1} \cong L(n)$. Then \bar{y} is a highest weight vector of $L(n)$, so $f^n(\bar{y}) \neq 0 \in W_i/W_{i-1}$ so $f^n y \neq 0 \in W_i \subseteq V^\lambda$. \square

Now $f^{n+1}x$ belongs to the generalised eigenspace of h with eigenvalue $-n-2$, which must be 0 by the observation above. Thus $0 = ef^{n+1}x$. By exercise this equals to

$$0 = ef^{n+1}x = (n+1)f^n(h-n)x + \underbrace{f^{n+1}ex}_{=0}$$

so $(n+1)f^n(h-n)x = 0$. As $e(h-n)x = (h-n-2)ex = 0$, we have $(h-n)x \in \ker e$ so if $(h-n)x \neq 0$ then $f^n(h-n)x \neq 0$. As we are over \mathbb{C} , $n+1 \neq 0$ and we just showed $y \neq 0, y \in \ker e$ but $f^n y \neq 0$, impossible. Thus $(h-n)x = 0$ so $hx = nx$. \square

To show complete reducibility, do the following exercise:

Exercise. Take a basis w_1, \dots, w_k of $\ker e$ and consider the string generated by each w_i , that is $w_i, fw_i, \dots, f^n w_i$. Show that these give a basis of V^λ , each such string is a subrep isomorphic to $L(n)$ and this gives a direct sum decomposition. In particular h acts diagonally on all of V for V a finite-dimensional rep.

Exercise. Show all of this is false in characteristic p . More precisely, show the irreducible reps of \mathfrak{sl}_2 over \overline{F}_p are *not* parameterised by $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Find a rep of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\overline{F}_p)$ which does not decompose as a direct sum.

2.1 Consequences

Definition (tensor product). Let V and W be \mathfrak{g} -reps. Then the *tensor product* of V and W is a rep via the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \text{End}(V \otimes W) = \text{End}(V) \otimes \text{End}(W) \\ x &\mapsto x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \end{aligned}$$

Exercise.

1. Show the above map is a homomorphism of Lie algebras.
2. Suppose G acts on V and W . Show it acts on $V \otimes W$ by $g \mapsto g \otimes g$ and the above action is obtained by differentiating this action.

Take $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$. Then by complete reducibility we know $L(n) \otimes L(m) \cong \bigoplus_{a \geq 0} m_a L(a)$ for some m_a 's.

Exercise. Find the highest weight vectors in $L(1) \otimes L(n)$ and $L(2) \otimes L(n)$ and hence decompose these.

To start, let v_a be a highest weight vector in $L(a)$. Claim that $v_n \otimes v_m$ is a highest weight vector in $L(n) \otimes L(m)$:

$$\begin{aligned} h(v_n \otimes v_m) &= (hv_n) \otimes v_m + v_n \otimes (hv_m) = (n+m)(v_n \otimes v_m) \\ e(v_n \otimes v_m) &= (ev_n) \otimes v_m + v_n \otimes (ev_m) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

so $L(n) \otimes L(m) = L(n+m) \oplus$ other stuff.

Definition (character). Let V be a finite-dimensional rep of \mathfrak{sl}_2 . Define the *character* of V to be

$$\text{ch } V = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim V_n \cdot z^n \in \mathbb{N}[z, z^{-1}].$$

It has the following properties:

1. $\text{ch } V|_{z=1} = \dim V$. This is a consequence of the fact that h is diagonalisable with integer eigenvalues.
2. $\text{ch } L(n) = z^n + z^{n-2} + \dots + z^{2-n} + z^{-n} = \frac{z^{n+1} - z^{-n+1}}{z - z^{-1}}$.

3. $\text{ch } V = \text{ch } W$ if and only if $V \cong W$ as \mathfrak{sl}_2 reps.

Proof. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch } L(0) &= 1 \\ \text{ch } L(1) &= z + z^{-1} \\ \text{ch } L(2) &= z^2 + 1 + z^{-1} \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

form a basis of $\mathbb{Z}[z, z^{-1}]^{S_2}$, the space of symmetric Laurent polynomials with integer coefficients. Now by complete reducibility if $V \cong \bigoplus_{a \geq 0} n_a L(a)$, $W \cong \bigoplus_{a \geq 0} m_a L(a)$ then $V \cong W$ if and only if $n_a = m_a$ for all $a \geq 0$. As $\{\text{ch } L(n) : n \geq 0\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Z}[z, z^{-1}]^{S_2}$, $\text{ch } V = \sum m_a \text{ch } L(n)$ determines V . \square

4. $\text{ch}(V \otimes W) = \text{ch } V \cdot \text{ch } W$. This follows from the exercise: show that $V_n \otimes W_m \subseteq (V \otimes W)_{n+m}$ and hence $(V \otimes W)_p = \bigoplus_{n+m=p} V_n \otimes W_m$. This is exactly how we multiply polynomials.

Example.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(L(1) \otimes L(3)) &= \text{ch } L(1) \cdot \text{ch } L(3) \\ &= (z + z^{-1})(z^3 + z + z^{-1} + z^{-3}) \\ &= (z^4 + z^2 + 1 + z^{-2} + z^{-4}) + (z^2 + 1 + z^{-2}) \end{aligned}$$

so complete reducibility and the fact that $\text{ch } L(n)$ form a basis immediately tell us that $L(3) \otimes L(1) = L(4) \otimes L(2)$, which is a lot easier than finding highest weight vectors in the tensor product!

Corollary 2.12 (Clebsch-Gordan).

$$L(n) \otimes L(m) = \bigoplus_{\substack{k=|n-m| \\ k \equiv n-m \pmod{2}}}^{n+m} L(k).$$

Proof. Induction. Also pictorially, \square

Purpose of this course: $\mathfrak{sl}_n, \mathfrak{so}_n, \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ etc are simple Lie algebras and the category of their \mathbb{C} -representations are semisimple, and are parameterised by positive cones in the lattice $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\ell$. Also we can write down their characters parameterised by the lattice. Finally, we are going to draw more pictures like above.

3 Structure and Classification of simple Lie algebras

Let's do some warm up exercises in linear algebras. Let k be a field.

Definition (simple Lie algebra). Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra over k . \mathfrak{g} is *simple* if $\dim \mathfrak{g} > 1$ and the only ideals of \mathfrak{g} are 0 and \mathfrak{g} .

1 dimensional Lie algebras are excluded because they are abelian and as we have seen, their representations do not form a discrete family so they tend to break results we are going to state for nonabelian simple algebras.

In order to describe simple Lie algebras, we will need some Lie algebras which are very far from simple.

Definition (derived subalgebra). The *derived subalgebra* of \mathfrak{g} , denoted $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, is the linear span of $[x, y]$ for $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Exercise.

1. Show $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is an ideal.
2. Show $\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is abelian.

Definition (central/derived series). The *central series* for \mathfrak{g} is the sequence of subalgebras

$$\mathfrak{g} \supseteq [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \supseteq [[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}], \mathfrak{g}] \supseteq \dots$$

or more formally,

$$\mathfrak{g}^0 = \mathfrak{g}, \quad \mathfrak{g}^n = [\mathfrak{g}^{n-1}, \mathfrak{g}] \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

The *derived series* for \mathfrak{g} is the sequence

$$\mathfrak{g} \supseteq [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \supseteq [[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}], [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]] \supseteq \dots$$

or more formally

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(0)} = \mathfrak{g}, \quad \mathfrak{g}^{(n)} = [\mathfrak{g}^{(n-1)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(n-1)}] \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

Note that $\mathfrak{g}^{(n)} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^n$.

Definition (nilpotent/solvable Lie algebra). \mathfrak{g} is *nilpotent* if $\mathfrak{g}^n = 0$ for some $n > 0$, that is if the central series terminates.

\mathfrak{g} is *solvable* if $\mathfrak{g}^{(n)} = 0$ for some $n > 0$, that is if the derived series terminates.

Note that \mathfrak{g} nilpotent implies \mathfrak{g} solvable.

Exercise.

1. \mathfrak{u} of strictly upper triangular matrices is nilpotent.

2. \mathfrak{b} of upper triangular matrices is solvable.
3. The two dimensional Lie algebra with basis x, y and $[x, y] = y$ is solvable but not nilpotent.

Exercise. Compute the central and derived series for $\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{b}$ and show they are nilpotent and solvable respectively.

Compute the centre of these Lie algebras.

Example. Let W be a symplectic vector space, that is W is a k -vector space with a non-degenerated alternating form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : W \times W \rightarrow k$. For example L be a finite dimensional vector space and let $W = L \oplus L^*$ with symplectic form

$$\langle L, L \rangle = \langle L^*, L^* \rangle = 0, \langle v^*, w \rangle = -\langle w, v^* \rangle = v^*(w).$$

L is a maximal Lagrangian space and by basic linear algebra all examples are of this form.

Define the *Heisenberg Lie algebra* $H_W = W \oplus k.c$ with Lie brackets

$$\begin{aligned} [w, w'] &= \langle w, w' \rangle.c \\ [c, w] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Exercise.

1. Show H_W is a Lie algebra.
2. Show H_W is nilpotent. Do we have to do any extra work?

Differentiating the Heisenberg group

This is the most important nilpotent Lie algebra that arises in nature For example take $k = \mathbb{C}, L = \mathbb{C}$. H_W has basis p, q, c with $[p, q] = c, [c, *] = 0$.

Exercise. Show $\mathbb{C}[x]$ is a rep of H_W where q acts by multiplication by $x, p = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and c is identity.

For a general vector space L with basis v_1, \dots, v_n and L^* with dual basis v_1^*, \dots, v_n^* . Then H_W acts $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $v_i^* \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, v_i \mapsto x_i, c \mapsto 1$.

Exercise.

1. Subalgebras and quotient Lie algebras of a solvable Lie algebra are solvable.
2. Subalgebras and quotient Lie algebras of a nilpotent Lie algebra are nilpotent.
3. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ an ideal. Then \mathfrak{g} is solvable if and only if \mathfrak{h} and $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ are solvable. In particular solvable Lie algebras are built out of one-dimensional abelian Lie algebras, i.e. there is a refinement of the derived series such that all subquotients are one-dimensional (and hence abelian).

4. \mathfrak{g} is nilpotent if and only if centre of \mathfrak{g} is non-zero and the quotient of \mathfrak{g} by its centre is nilpotent.

For only if, indeed if \mathfrak{g} is nilpotent we have central series

$$\mathfrak{g} \supseteq \mathfrak{g}^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{g}^n = 0$$

and since $\mathfrak{g}^n = [\mathfrak{g}^{n-1}, \mathfrak{g}] = 0$ so must have \mathfrak{g}^{n-1} contained in the centre of \mathfrak{g} .

5. \mathfrak{g} is nilpotent if and only if $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra. This is immediate from 4 as we have a short exact sequence of Lie algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{centre of } \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \text{ad}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow 0$$

We will use but not prove

Theorem 3.1 (Lie). *Let $k = \bar{k}$ and $\text{ch } k = 0$. Let \mathfrak{g} be a solvable Lie algebra over k and $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ for some V . Then there exists a basis v_1, \dots, v_n of V with respect to which all element of \mathfrak{g} are upper triangular, i.e. $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$.*

Note that $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is automatic by Ado.

Equivalently, there exists a linear function $\lambda : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow k$ and an element $v \in V$ such that $xv = \lambda(x)v$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, that is \mathfrak{g} has a one dimensional subrep. In particular the only irreducible finite dimensional reps of \mathfrak{g} are one dimensional.

Exercise. Show these two formulations are equivalent.

Exercise.

1. Show the theorem is false if $k \neq \bar{k}$.
2. Show the theorem is false if $\text{ch } k = p > 0$. Hint: consider the 3 dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra H and show that $k[x]/(x^p)$ is an irreducible rep of H of dimension larger than 1.

Corollary 3.2. *If $\text{ch } k = 0$ and \mathfrak{g} is solvable then $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is nilpotent.*

Proof. It is an exercise to show that \mathfrak{b} solvable over k if and only if $\mathfrak{b} \otimes_k \bar{k}$ is solvable over \bar{k} , and similarly for nilpotents (?), so we may assume $k = \bar{k}$. Now apply Lie's theorem to the adjoint rep $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End } \mathfrak{g}$ so there exists a basis where $\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}$ are upper triangular. Then $[\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}, \text{ad } \mathfrak{g}]$ is strictly upper triangular. As $\text{ad} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a rep, $[\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}, \text{ad } \mathfrak{g}] = \text{ad}[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ so $\text{ad}[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is nilpotent, hence $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is nilpotent since a Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} is nilpotent if and only if $\text{ad } \mathfrak{h}$ is nilpotent. (?) \square

Exercise. Show this is false in characteristic p .

Theorem 3.3 (Engel). *\mathfrak{g} is nilpotent if and only if for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\text{ad}(x)$ is nilpotent. Equivalently, if V is a finite dimensional rep of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, x acts on V as a nilpotent operator, then there exists $v \in V$ such that $xv = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. In otherwords, V has a 1 dimensional subrep which is the trivial rep. Equivalently, there exists a basis of V if $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$*

| with respect to which all matrices in \mathfrak{g} are strictly upper triangular.

Exercise. Show these are all equivalent.

Engel says V is built out of trivial reps. That is V has a composition series whose subquotients are trivial reps.

Warning: Engel says if \mathfrak{g} consists of nilpotent matrices then \mathfrak{g} is a nilpotent Lie algebra. The converse is *false*, for example the abelian Lie algebra of scalar matrices. The correct converse is: \mathfrak{g} is nilpotent then $\mathfrak{g}/\text{center}$ (which is isomorphic to $\text{ad}\mathfrak{g}$) consists of nilpotent matrices.

| **Definition** (invariant symmetric bilinear form). A symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow k$ is *invariant* if $([x, y], z) = (x, [y, z])$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Exercise. Show if G is an algebraic group actions on a vector space V and $(gx, gy) = (x, y)$ for all $g \in G, x, y \in V$ then this defines an invariant form on V .

Exercise. If $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ is an ideal and (\cdot, \cdot) is an invariant symmetric bilinear form then \mathfrak{a}^\perp is an ideal.

| **Definition** (trace form). If $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is a rep, define the *trace form* of V to be

$$(x, y)_V = \text{tr}(\rho(x)\rho(y)).$$

Exercise. Show $(\cdot, \cdot)_V$ is an invariant symmetric bilinear form.

| **Definition** (Killing form). The *Killing form* of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is the trace form of the adjoint rep, i.e.

$$(x, y)_{\text{ad}} = \text{tr}(\text{ad}x\text{ad}y).$$

The third theorem that we are not going to prove:

| **Theorem 3.4** (Cartan's criteria). Suppose $\text{ch } k = 0$ and $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Then \mathfrak{g} is solvable if and only if for all $x, y \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, the trace form $(x, y)_V = 0$. That is $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^\perp$.

Exercise. Show only if is immediate from Lie's theorem. Idea: if \mathfrak{g} is solvable then we have a basis with x upper triangular and y strictly upper triangular, so xy has 0 entries on the diagonals and so has trace 0.

| **Corollary 3.5.** If $\text{ch } k = 0$ then \mathfrak{g} is solvable if and only if $(\mathfrak{g}, [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}])_{\text{ad}} = 0$.

Proof. If \mathfrak{g} is solvable then Lie's theorem says that $(\mathfrak{g}, [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}])_{\text{ad}} = 0$. Conversely Cartan says $\text{ad}\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}/\text{centre}$ is solvable so \mathfrak{g} is solvable. \square

Exercise. Show now every invariant symmetric bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} is a trace form. More precisely, let $\mathfrak{g} = \tilde{H}$ where \tilde{H} has basis c, p, q, d with

$$[c, \tilde{H}] = 0, [p, q] = c, [d, p] = p, [d, q] = -q.$$

1. Show \tilde{H} is solvable.
2. Construct a non-degenerate invariant form on \tilde{H} .
3. Why couldn't we just write use H ?
4. Extend the rep of H on $k[x]$ to a rep of \tilde{H} .

Now we can use the theorems.

Definition (semisimplicity). \mathfrak{g} is *semisimple* if is a sum of simple (non-abelian) Lie algebras.

Definition (radical). The *radical* of \mathfrak{g} , $R(\mathfrak{g})$, is the maximal solvable ideal in \mathfrak{g} .

Exercise.

1. Show the sum of solvable ideals in \mathfrak{g} is solvable and hence $R(\mathfrak{g})$ is just the sum of all solvable ideals in \mathfrak{g} .
2. Show $R(\mathfrak{g}/R(\mathfrak{g})) = 0$.

Theorem 3.6. Suppose $\text{ch } k = 0$. Then TFAE:

1. \mathfrak{g} is semisimple.
2. $R(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$.
3. Killing criterion: the Killing form is non-degenerate.

Moreover if \mathfrak{g} is semisimple then every derivation $D : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is inner.

The converse of the last statement is *false*.

Definition (derivation). A *derivation* is a linear map $D : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$D[x, y] = [Dx, y] + [x, Dy].$$

Example. If $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ then adx is a derivation. Derivations of this form are called *inner*.

More generally if V is a rep of \mathfrak{g} then $D : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V$ is a derivation if

$$D[x, y] = xDy - yDx$$

and if $v \in V$, $x \mapsto xv$ is a derivation. Such a derivation is called inner. We define $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ to be the quotient $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ by the inner derivations. Thus the theorem says that \mathfrak{g} is semisimple implies that $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = 0$, but the converse is false. This is the subject of Lie algebra cohomology.

Remark. If \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra over k where $\text{ch } k = 0$ then consider the SES

$$0 \longrightarrow R(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}/R(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow 0$$

The theorem says that $\mathfrak{g}/R(\mathfrak{g})$ is semisimple as its radical is 0. We are going to classify all the semisimple Lie algebras. As $R(\mathfrak{g})$ is solvable, this makes the theory particularly nice.

It's helpful to mention that

Theorem 3.7 (Levi). *The above exact sequence splits, that is there exists a subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/R(\mathfrak{g})$. This subalgebra is not canonical, i.e. not an ideal, but his does say semidirect product.*

Exercise. Show Levi's theorem fails in characteristic p . Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_p(\overline{F}_p)$. Show $R(\mathfrak{g}) = \overline{F}_p \cdot I$ but there is no complement to $R(\mathfrak{g})$ which is a subalgebra.

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Claim $\mathbb{R}(\text{Lie } \mathfrak{g}) = 0$ if and only if \mathfrak{g} has non-zero abelian ideals.

Proof. Only if is easy as an abelian ideal is solvable. For if, the derived series of $R(\mathfrak{g})$ is (defines?) a sequence of ideals of \mathfrak{g} and the last term is abelian. \square

3 \implies 2: we show that if $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ is an abelian ideal then $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^\perp$ where the perp is with respect to the Killing form.

Proof. Take a vector space complement \mathfrak{h} to \mathfrak{a} in \mathfrak{g} so $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. If $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ then $\text{ad } x$ is block upper triangular and if $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ then as $[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}] = 0$ so $\text{ad } a$ is block strictly upper triangular so $(a, x)_{\text{ad}} = \text{tr } \text{ad}_a \text{ad}_x = 0$. \square

2 \implies 3: let $\mathfrak{r} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^\perp$ be an ideal of \mathfrak{g} (for example $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{g}^\perp$ and suppose $\mathfrak{r} \neq 0$). Then $R(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ implies that centre of \mathfrak{g} is zero (?) so $\mathfrak{r} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ as $\text{ad} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ is injection and as $\text{Lier } \mathfrak{r} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^\perp$, $(x, y)_{\text{ad}} = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. In particular for all $y \in [\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}]$, so Cartan's criteria implies that \mathfrak{r} is solvable, contradiction. Thus $R(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$.

Exercise. Show $R(\mathfrak{g}) \supseteq \mathfrak{g}^\perp \supseteq [R(\mathfrak{g}), R(\mathfrak{g})]$ in general for $\text{ch } k = 0$.

2,3 \implies 1: Assume the Killing form is nondegenerate and let $\mathfrak{s} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ be a minimal non-zero ideal. Observe that $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\text{ad}}|_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is either non-degenerate or 0: the kernel is $\{x \in \mathfrak{s} : (x, s)_{\text{ad}} = 0\} = \mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{s}^\perp$ which is an intersection of ideals, and we assumed $\mathfrak{s} \neq 0$ is minimal. But if it is zero then by Cartan \mathfrak{s} is solvable so $R(\mathfrak{g}) \neq 0$, contradiction. Thus $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\text{ad}}|_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is non-degenerate and hence we get a direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{s}^\perp$. Note \mathfrak{s} is not abelian as $R(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ and \mathfrak{s} is minimal implies \mathfrak{s} is simple. Moreover $R(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ implies $R(\mathfrak{s}^\perp) = 0$ (exercise) and we can conclude by induction on $\dim \mathfrak{g}$ as \mathfrak{s}^\perp is a Lie algebra of smaller dimension with $R(\mathfrak{s}^\perp) = 0$.

1 \implies 2: exercise: show that if \mathfrak{g} is semisimple then \mathfrak{g} is a direct sum of minimal ideals in a unique way. In particular show if $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{s}_i$ where \mathfrak{s}_i 's are minimal ideals of \mathfrak{g} and if \mathfrak{b} is a minimal ideal of \mathfrak{g} , show $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{s}_i$ for some i (hint: consider $\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{s}_i$ for all i). Derive as a corollary 1 \implies 2.

Finally let $D : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be a derivation with \mathfrak{g} semisimple. Consider the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \ell : \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow k \\ x &\mapsto \text{tr}(d\text{ad}x : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}) \end{aligned}$$

As $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\text{ad}}$ is non-degenerate, exists $y \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\ell(x) = (y, x)_{\text{ad}}$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Would like to show $E = D - \text{ad}y = 0$: enough to show $(Ex, z)_{\text{ad}} = 0$ for all $x, z \in \mathfrak{g}$. But

$$\text{ad}(Ex) = E\text{ad}x - \text{ad}xE = [E, \text{ad}x]$$

as

$$\text{ad}(Ex)(z) = [Ex, z] = E[x, z] - [x, Ez]$$

since E is a derivation. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (Ex, z)_{\text{ad}} &= \text{tr}(\text{ad}(Ex)\text{ad}(z)) \\ &= \text{tr}([E, \text{ad}x], \text{ad}z) \\ &= \text{tr}(E, [\text{ad}x, \text{ad}z]) \\ &= \text{tr}(E, \text{ad}[x, z]) \\ &= (E, [x, z])_{\text{ad}} \end{aligned}$$

But by the definition of E , $(E, a)_{\text{ad}} = 0$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, proving the result. \square

Exercise.

1. If \mathfrak{n} is a nilpotent Lie algebra then there exists a non-inner derivation $D : \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$.
2. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \langle x, y \rangle$, $[x, y] = y$. Show this has only inner derivations (so this doesn't characterise semisimple Lie algebras).

4 Structure theory of semisimple Lie algebras

Exercise.

1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra with two nondegenerate symmetric bilinear forms $(\cdot, \cdot)_1, (\cdot, \cdot)_2$. Show exists $\lambda \in k^*$ such that $(\cdot, \cdot)_1 = \lambda(\cdot, \cdot)_2$ (ch $k = 0, k = \bar{k}$).
2. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then there are two such forms: the Killing form and $(A, B) \mapsto \text{tr } AB$. Find λ .

Definition (torus). Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra. A *torus* $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ is an abelian subalgebra such that for all $t \in \mathfrak{t}$, $\text{ad } t : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a diagonalisable linear map. A *maximal torus* is a torus not contained in any strictly bigger torus.

Example. Let G be an algebraic group, $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^r \subseteq G$ a subgroup. Then $\text{Lie}(T)$ is a torus in $\text{Lie}(G)$.

Exercise.

1. If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$ then \mathfrak{t} of diagonal matrices in \mathfrak{g} is a maximal torus. Show the same for \mathfrak{sl}_n .
2. Show $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathfrak{sl}_2$ is not a torus.

If V is a vector space, $t_1, \dots, t_r : V \rightarrow V$ are pairwise commuting linear maps, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{C}^r$. Define

$$V_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)} = \{v \in V : t_i v = \lambda_i v \text{ for all } i\},$$

the simultaneous eigenspace.

Lemma 4.1. If each t_i is diagonalisable then $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^r} V_\lambda$.

Proof. Induction on R . If $r = 1$ this is the assumption t_1 is diagonalisable. For $r > 1$, induction gives

$$V = \bigoplus_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{r-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{r-1}} V_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{r-1})}$$

and now t_r commutes with each of t_1, \dots, t_{r-1} so preserves this eigenspace decomposition, so decomposes each $V_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{r-1})}$ into eigenspaces for t_r . \square

Recap: let \mathfrak{t} be an abelian Lie algebra with basis $t_1, \dots, t_n, k = \bar{k}$. Then

1. a rep V of \mathfrak{t} is irreducible if and only if $\dim V = 1$, exists $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^* = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{t}, k)$, $tv = \lambda(t)v$. $\lambda_i = \lambda(t_i)$ is the eigenvalue of t_i .
2. V is a direct sum of irreducible reps if and only if each t_i is diagonalisable.

Define $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ to be the maximal torus. If V is a rep of \mathfrak{t}^* . Write V_λ for the λ -weight space of V .

Corollary 4.2. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} + \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*} \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$

Definition. We define the roots of \mathfrak{g} to be $R = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^* : \mathfrak{g}_\lambda \neq 0\}$.

Example. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$, \mathfrak{t} the diagonal matrices, i.e. the trace 0 diagonal matrices. Let t be the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries t_1, \dots, t_n , E_{ij} with 1 at ij th entry and 0 elsewhere (matrix units). Then $[t, E_{ij}] = (t_i - t_j)E_{ij}$. Define linear maps $\varepsilon_i : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow k, \dots \mapsto t_i$. Then ε_i span \mathfrak{t}^* . Also as $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq k^n$, we have $(k^n)^* \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$. $(k^n)^*$ has basis ε_i , so this is quotient by $\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n = 0$.

Also $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{t}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} = k \cdot E_{ij}$ and so \mathfrak{sl}_n has root space decomposition

$$\mathfrak{sl}_n = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \neq j} \mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j}.$$

Exercise. Essential exercise. Suppose $k = \bar{k}$, $\text{ch } k \neq 2$ (can take $k = \mathbb{C}$). Compute the root space decomposition for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n, \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}, \mathfrak{so}_{2n}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ with \mathfrak{t} the diagonal matrices in \mathfrak{g} . Note we use the bilinear form defining \mathfrak{so}_n to be $\mathfrak{so}_n = \{A : JA + A^T J = 0\}$ where J is the antidiagonal matrix with entries 1. Check that \mathfrak{t} is indeed a maximal torus.

Subexercise: show this \mathfrak{so}_n is the same as $\{A + A^T = 0\}$ by showing all nondegenerate orthogonal forms are equivalent.

Proposition 4.3. $\mathfrak{sl}_n \mathbb{C}$ is a simple Lie algebra.

Proof. Suppose $\mathfrak{r} \subseteq \mathfrak{sl}_n \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ is a nonzero ideal. We must show $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{g}$. Choose $r \neq 0, r \in \mathfrak{r}$ such that $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{t} + \sum_{\alpha} e_\alpha$ with $e_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ with the minimal number of non-zero terms. First suppose $\mathfrak{t} \neq 0$. Choose $\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}$ such that $\alpha(t_0) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in R$, that is choosing a diagonal matrix with distinct eigenvalues. Consider $[t_0, r] \in \mathfrak{r}$, $[t_0, r] = \sum \alpha(t_0)e_\alpha$. If nonzero this has fewer terms than r , absurd. Thus $e_\alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha \in R$, i.e. $r = t \in \mathfrak{t}$. But $t \neq 0$ so exists $\alpha \in R$ with $\alpha(t) \neq 0$. (as $\alpha(t) = 0$ for all $\alpha = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$ is saying t is λI , but $\text{tr } \lambda I = n\lambda \neq 0$. Phrase in another way: R spans \mathfrak{t}^*)

Thus $[t, e_\alpha] = \alpha(t)e_\alpha \neq 0 \in \mathfrak{r}$ so $e_\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}$. But $\alpha = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$ for some $i \neq j$, so this says $E_{ij} \in \mathfrak{r}$. But $[E_{ij}, E_{jk}] = E_{ik}$ if $k \neq i$ and $[E_{si}, E_{ij}] = E_{sj}$ if $s \neq j$. Hence $E_{ab} \in \mathfrak{r}$ for all $a \neq b$. Finally

$$[E_{i,i+1}, E_{i+1,i}] = E_{ii} - E_{i+1,i+1} \in \mathfrak{r}$$

so we've just seen a basis for \mathfrak{sl}_n is in \mathfrak{r} .

Finally if $r = t + \sum e_\alpha$ and $t = 0$. If there is one term in this expression, i.e. $r = cE_{ij}$ for some $c \neq 0$, we are done as above. Otherwise

$$r = e_\alpha + e_\beta + \sum_{\gamma \in R \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}} e_\gamma$$

for some $\alpha \neq \beta$. Choose $t_0 \in \mathfrak{t}$ such that $\alpha(t_0) \neq \beta(t_0)$. Then some linear combination of $[t_0, r]$ and r is nonzero with fewer terms, absurd.

Key ingredient: $[E_{ij}, E_{jk}] = \dots$ Combinatorial. \square

Proposition 4.4. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Then*

1. *non-zero maximal tori \mathfrak{t} exist.*
2. *$\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{g}_0 = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [t, x] = 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathfrak{t}\}$, that is, such \mathfrak{t} are maximal abelian.*
3. *Will state more precisely later: any two such \mathfrak{t} are conjugate by an element of algebraic group G of automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} .*

Proof. Omitted, for lack of time. □

Hence $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, as we have seen by hand for the classical Lie algebras $\mathfrak{sl}_n, \mathfrak{so}_n, \mathfrak{so}_{2n}$.

Theorem 4.5 (structure theorem for semisimple Lie algebras, part 1). *Let \mathfrak{g} be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} , $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Then*

1. *the roots span \mathfrak{t}^* .*
2. *$\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = 1$ for all $\alpha \in R$.*
3. *If $\alpha, \beta \in R$ and $\alpha, \beta \in R$ then $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$. If $\alpha + \beta \notin R$ and $\alpha \neq -\beta$ then $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] = 0$.*
4. *$[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}] \subseteq \mathfrak{t}$ is one-dimensional and $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha + [\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}] + \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , isomorphic to \mathfrak{sl}_2 . In particular if $\alpha \in R$ then $-\alpha \in R$.*

Exercise. Check this for classical Lie algebras.

Proof. Suppose not. Then there exists $t \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ such that $\alpha(t) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in R$. But then if $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $[t, x] = \alpha(t)x = 0$ so $[t, \mathfrak{g}] = 0$, i.e. t is in the centre of \mathfrak{g} . But \mathfrak{g} is semisimple so has no nontrivial abelian ideals.

We now prove a sequence of results which implies most of them. If $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ then $[\mathfrak{g}_\lambda, \mathfrak{g}_\mu] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda+\mu}$.

Proof. If $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda, y \in \mathfrak{g}_\mu, t \in \mathfrak{t}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} [t, [x, y]] &= [[t, x], y] + [x, [t, y]] \\ &= \lambda(t)[x, y] + \mu(t)[x, y] \\ &= (\lambda + \mu)(t)[x, y] \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $\alpha, \beta \in R$ but $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$ and $\alpha + \beta \notin R$ (so $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta} = 0$) then $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] = 0$ and if $\alpha + \beta \in R$ then $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$. If $\alpha + \beta = 0$ then $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] \subseteq \mathfrak{t}$. Note we will not show $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$ for a while. □

Secondly claim $(g_\lambda, g_\mu)_{\text{ad}} = 0$ if $\lambda + \mu \neq 0$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\text{ad}}|_{\mathfrak{g}_\lambda + \mathfrak{g}_{-\lambda}}$ is nondegenerate.

Proof. Let $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda, y \in \mathfrak{g}_\mu$. To show this is 0, it is enough to show adxady is nilpotent (?). But

$$(\text{adxady})^N g_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+N(\lambda+\mu)}$$

by the previous part. So if $\lambda + \mu \neq 0$ then as \mathfrak{g} is finite dimensional, $g_{\alpha + N(\lambda + \mu)} = 0$ for $N \gg 0$, showing $(x, y)_{\text{ad}} = 0$.

On the other hand, the Killing form is nondegenerate and $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\lambda} (g_{\lambda} + g_{-\lambda})$ is an orthogonal decomposition by what we just showed, so $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\text{ad}}|_{g_{\lambda} + g_{-\lambda}}$ is nondegenerate. \square

In particular take $\lambda = 0$. Get $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\text{ad}}|_{\mathfrak{t}}$ is non-degenerate. Hence we get an isomorphism $v : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ by $v(t)(t') = (t, t')_{\text{ad}}$. Moreover this defines a symmetric bilinear form on \mathfrak{t}^* by $(v(t), v(t')) = (t, t')_{\text{ad}}$ (make v an isometry).

Claim if $\alpha \in R$ then $-\alpha \in R$: $(g_{\alpha}, g_{\alpha})_{\text{ad}} = 0$ as $\alpha \neq 0$ implies $2\alpha \neq 0$. But $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\text{ad}}|_{g_{\alpha} + g_{-\alpha}}$ is non-degenerate (in particular so Killing form gives an isomorphism $g_{\alpha} \cong g_{-\alpha}^*$).

Let $x \in g_{\alpha}, y \in g_{-\alpha}$. Claim $[x, y] = (x, y)_{\text{ad}} v^{-1}(\alpha)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (t, [x, y])_{\text{ad}} &= ([t, x], y)_{\text{ad}} \\ &= \alpha(t)(x, y)_{\text{ad}} \end{aligned}$$

\square

Pick $e_{\alpha} \in g_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha} \neq 0$ and $e_{-\alpha} \in g_{-\alpha}$ such that $(e_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha})_{\text{ad}} \neq 0$. and consider $M_{\alpha} = \langle e_{\alpha}, e_{-\alpha}, v^{-1}(\alpha) \rangle$. This is a 3 dimensional Lie algebra as

$$[v^{-1}(\alpha), e_{\alpha}] = \alpha(v^{-1}(\alpha))e_{\alpha} = (\alpha, \alpha)e_{\alpha}$$

and similarly $[v^{-1}(\alpha), e_{-\alpha}] = -(\alpha, \alpha)e_{-\alpha}$. So if $(\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0$ then define $h_{\alpha} = \frac{2}{(\alpha, \alpha)}v^{-1}(\alpha)$ and rescale $e_{-\alpha}$ so that $(e_{\alpha}, e_{-\alpha})_{\text{ad}} = \frac{2}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$. It is an exercise to show that $M_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2, e_{\alpha}, h, e_{-\alpha} \mapsto e, h, f$.

Now we show if $\alpha \in R$ then $(\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0$. Suppose otherwise, then $[M_{\alpha}, M_{\alpha}] = \mathbb{C}v^{-1}(\alpha)$ (or did we merely prove containment?), i.e. M_{α} is a solvable Lie algebra. Hence by Lie's theorem, $\text{ad}[M_{\alpha}, M_{\alpha}]$ acts as nilpotent operators on \mathfrak{g} , i.e. $\text{ad}v^{-1}(\alpha)$ is nilpotent. But $v^{-1}(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{t}$ and hence diagonalisable. Together this implies $v^{-1}(\alpha) = 0$. But $\alpha \in R$ means $\alpha \neq 0$, contradiction.

Claim $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} = 1$ for all $\alpha \in R$.

Proof. Fix α . Pick $\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ so $\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha} \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2$. If $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} > 1$ then the map $g_{-\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}v^{-1}(\alpha), x \mapsto \text{ad}e_{\alpha} \cdot x$ has a non-zero kernel. So exists $v \in g_{-\alpha}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}(e_{\alpha})v &= 0 \\ \text{ad}(h_{\alpha})v &= -\alpha(h_{\alpha}) \cdot v = -2v \end{aligned}$$

Claim v is a highest weight vector for \mathfrak{sl}_2 with negative highest weight. Hence the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -submodule of \mathfrak{g} generated by v is infinite dimensional, contradiction. \square

\square

Guaranteed question on exam: explain everything about each classical Lie algebra.

Theorem 4.6 (structure theorem, part II).

1. $\frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in R$.

2. If $\alpha \in R$ and $k\alpha \in R$ then $k = \pm 1$.
3. $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+k\alpha}$. This is an irreducible module for $(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_\alpha = \mathfrak{m}_\alpha$. In particular
- $$\{k\alpha + \beta : k \in \mathbb{Z}, k\alpha + \beta \in R \cup \{0\}\}$$
- is of the form $\beta - p\alpha, \beta - (p-1)\alpha, \dots, \beta + (p-1)\alpha, \beta + q\alpha$ where $p - q = \frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$. This is called the α string through β .

Proof.

1. Let $q = \max\{k \in \mathbb{Z} : \beta + k\alpha \in R\}$ and let $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+q\alpha} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\text{ade}_\alpha v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+(q+1)\alpha} = 0$ and

$$\text{adh}_\alpha v = (\beta + q\alpha)(h_\alpha) \cdot v = \left(\frac{2(\beta, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} + 2q \right) \cdot v$$

Hence is a highest weight vector for \mathfrak{sl}_2 with weight ... and this is a non-negative integer as \mathfrak{g} is finite dimensional.

- 2.
3. Structure of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules implies that $(\text{ade}_\alpha)^r v \neq 0$ for $0 \leq r \leq N$ where $N = \frac{2(\beta, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} + 2q$ and $(\text{ade}_{-\alpha})^{N+1} v = 0$. Hence

$$\{\beta + (q - k)\alpha : 0 \leq k \leq N\}$$

are all in $R \cup \{0\}$ (in particular, non-zero eigenspaces). We need to show no other roots of the form $\beta + k\alpha$. Repeat same construction from bottom up: $p = \max\{k : \beta - k\alpha \in R \cup \{0\}\}$, $w \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta-p\alpha} \setminus \{0\}$ implies $\text{ade}_{-\alpha} w = 0$ diagram and the strings coincide.

For 2, apply 1 to $\{\alpha, \beta\} = \{\alpha, k\alpha\}$ to get

$$\frac{2(\alpha, k\alpha)}{k\alpha, k\alpha} = \frac{2}{k} \in \mathbb{Z}, \frac{2(k\alpha, \alpha)}{\alpha, \alpha} = 2k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Take $\alpha = \beta$ in 2 (?) as $(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_\alpha = \mathfrak{g}_\alpha + [\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\alpha] + \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ is an irreducible $(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_\alpha$ -module, 2 says it is a string through α so $\mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha} = 0 = \mathfrak{g}_{-2\alpha}$.

Finally if $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \in R$, we need to show $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$. But $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+k\alpha}$ is an irreducible \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module, so $\text{ade}_k : \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+k\alpha} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+(k+1)\alpha}$ is an iso if $k < q$. But $q \geq 1$ so in particular $\text{ade}_\alpha : \mathfrak{g}_\beta \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\beta+\alpha}$ is an iso. \square

The statement of 3 is messy. Here is a much cleaner consequence.

Given $\alpha \in t^*$, define “reflection”

$$s_\alpha : t^* \rightarrow t^*$$

$$v \mapsto v - \frac{2(\alpha, v)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \alpha$$

Claim that 3 implies $s_\alpha \beta \in R$ if $\alpha, \beta \in R$.

Proof. Let $r = \frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$. If $r \geq 0$ then $p = q + r \geq r$. If $r \leq 0$ then $q = p - r \geq -r$. In either case $\beta - r\alpha$ is the α -string through β . Exercise: show drawing is accurate (reflection sends β to $s_\alpha \beta$). \square

Proposition 4.7.

1. If $\alpha, \beta \in R$ then $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Q}$.
2. If we pick a basis β_1, \dots, β_r of t^* with each $\beta_i \in R$ then any $\beta \in R$ is of the form $\sum q_i \beta_i$ with $q_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, that is the \mathbb{Q} -span of R has dimension equal to $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} t$.
3. (\cdot, \cdot) is positive definite on $\mathbb{Q}R$.

Proof.

1. As $\frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ it is enough to show $(\beta, \beta) \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all $\beta \in R$. Let $t, t' \in t$, then

$$(t, t')_{\text{ad}} = \text{tr}(\text{ad}t\text{ad}t' : g \rightarrow g) = \sum_{\alpha \in R} \alpha(t)\alpha(t')$$

by weight space decomposition. So if $\lambda, \mu \in t^*$ then

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda, \mu) &= (\nu^{-1}(\lambda), \nu^{-1}(\mu)) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in R} \alpha(\nu^{-1}(\lambda))\alpha(\nu^{-1}(\mu)) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in R} (\lambda, \alpha)(\mu, \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

In particular $(\beta, \beta) = \sum_{\alpha \in R} (\beta, \alpha)^2$. Multiply by $\frac{4}{(\beta, \beta)^2}$, get

$$\frac{4}{(\beta, \beta)} = \sum_{\alpha \in R} \left(\frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\beta, \beta)} \right)^2 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

2. Let B be the grand matrix of (\cdot, \cdot) on t^* with respect to basis β_i , meaning $B = [(\beta_i, \beta_j)]_{ij}$. It is an exercise to check (\cdot, \cdot) is nondegenerate implies $\det B \neq 0$. Let $\beta = \sum c_i \beta_i \in R$ so $(\beta, \beta_i) = \sum_j c_j (\beta_j, \beta_i)$, that is

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\beta, \beta_1) \\ \vdots \\ (\beta, \beta_r) \end{pmatrix} = B \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_r \end{pmatrix}$$

and as $\det B \neq 0$ we can invert this. Then $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}$.

3. Let $\lambda = \sum c_i \beta_i$ with $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, so $(\lambda, \alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all $\alpha \in R$. But $(\lambda, \lambda) = \sum_{\alpha \in R} (\lambda, \alpha)^2 \geq 0$ and $(\lambda, \lambda) = 0$ implies $(\lambda, \alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in R$. But R spans t^* and (\cdot, \cdot) is nondegenerate so $\lambda = 0$.

□

5 Root systems

Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{R} , let $(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an inner product, i.e. a positive definite symmetric bilinear form. If $\alpha \in V, \alpha \neq 0$ let $\alpha^\vee = \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$ so $(\alpha, \alpha^\vee) = 2$. Define

$$s_\alpha : V \rightarrow V \\ v \mapsto v - (v, \alpha^\vee)\alpha$$

Lemma 5.1. s_α is the reflection in the hyperplane orthogonal to α . In particular $s_\alpha \alpha = -\alpha$ and all other eigenvectors of s_α have eigenvalue 1. Moreover

$$s_\alpha^2 = 1, \quad (s_\alpha + 1)(s_\alpha - 1) = 0$$

and $s_\alpha \in O(V, (\cdot, \cdot))$, the orthogonal group of V with respect to (\cdot, \cdot) , which is in particular an algebraic group.

Proof. $V = \mathbb{R}\alpha \oplus \alpha^\perp$ and if $v \in \alpha^\perp$ then $s_\alpha v = v$. □

Definition (root system). A root system R in V is a finite set $R \subseteq V$ such that

1. $0 \notin R, \mathbb{R}R = V$,
2. for all $\alpha, \beta \in R, (\alpha, \beta^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}$,
3. for all $\alpha \in R, s_\alpha R \subseteq R$. In particular $s_\alpha \alpha = -\alpha \in R$.

Moreover R is *reduced* if in addition $\alpha, k\alpha \in R$ implies $k = \pm 1$.

Example. Let \mathfrak{g} be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Then it has weight space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Then R is a root system.

Definition (Weyl group). Let W be the group generated by the reflection s_α for $\alpha \in R$. This is the *Weyl group of R* .

Claim that W is finite.

Proof. W acts on R by permutations and as $\mathbb{R}R = V$, this action is faithful (?), so $W \subseteq \text{Sym}(R)$ so finite. □

Definition. The *rank* of R is the dimension of V .

Definition. An isomorphism of root systems between (V, R) and (V', R') is a linear bijection $\phi : V \rightarrow V'$ such that $\phi(R) = R'$.

Note that we do not require this to be an isometry.

Exercise. If $(R, V), (R', V')$ are two root systems then so is $(R \amalg R', V \oplus V')$.

A root system not isomorphic to a direct sum is called *irreducible*.

Example.

1. Rank 1: take $V = \mathbb{R}, (x, y) = xy, R = \{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ with $\alpha \in R, \alpha \neq 0$. $W = \mathbb{Z}/2$. Exercise: this is the only rank 1 root system.
2. rank 2
 - (a) $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ with usual inner product is a root system. This is called $A_1 \times A_1$ and is not irreducible. $W = \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$.
 - (b) $(\alpha, \beta) = -1, \alpha = \alpha^\vee, \beta = \beta^\vee$. $W = S_3$. This is the root system for sl_3 . This is A_2 .
 - (c) B_2 . $W = D_8$.
 - (d) $\alpha = e_1, \beta = e_2 - e_1, (\alpha, \alpha) = 1, (\beta, \beta) = 1$. This is G_2 .

Exercise.

1. Show these are root systems.
2. Show they are all the rank 2 root systems.
3. Show A_2, B_2, G_2 are irreducible.

Exercise. If (R, V) is a root system then so is (R^\vee, V) where $R^\vee = \{\alpha^\vee : \alpha \in R\}$.

Definition (simply laced). R is simply laced if all the roots have the same length.

Exercise. If R is a simply laced root system then R is isomorphic to a root system with $(\alpha, \alpha) = 2$ for all $\alpha \in R$.

Definition (lattice). A *lattice* L is a finitely generated free abelian group (i.e. isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^ℓ for some ℓ) equipped with a form $(\cdot, \cdot) : L \otimes L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that the induced form $(\cdot, \cdot) : L_{\mathbb{R}} \times L_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive definite symmetric bilinear form, where $L_{\mathbb{R}} = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^\ell$.

A *root* of L is a vector $\alpha \in L$ with $(\alpha, \alpha) = 2$. We denote the set of roots of L by R_L .

Exercise. If $\alpha \in R_L$ then $s_\alpha(L) \subseteq L$.

Lemma 5.2. R_L is a simply laced root system in $\mathbb{R}R_L$.

Proof. Obvious except finiteness of R_L . But R_L is the intersection of a compact set (the sphere $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}L : (\alpha, \alpha) = 2\}$) and a discrete set (L) , so finite. \square

Definition. L is generated by roots if $\mathbb{Z}R_L = L$.

Note if so, L is an “even lattice”, i.e. $(\ell, \ell) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all $\ell \in L$.

Example. $L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ with $(\alpha, \alpha) = 2$. If $\lambda = 2$ then $R_L = \{\pm\alpha\}$ and $L = \mathbb{Z}R_L$. If $\frac{k^2\lambda}{2} \neq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $R_L = \emptyset$.

We will now meet all simply laced lattices generated by roots.

1. A_n Consider $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathbb{Z}e_i$, $(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}$. This is the square lattice. Define

$$L = \{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} : (\ell, e_1 + \cdots + e_{n+1}) = 0\} = \{\sum a_i e_i : \sum a_i = 0\} \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$$

then $R_L = \{e_i - e_j : i \neq j\}$, $\#R_L = n(n+1)$, $\mathbb{Z}R_L = L$. If $\alpha = e_i - e_j$ then s_α waps i th and j th coordinate, i.e.

$$s_\alpha(x_1 e_1 + \cdots + x_{n+1} e_{n+1}) = x_1 e_1 + \cdots + x_j e_i + \cdots + x_i e_j + \cdots + x_{n+1} e_{n+1}$$

so $W = \langle s_{e_i - e_j} : i \neq j \rangle \cong S_{n+1}$.

(R_L, L) is the root system of \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1} .

Exercise.

- (a) Check all these statement, especially the one about root of \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1} .
- (b) Draw L and R_L for $n = 1, 2$. Check A_2, A_2 are as produced earlier.

2. D_n . Consider the square lattice \mathbb{Z}^n and define

$$R_L = \{\pm e_i \pm e_j : i \neq j\}$$

$$L = \mathbb{Z}R_L = \{\sum a_i e_i : \sum a_i \text{ even}\}$$

and $s_{e_i - e_j}$ as before, and $s_{e_i + e_j}$ flips signs of i th and j th coordinate. $\#R_L = 2n(n+1)$. Then $W = (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{n-1} \rtimes S_n$.

Exercise.

- (a) Check all the claims.
- (b) Show D_n is irreducible if $n \geq 3$.
- (c) $D_3 \cong A_3, D_2 \cong A_1 \times A_1$.
- (d) Roots of \mathfrak{so}_{2n} are of type D_n .

3. E_8 . Let

$$\Gamma_n = \{(k_1, \dots, k_n) : \sum k_i \in 2\mathbb{Z} \text{ and either } k_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ or } k_i \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \text{ for all } i\}$$

with the usual inner product of \mathbb{R}^n . Consider $\alpha = (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})$. Note $(\alpha, \alpha) = \frac{n}{4}$ so if $\alpha \in \Gamma_n$ and Γ_n is an even lattice then $8 \mid n$.

Exercise.

- (a) Show Γ_{8n} is an even lattice.
- (b) If $n > 1$, roots of Γ_{8n} are a root system of type D_n .
- (c) Show the roots of Γ_8 are $\{\pm e_i \pm e_j : i \neq j\} \cup \{\frac{1}{2}(\pm e_i \pm \dots \pm e_8) : \text{even number of minus signs}\}$. Roots of Γ_8 are called *root system of type E_8* . $\#R_{E_8} = \binom{8}{2} \cdot 4 + 128 = 240$, so by classification of semisimple Lie algebras the associated Lie algebra has dimension 248.
- (d) Can you compute $\#W_{E_8}$? The answer is $2^{14} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$.

Exercise. If R is a root system, $\alpha \in R$ then $\alpha^\perp \cap R$ is a root system.

Index

- adjoint representation, 5
- algebraic representation, 6

- Cartan's criteria, 19
- center, 5
- central series, 16
- character, 14
- Clebsch-Gordan, 15
- composition series, 12

- derivation, 20
 - inner, 20
- derived series, 16
- derived subalgebra, 16

- Engel's theorem, 18

- Heisenberg Lie algebra, 17

- invariant symmetric bilinear form, 19

- Killing form, 19

- lattice, 30
- Lie algebra, 2, 4
- Lie's theorem, 18

- maximal torus, 23

- nilpotent, 16

- radical, 20
- representation, 5
- root, 30
- root system, 29

- semisimplicity, 20
- simple, 16
- simply laced, 30
- solvable, 16

- tensor product, 14
- torus, 23
- trace form, 19

- weight space, 9
- Weyl group, 29