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## 0 Introduction

Motivation: complex geometry is the study of complex manifolds. These locally look like open subsets of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with holomorphic transition functions. In particular one dimensional complex manifolds are Riemann surfaces. Every (smooth) projective variety is a complex manifolds. A main result of the course is to give a partial converse.

Complex tools are often used to study projective varieties. For example Hodge conjecture and moduli theory. On the other hand there are lots of questions that are also interesting in their own right. Projective surfaces were classified in 1916. Classification of compact complex surfaces is still open (most recent progress in 2005).

## 1 Several complex variables

Definition (holomorphic). Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be open. A smooth function $f$ : $U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic if it is holomorphic in each variable. A function $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}$ is holomorphic if each coordinate is holomorphic.

Remark. There is an equivalent definition in terms of power series.
Consider the homeomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{C}^{n} & \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 n} \\
\left(x_{1}+i y_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}+i y_{n}\right) & \mapsto\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $f=u+i v$ then complex analysis implies that $f$ is holomorphic if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} & =\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_{j}} \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{i}} & =-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

this is the Cauchy-Riemann equations. If one formally defines

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}\right) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z_{j}}} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}+i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

then $f$ is holomorphic if and only if $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}=0$ for all $j$.
Proposition 1.1 (maximum principle). Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be open and connected. If $f$ is holomorphic on some bounded open disk $U$ with $\bar{D} \subseteq U$ then

$$
\max _{\bar{D}}|f(z)|=\max _{\partial \bar{D}}|f(z)| .
$$

Proof. Repeated application of single variable maximum principle.
Thus if $|f|$ achieves its maximum at an interior point, $f$ is constant.

Proposition 1.2 (identity principle). If $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is open connected and $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and $f$ vanishes on an open subset of $U$ then $f=0$.

Proof. Repeated application of single variable version of identity principle.

## 2 Complex manifolds

Let $X$ be a second countable Hausdorff topological space. We always assume $X$ is connected.

Definition (holomorphic atlas). A holomorphic atlas for $X$ is a collection of $\left(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}\right)$ where $\varphi_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \rightarrow \varphi_{\alpha}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a homeomorphism, with

1. $X=\bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$,
2. $\varphi_{\alpha} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{-1}$ are holomorphic.

Definition (equivalent atlas). Two holomorphic atlases $\left(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}\right),\left(\tilde{U}_{\beta}, \tilde{U}_{\beta}\right)$ are equivalent if $\varphi_{\alpha} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{\beta}^{-1}$ is holomorphic for all $\alpha, \beta$.

Equivalently, their union is an atlas.

Definition (complex manifold, complex structure). A complex manifold is a topological space as above with an equivalence class of holomorphic atlases. Such an equivalence class is called a complex structure.

## Example.

1. $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is trivially a complex manifold.
2. $\Delta=\{z:|z|<1 \mid \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.
3. $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, the (complex) projective space. As a set this is the one-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. A point is $\left[z_{0}: \cdots: z_{n}\right]$. A holomorphic atlas is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{i} & =\left\{z_{i} \neq 0\right\} \\
\varphi_{i}\left(\left[z_{0}: \cdots: z_{n}\right]\right) & =\left(\frac{z_{1}}{z_{i}}, \ldots, \frac{\hat{z}_{i}}{z_{i}}, \ldots, \frac{z_{n}}{z_{i}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the hat denotes that the omitted coordinate. One can check that transition functions are holomorphic. Moreover $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ is compact.

Definition (holomorphic, biholomorphic). A smooth function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic if $f \circ \varphi^{-1}: \varphi(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic for all $(U, \varphi)$.

A smooth map $F: X \rightarrow Y$ is holomorphic if for all charts $(U, \varphi)$ for $X$, $(V, \psi)$ for $Y$, the map $\psi \circ F \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is holomorphic. $F$ is biholomorphic if it has a holomorpic inverse.

Exercise. If $X$ is compact then any holomorphic function on $X$ is constant. As a corollary, compact complex manifold cannot embed in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ for any $m$.

Exercise. If $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and vanishes on an open set on $X$ then $f=0$. Thus there is no holomorphic analogue of bump functions.

Definition (closed complex submanifold). Let $Y \subseteq X$ be a smooth submanifold of dimension $2 k<2 n=\operatorname{dim} X$. We say $Y$ is a closed complex submanifold if there exists a holomorphic atlas $\left(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}\right)$ for $X$ such that it restricts to

$$
\varphi_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \cap Y \rightarrow \varphi\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \cap \mathbb{C}^{k}
$$

with $\mathbb{C}^{k} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$ as $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$.
Exercise. Show that a closed complex submanifold is naturally a complex manifold.

Definition (projective manifold). We say $X$ is projective is it is biholomorphic to a compact closed complex submanifold of $\mathbb{P}^{m}$ for some $m$.

We state without proof a theorem:

Theorem 2.1 (Chow). A projective complex manifold is a projective variety, i.e. the vanishing set in $\mathbb{P}^{m}$ of some homogeneous polynomial equations.

In the example sheet we'll see an example of a compact complex manifold which is not projective.

## 3 Almost complex structures

How much complex structure can be recovered from linear data?
Let $V$ be a real vector space.
Definition (complex structure). A linear map $J: V \rightarrow V$ with $J^{2}=-\mathrm{id}$ is called a complex structure.

This is motivated by the endomorphism on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$

$$
\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(y_{1},-x_{1}, \ldots, y_{n},-x_{n}\right)
$$

This is called the standard complex structure.
As $J^{2}=-\mathrm{id}$, the eigenvalues are $\pm i$. Since $V$ is real, there are no eigenspaces. Consider $V_{\mathbb{C}}=V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. Then $J$ extends to $J: V_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{C}}$ with $J^{2}=-\mathrm{id}$. Let $V^{1,0}$ and $V^{0,1}$ denote the eigenspaces of $\pm i$ respectively.

## Lemma 3.1.

1. $V_{\mathbb{C}}=V^{1,0} \oplus V^{0,1}$.
2. $\overline{V^{1,0}}=V^{0,1}$.

Proof.

1. For $v \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$, write

$$
v=\frac{1}{2} \underbrace{(v-i J v)}_{\in V^{1,0}}+\frac{1}{2} \underbrace{(v+i J v)}_{\in V^{0,1}} .
$$

2. Follows from 1.

Definition (almost complex structure). Let $X$ be a smooth manifold. An almost complex structure is a bundle isomorphism $J: T X \rightarrow T X$ with $J^{2}=-\mathrm{id}$.

Suppose $X$ admits an almost complex structure. One can complexify $T X$ to obtain $(T X)_{\mathbb{C}}=T X \otimes \mathbb{C}$ so each fibre of $(T X)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow X$ is a complex vector space. $(T X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is called the complexified tangent bundle.

Same as the case for complex structure, $(T X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ splits as a direct sum

$$
(T X)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong T X^{1,0} \oplus T X^{0,1}
$$

To obtain this, one uses, for example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T X^{1,0}=\operatorname{ker}(J-i \mathrm{id}) \\
& T X^{0,1}=\operatorname{ker}(J+i \mathrm{id})
\end{aligned}
$$

Exercise. Let $U, V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$ open, $f: U \rightarrow V$ smooth. Then $f$ is holomorphic if and only if $d f$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear.

On $T \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ there is a natural almost complex structure coming from the one on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$, denoted $J_{\text {st }}$. Let $X$ be a complex manifold. If $U \subseteq X$ is a chart with $\varphi: U \rightarrow \varphi(U) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$, the differential of $\varphi$ gives a bundle map $J=d \varphi^{-1} \circ J_{\mathrm{st}} \circ d \varphi: T U \rightarrow T U$.

Proposition 3.2. $J$ defined above is independent of (holomorphic) chart, so gives an almost complex structure on $X$.

Proof. Suppose $\varphi, \psi$ are charts around the same point. What we need to show is

$$
d \varphi^{-1} \circ J_{\mathrm{st}} \circ d \varphi=d \psi^{-1} \circ J_{\mathrm{st}} \circ d \psi,
$$

i.e.

$$
d\left(\left(\varphi \circ \psi^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right) \circ J_{\mathrm{st}} \circ d\left(\varphi \circ \psi^{-1}\right)=J_{\mathrm{st}} .
$$

$\varphi \circ \psi^{-1}$ is a holomorphic map between open open subsets of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and so $d((\varphi \circ$ $\left.\psi^{-1}\right)$ ) commutes with $J_{\text {st }}$, which is similar to the exercise.

Remark. There are lots of almost complex structure not arising in this way. Those that do are called integrable. In general it is difficult to tell whether a smooth manifold with an almost complex structure admits a complex structure. For example $S^{6}$ admits an almost complex structure which is not integrable. It's an open problem whether or not $S^{6}$ admits a complex structure. As an aside, an almost complex structure is integrable if and only if the Nijenhuis tensor vanishes.

Definition (holomorphic tangent bundle). $T X^{1,0}$ is called the holomorphic tangent bundle of $X$.

If $V$ is a real vector space and $J$ is a complex structure then one obtains a complex structure on $V^{*}$ in the natural way. Thus analoguously one obtains

$$
\left(T^{*} X\right)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong T^{*} X^{1,0} \oplus T^{*} X^{0,1}
$$

Locally if $\varphi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a chart, we say that $z_{j}=x_{j}+i y_{j}$ are local coordinates. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}} \\
& J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}\right)=-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(see the connection with Cauchy-Riemann) and

$$
\begin{gathered}
J\left(\mathrm{~d} x_{j}\right)=-\mathrm{d} y_{j} \\
J\left(\mathrm{~d} y_{j}\right)=\mathrm{d} x_{j}
\end{gathered}
$$

where we also use $J$ to denote the dual of $J$.

## Definition. We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} z_{j} & =\mathrm{d} x_{j}+i \mathrm{~d} y_{j} \\
\mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{j} & =\mathrm{d} x_{j}-i \mathrm{~d} y_{j} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}\right) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}+i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\mathrm{d} z_{j}, \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{j}$ are sections of $\left(T^{*} X\right)_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}$ are sections of $(T X)_{\mathbb{C}}$.
Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J\left(\mathrm{~d} z_{j}\right)=i \mathrm{~d} z_{j}, J\left(\mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{j}\right)=-i \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{j} \\
& J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}\right)=i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}, J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}\right)=-i \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We see the $\mathrm{d} z_{j}$ form a local frame for $T^{*} X^{1,0}$, similarly $\mathrm{d} \bar{z}_{j}$ form a local frame for $T^{*} X^{0,1}$. Same for tangent bundle.

If $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, say $f=u+i v$ then $\mathrm{d} f=\mathrm{d} u+i \mathrm{~d} v$ is a smooth section of

$$
\left(T^{*} X\right)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong T^{*} X^{1,0} \oplus T^{*} X^{0,1}
$$

We denote by $p_{1}, p_{2}$ the two projections.
Definition. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial f=p_{1}(\mathrm{~d} f) \\
& \bar{\partial} f=p_{2}(\mathrm{~d} f)
\end{aligned}
$$

In a local frame,

$$
\mathrm{d} f=\sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{j}} \mathrm{~d} z_{j}+\sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{j}=\partial f+\bar{\partial} f
$$

so $f$ is holomorphic if and only if $\bar{\partial} f=0$.
We now do the same for higher degree forms.
Definition (form). A section of

$$
\Lambda^{p, q} T^{*} X=\Lambda^{p} T^{*} X^{1,0} \otimes \Lambda^{q} T^{*} X^{0,1}
$$

is called a $(p, q)$-form.
Locally a $(p, q)$-form looks like

$$
\sum f \mathrm{~d} z_{j_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{j_{p}} \wedge \mathrm{~d}{\overline{\ell_{\ell}}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d} \bar{z}_{\ell_{q}}
$$

Note that $f$ is only required to be smooth and not required to be either holomorphic or antiholomorphic. For example $\bar{z} \mathrm{~d} z$ is a section of $T^{*} X^{1,0}$.

Definition. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}(U)$ the sections of $\Lambda^{k}\left(T^{*} X\right)_{\mathbb{C}}$ over $U \subseteq X$. We also denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(U)$ the smooth sections of $\Lambda^{p, q} T^{*} X$.

In particular $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,0}(U)$ consists of smooth $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions.

## Lemma 3.3.

1. There is a natural identification

$$
\Lambda^{k}\left(T^{*} X\right)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Lambda^{p, q}\left(T^{*} X\right)
$$

so

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}(U) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(U)
$$

2. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(U), \beta \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}(U)$ then $\alpha \wedge \beta \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p+p^{\prime}, q+q^{\prime}}(U)$.

Proof. Fibrewise this follows from linear algebra. One can use a frame to obtain the bundle results.

### 3.1 Dolbeault cohomology

Denote by d : $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k+1}(U)$ the usual exterior derivative.
Definition. $\partial: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p+1, q}(U)$ is defined as d composed with projection to $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p+1, q}(U)$. Similarly define $\bar{\partial}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q+1}(U)$.

Locally if

$$
\alpha=\sum f \mathrm{~d} z_{I} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{J}
$$

then

$$
\mathrm{d} \alpha=\underbrace{\sum \sum_{r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{r}} \mathrm{~d} z_{r} \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{I} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{J}}_{\partial \alpha}+\underbrace{\sum_{r} \sum_{r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_{r}} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{r} \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{I} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{J}}_{\bar{\partial} \alpha} .
$$

## Lemma 3.4.

1. $\mathrm{d}=\partial+\bar{\partial}$.
2. $\partial^{2}=0, \bar{\partial}^{2}=0, \partial \bar{\partial}=-\bar{\partial} \partial$.
3. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(U), \beta \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}(U)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial(\alpha \wedge \beta)=\partial \alpha \wedge \beta+(-1)^{p+q} \alpha \wedge \partial \beta \\
& \bar{\partial}(\alpha \wedge \beta)=\bar{\partial} \alpha \wedge \beta+(-1)^{p+q} \alpha \wedge \bar{\partial} \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof.

1. Follows from local expression.
2. Follows from $\mathrm{d}^{2}=0$.
3. Follows from

$$
\mathrm{d}(\alpha \wedge \beta)=\mathrm{d} \alpha \wedge \beta+(-1)^{p+q} \alpha \wedge \mathrm{~d} \beta
$$

Definition (Dolbeault cohomology). The ( $p, q$ )-Dolbeault cohomology of $X$ is given by

$$
H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X)=\frac{\operatorname{ker} \bar{\partial}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q+1}(X)}{\operatorname{im} \bar{\partial}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q-1}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(X)}
$$

which makes sense as $\bar{\partial}^{2}=0$. These are vector spaces.
Remark. One could make an analogous definition using $\partial$ and the information would be equivalent. Historically, people are interested in holomorphic functions, i.e. $f$ with $\bar{\partial} f=0$.

Recall the de Rham cohomology group

$$
H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{i}(X ; \mathbb{R})=\frac{\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathrm{d}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{i}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{i+1}(X)\right)}{\operatorname{im}\left(\mathrm{d}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{i-1}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{i}(X)\right)}
$$

One similarly defines

$$
H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{i}(X ; \mathbb{C})=\frac{\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathrm{d}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{i}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{i+1}(X)\right)}{\operatorname{im}\left(\mathrm{d}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{i-1}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{i}(X)\right)} \cong H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{i}(X ; \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}
$$

so we do not gain or lose anything.
Much of the course will be devoted to prove Hodge decomposition, which asserts that for a certain class of compact manifolds, which include projective varieties,

$$
H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{k}(X ; \mathbb{C}) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X)
$$

Note that the statement alone is not true in general.
Exercise. If $F: X \rightarrow Y$ is holomorphic then $F$ induces a map

$$
F^{*}: H \frac{p, q}{\bar{\partial}}(Y) \rightarrow H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X)
$$

via pullback.
Dolbeaut cohomology is the obstruction of a smooth section to being holomorphic and has its origin in the Mittag-Leffler problem: let $S$ be a Riemann surface, i.e. one dimensional complex manifold. A principal part at $x \in S$ is a Laurent series of the form

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} z^{-k}
$$

with $z$ a local coordinate. The Mittag-Leffler problem then asks given $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r} \in$ $S$ and principal parts $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}$, is there a meromorphic function on $S$ with these principal parts at $x_{i}$ 's?

Take local solutions $f_{i}$ at $x_{i}$, defined on some $U_{i}$ which form a cover of $S$ and a partition of unity $\rho_{i}$ subordinate to the $U_{i}$. Then $\sum_{j=1}^{r} \rho_{j} f_{j}$ is smooth on $S \backslash\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right\}$ with prescribed local expression (which is not necessarily holomorphic).

A calculation shows that $g=\bar{\partial}\left(\sum_{j} \rho_{j} f_{j}\right)$ extends to a smooth $(0,1)$-form on $S$. Clearly $\bar{\partial} g=0$ as $\bar{\partial}^{2}=0$ so $[g] \in H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,1}(S)$. Suppose $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,1}(S)=0$. Then there is a smooth function $h$ with $\bar{\partial} h=g$ and $f=\sum_{j} \rho_{j} f_{j}-h$ solves the Mittag-Leffler problem. In fact it can be shown that this is possible if and only if $[g]=0 \in H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,1}(S)$ using sheaf cohomology.

## $3.2 \bar{\partial}$-Poincaré lemma

Recall Poincaré lemma: if $X$ is a contractible smooth manifold then

$$
H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{i}(X ; \mathbb{R})=0
$$

for $i>0$. We'll prove the analogous result for Dolbeault cohomology: a polydisk is a subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ of the form $P=\left\{\left|z_{i}\right|<r_{i}\right\}$ (with $r=\infty$ allowed). Have

$$
H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(P)=0
$$

if $p+q>0$.

Proposition 3.5. Let $D=D(a, r) \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ be a disk, $f \in C^{\infty}(\bar{D}), z \in D$. Then

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} \mathrm{~d} w+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{D} \frac{\partial f(w)}{\partial \bar{w}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} w \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{w}}{w-z} .
$$

This is a generalisation of Cauchy integral formula, with a correction term for non-holomorphic component.

Proof. Let $D_{\varepsilon}=D(z, \varepsilon)$ and

$$
\eta=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} \mathrm{~d} w \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1}\left(D \backslash D_{\varepsilon}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
\mathrm{d} \eta=\bar{\partial} \eta=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \frac{\partial f(w)}{\partial \bar{w}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} w \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{w}}{w-z}
$$

so by Stokes',

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial D_{\varepsilon}} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} \mathrm{~d} w=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} \mathrm{~d} w+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{D \backslash D_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial f(w)}{\partial \bar{w}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} w \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{w}}{w-z}
$$

The first term converges to $f(z)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ : set $w-z=\varepsilon e^{i \theta}$ so

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial D_{\varepsilon}} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} \mathrm{~d} w=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(z+\varepsilon e^{i \theta}\right) \mathrm{d} \theta
$$

which goes to $f(z)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ since $f$ is smooth.
As $\mathrm{d} w \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{w}=-2 i \mathrm{~d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y=-2 i r \mathrm{~d} r \wedge \mathrm{~d} \theta$,

$$
\left|\frac{\partial f(w)}{\partial \bar{w}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} w \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{w}}{w-z}\right|=2\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{w}} \mathrm{~d} r \wedge \mathrm{~d} \theta\right| \leq C|\mathrm{~d} r \wedge \mathrm{~d} \theta|
$$

so

$$
\int_{D_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial f(w)}{\partial \bar{w}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} w \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{w}}{w-z} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 3.6 ( $\bar{\partial}$-Poincaré lemma in one variable). Let $D=D(a, r)$ be a disk $(r<\infty)$ and let $g \in C^{\infty}(\bar{D})$. Then

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{D} \frac{g(w)}{w-z} \mathrm{~d} w \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{w} \in C^{\infty}(D)
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial \bar{z}}=g(z)
$$

Proof. First reduce to the case $g$ has compact support. Take $z_{0} \in D$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
D_{2 \varepsilon}=D\left(z_{0}, 2 \varepsilon\right) \subsetneq D .
$$

Using a partition of unity for the cover of $D$ given by $\left\{D \backslash \bar{D}_{\varepsilon}, D_{2 \varepsilon}\right\}$, write

$$
g(z)=g_{1}(z)+g_{2}(z)
$$

where $g_{1}$ vanishes outside $D_{2 \varepsilon}$ and $g_{2}$ vanishes on $D_{\varepsilon}$.
Define

$$
f_{2}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{D} \frac{g_{2}(w)}{w-z} \mathrm{~d} w \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{w} .
$$

Then $f_{2}(z)$ is smooth on $D_{\varepsilon}$ as $g_{2}$ vanishes on $D_{\varepsilon}$. Differentiate under the integral sign (as the integrand is smooth), get

$$
\frac{\partial f_{2}(z)}{\partial \bar{z}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{D} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{g_{2}(w)}{w-z} \mathrm{~d} w \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{w}=0=g_{2}(z) .
$$

As $g_{1}(z)$ has compact support we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{D} \frac{g_{1}(w)}{w-z} \mathrm{~d} w \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{w} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{g_{1}(w)}{w-z} \mathrm{~d} w \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{w} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{g_{1}(u+z)}{u} \mathrm{~d} u \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{u} \\
& =-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} g_{1}\left(z+r e^{i \theta}\right) e^{-i \theta} \mathrm{~d} r \wedge \mathrm{~d} \theta \in C^{\infty}(D)
\end{aligned}
$$

Define this to be $f_{1}$. The trick here is that we defined $f_{1}$ in this way so that it is automatically smooth. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f_{1}(z)}{\partial \bar{z}} & =-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial g_{1}\left(z+r e^{i \theta}\right)}{\partial \bar{z}} e^{-i \theta} \mathrm{~d} r \wedge \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& =-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial g_{1}(w)}{\partial \bar{w}} \underbrace{\frac{\partial\left(\bar{z}+e^{i \theta}\right)}{\partial \bar{z}}}_{=1} e^{-i \theta}+\frac{\partial g_{1}(w)}{\partial w} \underbrace{\frac{\partial\left(z+e^{-i \theta}\right)}{\partial \bar{z}}}_{=0} e^{i \theta} \mathrm{~d} r \wedge \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial g_{1}(w)}{\partial \bar{w}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} w \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{w}}{w-z}
\end{aligned}
$$

so by Cauchy integral,

$$
g_{1}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \underbrace{\int_{\partial D} \frac{g_{1}(w)}{w-z} \mathrm{~d} w}_{=0 \text { as } g_{1}=0 \text { on } \partial D}+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{D} \frac{\partial g_{1}(w)}{\partial \bar{w}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} w \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{w}}{w-z}=\frac{\partial f_{1}(z)}{\partial \bar{z}}
$$

Setting $f=f_{1}+f_{2}$ gives

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}(z)=g(z)
$$

for $z \in D_{\varepsilon}$. But $z_{0}$ was arbitrary so this works for all $z_{0}$.
In other words, if $\alpha=g \mathrm{~d} \bar{z} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,1}(D)$ and $f$ is as above, then $\bar{\partial} f=\alpha$. Thus
Corollary 3.7. $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,1}(D)=0$.
For the general $\bar{\partial}$-Poincaré lemma, we shall use multiindex notation: if $I=$ $\left(I_{1}, \ldots, I_{k}\right)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} z_{I} & =\mathrm{d} z_{I_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{I_{k}} \\
f_{I} & =f_{I_{1} \ldots I_{k}} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{I}} & =\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial z_{I_{1}} \ldots \partial z_{I_{k}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $|I|=k$.
At some point we are going to extend the result to $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ by taking a sequence of holomorphic functions. The following result justifies the process:

Lemma 3.8. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be open, $B \subsetneq B^{\prime} \subseteq U$ where $B, B^{\prime}$ are bounded polydisks. Then for any multiindices $I$ there is a constant $c_{I}$ such that for all $u$ holomorphic on $U$, we have

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial z_{I}}\right\|_{C^{0}(B)} \leq c_{I}\|u\|_{C^{0}\left(B^{\prime}\right)} .
$$

Proof. Follows from multivariable Cauchy integral formula, which follows from the single variable version.

Corollary 3.9. Let $u_{k}$ be a sequence of holomorphic functions on $U$ with $u_{k} \rightarrow u$ uniformly on compact subsets of $U$. Then $u$ is holomorphic.

Proof. By the previous lemma, $u$ is smooth. Moreover $\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}} \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}$ so since $\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}}=0, \bar{\partial} u=0$ so $u$ is holomorphic.

Then we have the following result due to Grothendieck:
Theorem 3.10 ( $\bar{\partial}$-Poincaré lemma). Let

$$
P=P(a, r)=\left\{\left|z_{i}-a_{i}\right|<r_{i}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

with $r_{i} \in(0, \infty]$. Then for all $q>0$ we have

$$
H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(P)=0
$$

That is, if $\bar{\partial} \omega=0$ then exists $\psi$ with $\bar{\partial} \psi=\omega$.
Proof. We first reduce to $p=0$. Indeed if $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(P)$ is closed then $\bar{\partial} \omega=0$ so we may write

$$
\omega=\sum_{|I|=p} \varphi_{I} \mathrm{~d} z_{I}
$$

where $\bar{\partial} \varphi_{I}=0$. Hence if we can find $\psi_{I}$ with $\bar{\partial} \psi_{I}=\varphi_{I}$ and then

$$
\bar{\partial}\left(\sum_{|I|=p} \psi_{I} \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{I}\right)=\omega
$$

Thus we may assume $p=0$. The proof consists of two steps.
Step 1 Given $\omega \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0, q}(P)$, we show that if $P^{\prime}=P(a, s)$ with $s_{i}<r_{i}$ necessarily finite then we can find $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0, q-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ with $\bar{\partial} \psi=\left.\omega\right|_{P^{\prime}}$.

Given a form

$$
\omega=\sum_{|I|=q} \omega_{I} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{I}
$$

we say

$$
\omega=0 \quad \bmod \left\{\mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k}\right\}
$$

if $\omega_{I}=0$ unless $I \subseteq\{1, \ldots, k\}$. We shall prove that if $\omega=0 \bmod \left\{\mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k}\right\}$ then there is $\psi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0, q-1}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\omega-\bar{\partial} \psi=0 \bmod \left\{\mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k-1}\right\}$. By induction and $k=n$ being vacuous, this will prove step 1 .

So suppose $\omega=0 \bmod \left\{\mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k}\right\}$ and write

$$
\omega=\omega_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k}+\omega_{2}
$$

where $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ have no $\mathrm{d} \bar{z}_{k}$ terms. Have

$$
\omega_{1}=\sum_{I: k \in I} \omega_{I} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{I \backslash\{k\}}, \omega_{2}=0 \quad \bmod \left\{\mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k-1}\right\}
$$

Since $\bar{\partial} \omega=0$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial \omega_{I}}{\partial \bar{z}_{\ell}}=0
$$

for $\ell>k$. Set

$$
\psi=\sum_{I: k \in I}(-1)^{k-1} \psi_{I} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{I \backslash\{k\}}
$$

where

$$
\psi_{I}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|\xi| \leq s_{k}} \omega_{I}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k-1}, \xi, z_{k+1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} \xi \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{\xi}}{\xi-z_{k}}
$$

is given by Cauchy integral formula. Then

$$
\frac{\partial \psi_{I}}{\partial \bar{z}_{k}}=\omega_{I}
$$

by $\bar{\partial}$-Poincaré in one variable and

$$
\frac{\partial \psi_{I}}{\partial \bar{z}_{\ell}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|\xi| \leq s_{k}} \frac{\partial \omega_{I}}{\partial \bar{z}_{\ell}}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k-1}, \xi, z_{k+1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} \xi \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{\xi}}{\xi-z_{k}}=0
$$

by assumption. Hence $\omega-\bar{\partial} \psi=0 \bmod \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k-1}$.
Step 2 Let $r_{j, k}$ be a strictly increasing sequence, $r_{j, k} \rightarrow r_{k}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for all $k=1, \ldots, n$ and let $P_{j}=P\left(a, r_{j}\right)$. By step 1 we can find $\psi_{j} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0, q-1}\left(P_{j}\right)$ with $\bar{\partial} \psi_{j}=\omega$ on $P_{j}$.

We induct on $q$, leaving $q=1$ for last. Since $\bar{\partial}\left(\psi_{j}-\psi_{j+1}\right)=0$ on $P_{j}$, we can choose $\beta_{j+1}$ with

$$
\psi_{j}-\psi_{j+1}=\bar{\partial} \beta_{j}
$$

on $P_{j-1}$ by induction (?). Extend $\psi_{j+1}, \beta_{j}$ smoothly to $P$ and set

$$
\phi_{j+1}=\psi_{j+1}+\bar{\partial} \beta_{j} .
$$

This produces a sequence $\left(\phi_{j}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\partial} \phi_{j+1} & =\omega \text { on } P_{j+1} \\
\phi_{j+1} & =\phi_{j} \text { on } P_{j-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the $\left(\phi_{j}\right)$ converges to $\phi$ on $P$ for $\phi$ such that $\bar{\partial} \phi=\omega$.
Now consider the case $\omega$ is a ( 0,1 )-form, so $\psi_{j}$ 's are functions. We construct a sequence $\phi_{j}$ on $P_{j}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\partial} \phi_{j}=\omega \text { on } P_{j} \\
& \phi_{j+1}-\phi_{j} \text { holomorphic on } P_{j} \\
& \left\|\phi_{j+1}-\phi_{j}\right\|_{C^{0}\left(P_{j-1}\right)}<2^{-j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Assuming this, the $\left(\phi_{j}\right)$ converges uniformly to some $\phi$ on $P$. Moreover $\phi-\phi_{j}$ is holomorphic on $P_{j}$ as a uniform limit of $\left(\phi_{\ell}-\phi_{j}\right)$, all holomorphic following the corollary. So $\bar{\partial} \phi=\bar{\partial} \phi_{j}=\omega$ on $P_{\underline{j}}$, Hence $\bar{\partial} \phi=\omega$ on $P$.

We now construct $\left(\phi_{j}\right)$. Solve $\bar{\partial} \psi_{j}=\omega$ on $P_{j}$ as before and set $\phi_{1}=$ $\psi_{1}$. We construct $\phi_{j+1}$, inducting on $j$. Since $\bar{\partial}\left(\phi_{j}-\psi_{j+1}\right)=0$ on $P_{j}, \theta_{j}-$
$\psi_{j+1}$ is holomorphic on $P_{j}$. Hence it has a power series expansion valid on $P_{j}$. Truncating gives a polynomial $\gamma_{j+1}$ such that

$$
\left\|\phi_{j}-\psi_{j+1}-\gamma_{j+1}\right\|_{C^{0}\left(P_{j-1}\right)}<2^{-j}
$$

Idea: approximate holomorphic by polynomial to arbitrary small error and extend the polynomial to the entire disk.

Extend $\gamma_{j}$ holomorphically to $P_{j}$ and set

$$
\phi_{j+1}=\psi_{j+1}+\gamma_{j+1} .
$$

Then $\bar{\partial} \phi_{j+1}=\omega$ on $P_{j+1}, \phi_{j+1}-\phi_{j}$ holomorphic on $P_{j}$ and $\left\|\phi_{j+1}-\phi_{j}\right\|_{C^{0}\left(P_{j-1}\right)}<$ $2^{-j}$. This ends the proof.

## 4 Sheaves and cohomology

### 4.1 Definitions

We now compare Dolbeault cohomology with sheaf cohomology. Let's begin with general theory of sheaves. Let $X$ be a topological space.

Definition (presheaf). A presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$ of abelian groups consists of abelian groups $\mathcal{F}(U)$ for all $U \subseteq X$ open and restriction homomorphisms

$$
r_{V U}: \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)
$$

for all $V \subseteq U$ open with

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{W V} \circ r_{V U} & =r_{W U} \\
r_{U U} & =\mathrm{id}
\end{aligned}
$$

One similarly defines presheaves of vector spaces.
Most often $\mathcal{F}(U)$ is some class of functions on $U$ with restrictions given by restricting the functions, which we simply write $r_{V U}(s)=\left.s\right|_{V}$. Another frequent example is given by $\mathcal{F}(U)$ consisting of sections of vector bundles. We call elements of $\mathcal{F}(U)$ sections.

Definition (sheaf). A presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$ is a sheaf if in addition

1. for all $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, if $U=\bigcup U_{i}$ is an open cover and $\left.s\right|_{U_{i}}=0$ for all $i$ then $s=0$.
2. If $U=\bigcup U_{i}, s_{i} \in \mathcal{F}\left(U_{i}\right)$ with

$$
\left.s_{i}\right|_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}}=\left.s_{j}\right|_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}}
$$

then there exists $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ with $\left.s\right|_{U_{i}}=s_{i}$.
Example. The following are sheaves on complex manifolds:

1. $C^{0}(U)$ : continuous functions on $U$.
2. $C^{\infty}(U)$ : smooth functions on $U$.
3. $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(U):(p, q)$-forms on $U$.
4. $\mathcal{O}(U)$ : holomorphic functions on $U$.
5. $\mathcal{O}^{*}(U)$ : nowhere vanishing holomorphic functions on $U$.
6. $\Omega^{p}(U)$ : holomorphic $p$-forms on $U$, which are defined to be sections $s \in$ $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, 0}(U)$ with $\bar{\partial} s=0$.

Definition (morphism of (pre)sheaves). A morphism $\alpha: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ of (pre)sheaves on $X$ consists of homomorphisms $\alpha_{U}: \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$ for all $U \subseteq X$ open
such that if $V \subseteq U$ open then the diagram

commutes.
$\alpha$ is an isomorphism if $\left.\alpha\right|_{U}: \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$ is an isomorphism for all $U \subseteq X$ open.

Definition (short exact sequence of sheaves). We say that

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0
$$

is a short exact sequence if for all $U$ the sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{U}} \mathcal{G}(U) \xrightarrow{\beta_{U}} \mathcal{H}(U)
$$

is exact and if $s \in \mathcal{H}(U)$ and $x \in U$ then there exists a neighbourhood $V$ of $x$ and $t \in \mathcal{G}(V)$ with $\beta_{V}(t)=\left.s\right|_{V}$.

Example. The sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\times 2 \pi i} \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\exp } \mathcal{O}^{*} \longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact. It is called the exponential short exact squence. Here $\mathbb{Z}$ is the constant sheaf: $\mathbb{Z}(U)$ is the space of continuous functions $U \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $\mathbb{Z}$-valued locally constant functions (similarly we define the sheaf $\mathbb{C}$ to be continuous functions $U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbb{C}$ given the discrete topology).

The exactness of

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}(U) \xrightarrow{\times 2 \pi i} \mathcal{O}(U) \xrightarrow{\exp } \mathcal{O}^{*}(U)
$$

is clear. If $f \in \mathcal{O}^{*}(U)$ then one can take a local branch of $\log$ on some $V \subseteq U$ to obtain the last condition.

The moral is, we can have local but not global inverse in complex geometry. For example it is not true that

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left(\Delta^{*}\right) \xrightarrow{\times 2 \pi i} \mathcal{O}\left(\Delta^{*}\right) \xrightarrow{\exp } \mathcal{O}^{*}\left(\Delta^{*}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact where $\Delta^{*}$ is the punctured disk.

Definition (stalk). Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf on $X$ and $x \in X$. The stalk of $\mathcal{F}$ at $x$ is

$$
\mathcal{F}_{x}=\{(U, s): x \in U \subseteq X, s \in \mathcal{F}(U)\} / \sim
$$

where $(U, s) \sim(V, t)$ if there is $W \subseteq U \cap V$ with $\left.s\right|_{W}=\left.t\right|_{W}$.
A morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ induces a map $\mathcal{F}_{x} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{x}$.

## Exercise. Show

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact if and only if

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{x} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{G}_{x} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{H}_{x} \longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact for all $x \in X$.

Definition (kernel of sheaf morphism). The kernel of $\alpha: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is the sheaf defined by

$$
\operatorname{ker} \alpha(U)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\alpha_{U}: \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)\right)
$$

The definitions of cokernel and image are more complicated. See example sheet.

## 4.2 Čech cohomology

Our aim is to define sheaf cohomology groups $H(X, \mathcal{F})$ where $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf on $X$, and show that

$$
H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X) \cong H^{q}\left(X, \Omega^{p}\right)
$$

We begin with an example. Let $X$ be a topological space with $X=U \cup V$ where $U, V$ open. If $s_{U} \in \mathcal{F}(U), s_{V} \in \mathcal{F}(V)$, when is there $s \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ with $\left.s\right|_{U}=s_{U},\left.s\right|_{V}=s_{V}$ ?

As $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf, this happens if and only if

$$
\left.s_{U}\right|_{U \cap V}=\left.s_{V}\right|_{U \cap V} .
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta: \mathcal{F}(U) \oplus \mathcal{F}(V) & \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U \cap V) \\
\left(s_{U}, s_{V}\right) & \left.\mapsto s_{U}\right|_{U \cap V}-\left.s_{V}\right|_{U \cap V}
\end{aligned}
$$

then $\mathcal{F}(X) \cong \operatorname{ker} \delta$.
Notation. If $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha}$ is a locally finite open cover indexed by a subset of $\mathbb{N}$ (or any ordered set), we write

$$
U_{\alpha_{0}} \cap \cdots \cap U_{\alpha_{p}}=U_{\alpha_{0} \ldots \alpha_{p}} .
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
C^{0}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & =\prod_{\alpha} \mathcal{F}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \\
C^{1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & =\prod_{\alpha<\beta} \mathcal{F}\left(U_{\alpha \beta}\right) \\
C^{p}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & =\prod_{\alpha_{0}<\cdots<\alpha_{p}} \mathcal{F}\left(U_{\alpha_{0} \ldots \alpha_{p}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\sigma \in C^{p}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$, we also set

$$
\sigma_{\alpha_{0} \ldots \alpha_{i} \alpha_{i+1} \ldots \alpha_{p}}=-\sigma_{\alpha_{0} \ldots \alpha_{i+1} \alpha_{i} \ldots \alpha_{p}}
$$

We define the boundary map

$$
\delta: C^{p}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^{p+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})
$$

by

$$
(\delta \sigma)_{\alpha_{0} \ldots \alpha_{p+1}}=\left.\sum_{j=0}^{p+1}(-1)^{j} \sigma_{\alpha_{0} \ldots \hat{\alpha}_{j} \ldots \alpha_{p+1}}\right|_{U_{\alpha_{0} \ldots \alpha_{p+1}}}
$$

Example. Let $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{0}, U_{1}, U_{2}\right\}, \sigma=\left\{\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right\} \in C^{0}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$. Then $\delta \sigma$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\delta \sigma)_{01} & =\left.\left(\sigma_{0}-\sigma_{1}\right)\right|_{U_{01}} \\
(\delta \sigma)_{02} & =\left.\left(\sigma_{0}-\sigma_{2}\right)\right|_{U_{02}} \\
(\delta \sigma)_{12} & =\left.\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}\right)\right|_{U_{12}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta \delta \sigma & =\left.(\delta \sigma)\right|_{12}-\left.(\delta \sigma)\right|_{02}+\left.(\delta \sigma)\right|_{01} \\
& =\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}\right)+\left(\sigma_{0}-\sigma_{2}\right)+\left(\sigma_{0}-\sigma_{1}\right) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

which is defined on $U_{012}$.
Exercise. Show $\delta \circ \delta=0$ in general.

Definition. Let $X$ be a topological space and $\mathcal{U}$ be a locally finite open cover of $X$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf on $X$. Define cohomology groups

$$
\check{H}^{q}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})=\frac{\operatorname{ker}\left(\delta: C^{q}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^{q+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})\right)}{\operatorname{im}\left(\delta: C^{q-1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^{q}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})\right)}
$$

Example. Let $X=\mathbb{P}^{1}$ with homogeneous coordinates $[z: w]$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
U & =\{[z, 1]: z \in \mathbb{C}\}=\{w \neq 0\} \\
V & =\{[1: w]: w \in \mathbb{C}\}=\{z \neq 0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $U \cong \mathbb{C}, V \cong \mathbb{C}, U \cap V \cong \mathbb{C}^{*}$. Let $\mathcal{U}=\{U, V\}$, with ordering $U \leq V$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C^{0}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O})=\mathcal{O}(U) \oplus \mathcal{O}(V) \\
& C^{1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O})=\mathcal{O}(U \cap V)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta: C^{0}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}) & \rightarrow C^{1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}) \\
(f, g) & \mapsto(z \mapsto f(z)-g(1 / z))
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\operatorname{ker} \delta$ consists of $(f, g)$ such that $f=g$ constant: by writing

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \\
g(1 / z) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n}(1 / z)^{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{-n}
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that $a_{0}=b_{0}$ and $a_{i}=b_{i}=0$ for $i>0 . \operatorname{im} \delta$ consists of all holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^{*}$, again by a Laurent series argument. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\check{H}^{0}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}) & =\mathbb{C} \\
\check{H}^{i}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}) & =0 \text { for all } i>0
\end{aligned}
$$

We'll see that this computes Čech cohomology $H^{i}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathcal{O}\right)$, which we will define later.

However, this definition is dependent on the choice of cover. We now take the direct limit of these cohomology groups with respect to cover refinement.

Definition (refinement of cover). Given open covers $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$, we say $\mathcal{V}$ refines $\mathcal{U}$ if there exists $\varphi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ increasing such that for all $\beta$,

$$
\mathcal{V} \ni V_{\beta} \subseteq U_{\varphi(\beta)} \in \mathcal{U}
$$

We write $\mathcal{V} \leq \mathcal{U}$.
If $\mathcal{V} \leq \mathcal{U}$, we have natural maps

$$
\rho_{\mathcal{V U}}: C^{p}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^{p}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})
$$

given by

$$
\left(\rho_{\mathcal{V} \mathcal{U}} \sigma\right)_{\beta_{0} \cdots \beta_{p}}=\left.\left(\sigma_{\varphi\left(\beta_{0}\right) \cdots \varphi\left(\beta_{p}\right)}\right)\right|_{V_{\beta_{0} \cdots \beta_{p}}} .
$$

One sees $\rho_{\mathcal{V} \mathcal{U}} \circ \delta=\delta \circ \rho_{\mathcal{V} \mathcal{U}}$ so $\rho_{\mathcal{V} \mathcal{U}}$ induces a homomorphism

$$
\rho: \check{H}^{q}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^{q}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})
$$

for all $q$. One can check that this is independent of $\varphi$.
Definition (Čech cohomology). Define Čech cohomology to be the direct limit

$$
H^{q}(X, \mathcal{F})=\underset{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{U}}}{\lim } \check{H}^{q}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})
$$

Note that we omit the check symbol.
A quick recap of direct limit: if $I$ is a partially ordered set, $G_{i}$ is an abelian group for all $i \in I$ with maps $\varphi_{i j}: G_{i} \rightarrow G_{j}$ for $i \leq j$ with

$$
\varphi_{i j} \circ \varphi_{j k}=\varphi_{i k},
$$

then the direct limit is defined to be

$$
\underset{I}{\lim } G_{i}=\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} G_{i}\right) / \sim
$$

where if $g_{i} \in G_{i}, g_{j} \in G_{j}$ then $g_{i} \sim g_{j}$ if and only if there is $k$ with $i, j \leq k$ such that

$$
\varphi_{i k}\left(g_{i}\right)=\varphi_{j k}\left(g_{j}\right)
$$

The direct limit is an abelian group.
Thus elements of $H^{q}(X, \mathcal{F})$ are represented by $\left\{\sigma_{\alpha_{0} \cdots \alpha_{q}}\right\} \in \check{H}^{q}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ and equality is checked on a common refinement.

We'll see that

$$
H^{q}(X, \mathcal{O}) \cong \check{H}^{q}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O})
$$

when each intersection of the $U_{i}$ is isomorphic to a polydisk.

## Example.

1. $\check{H}^{0}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})=\mathcal{F}(X)$ for all $\mathcal{U}$ so

$$
H^{0}(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{F}(X)
$$

the global sections.
2. We show $H^{q}\left(X, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{r, s}\right)=0$ for all $q>0$. Let $[\sigma] \in H^{q}\left(X, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{r, s}\right)$ be represented by $\sigma \in C^{q}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{r, s}\right)$ for some $\mathcal{U}$ with $\delta \sigma=0$.
Let $\rho_{\alpha}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$. Define

$$
\tau_{\alpha_{0} \cdots \alpha_{q-1}}=\sum_{\beta} \rho_{\beta} \sigma_{\beta \alpha_{0} \cdots \alpha_{q-1}}
$$

and extend by 0 to $U_{\alpha_{0} \cdots \alpha_{q-1}}$ so $\tau \in C^{q-1}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{r, s}\right)$. We prove the special case where $\mathcal{U}=\{U, V, W\},[\sigma] \in H^{1}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{r, s}\right)$. Have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta \sigma & =\sigma_{U V}-\sigma_{U W}+\sigma_{V W}=0 \\
\tau_{U} & =\rho_{V} \sigma_{V U}+\rho_{W} \sigma_{W U} \\
\tau_{V} & =\rho_{V} \sigma_{V U}+\rho_{W} \sigma_{W V} \\
\tau_{W} & =\rho_{U} \sigma_{U W}+\rho_{V} \sigma_{V W}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\delta \tau)_{U V} & =\tau_{V}-\tau_{U} \\
& =\rho_{V} \sigma_{V U}+\rho_{W} \sigma_{W V}-\rho_{V} \sigma_{V U}-\rho_{W} \sigma_{W U} \\
& =\rho_{V} \sigma_{V U}+\rho_{V} \sigma_{U V}+\rho_{W} \sigma_{W V}-\rho_{W} \sigma_{W U} \\
& =\left(\rho_{U}+\rho_{V}+\rho_{W}\right) \sigma_{U V} \quad \text { use cocycle condition } \\
& =\sigma_{U V}
\end{aligned}
$$

The general case is an exercise on example sheet 2 .
Similarly $H^{q}\left(X, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{k}\right)=0$ for all $q>0$.

### 4.3 Short exact sequence of sheaves

Let $\beta: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves. Then $\beta$ induces $C^{p}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^{p}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G})$ for any $\mathcal{U}$. These maps commute with $\delta$ so induce maps

$$
\beta^{*}: H^{p}(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^{p}(X, \mathcal{G})
$$

Suppose we have a short exact sequence of sheaves

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 0
$$

we get maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha^{*}: H^{p}(X, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^{p}(X, \mathcal{F}) \\
& \beta^{*}: H^{p}(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^{p}(X, \mathcal{G})
\end{aligned}
$$

This induces a long exact sequence of homology groups. Explicitly, we define coboundary maps

$$
\delta^{*}: H^{p}(X, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^{p+1}(X, \mathcal{E})
$$

Given $\sigma \in C^{p}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G})$, assume for now we can find a refinement $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ and $\tau \in C^{p}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$ with $\beta(\tau)=\rho_{\mathcal{U} \mathcal{U}} \sigma$. As $\delta \sigma=0$,

$$
\beta(\delta \tau)=\delta \beta \tau=\delta \rho_{\mathcal{V} \mathcal{U}} \sigma=\rho_{\mathcal{V} \mathcal{U}} \delta \sigma=0
$$

Thus we can find $\mu \in C^{p+1}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ such that $\alpha \mu=\delta \tau$. Then

$$
\alpha(\delta \mu)=\delta \alpha \mu=\delta^{2} \tau=0
$$

Since $\alpha$ is injective, $\delta \mu=0$. This defines $\delta^{*}[\sigma]=[\mu] \in H^{p+1}(X, \mathcal{E})$.
Theorem 4.1. Given a short exact sequence of sheaves on $X$

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 0
$$

the morphism $\delta^{*}$ is well-defined and there is a long exact sequence of coholomogy groups

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0- \\
& \leftrightarrow H^{0}(X, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{*}} H^{0}(X, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\beta^{*}} H^{0}(X, \mathcal{G}) \\
& \delta^{*} \\
& \leftrightarrow H^{1}(X, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{*}} H^{1}(X, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\beta^{*}} H^{1}(X, \mathcal{G})- \\
& \delta^{*} \\
& \overleftrightarrow{H^{2}(X, \mathcal{E})} \longrightarrow \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

We won't prove this in general, but for all sheaves in this course, it is an implication of the following stronger condition: for any open cover $\mathcal{U}$ there exists a refinement $\mathcal{V}$ such that

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(V) \longrightarrow 0
$$

is exact for all $V \in \mathcal{V}$. In this case the theorem is an exercise.
We say that

$$
\mathcal{F}_{1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{1}} \mathcal{F}_{2} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{2}} \cdots
$$

is a complex of sheaves if $\alpha_{i+1} \circ \alpha_{i}=0$ for all $i$. We say that a complex is exact if

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker} \alpha_{i} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{i} \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker} \alpha_{i+1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

is a short exact sequence for all $i$. Equivalently the induced sequence on stalk is exact everywhere.

### 4.4 Dolbeault's theorem

Theorem 4.2 (de Rham). If $X$ is a smooth manifold then

$$
H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{i}(X ; \mathbb{R}) \cong H^{i}(X, \mathbb{R})
$$

Remark. It follows that

$$
H^{i}(X, \mathbb{R}) \cong H_{\mathrm{sing}}^{i}(X ; \mathbb{R})
$$

where $H_{\text {sing }}^{i}(X ; \mathbb{R})$ is the singular cohomology.
Proof. By Poincaré lemma, the complex

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{0} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~d}} \mathcal{A}^{1} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~d}} \mathcal{A}^{2} \longrightarrow \ldots
$$

is exact. Note that $\mathcal{A}^{0}$ is the sheaf of smooth functions and $\mathcal{A}^{p}$ is the sheaf of $p$-forms and d is the usual exterior derivative. That is, for all $p$, if $\mathcal{Z}^{p}=\operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{d}$ : $\mathcal{A}^{p} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p+1}$ ) we have exact sequences

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{1} \longrightarrow 0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{p-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{p} \longrightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

We saw that $H^{q}\left(X, \mathcal{A}^{p}\right)=0$ for all $p \geq 0, q>0$.
The long exact sequence associated to the first short exact sequence gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{p}(X, \mathbb{R}) & \cong H^{p-1}\left(X, \mathcal{Z}^{1}\right) \quad \text { as } H^{p}\left(X, \mathcal{A}^{0}\right)=H^{p-1}\left(X, \mathcal{A}^{0}\right)=0 \\
& \cong H^{p-2}\left(X, \mathcal{Z}^{2}\right) \\
& \cong \cdots \\
& \cong H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{Z}^{p-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \\
\leftrightarrow H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{Z}^{p-1}\right) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{A}^{p-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}^{*}} H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{Z}^{p}\right) \\
\leftrightarrow H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{Z}^{p-1}\right) \longrightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

is exact, we have

$$
H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{Z}^{p-1}\right) \cong \frac{H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{Z}^{p}\right)}{\mathrm{d}^{*}\left(H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{A}^{p-1}\right)\right)} \cong \frac{\mathcal{Z}^{p}(X)}{\mathrm{d}\left(\mathcal{A}^{p-1}(X)\right)} \cong H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{p}(X ; \mathbb{R})
$$

Theorem 4.3 (Dolbeault). If $X$ is a complex manifold then

$$
H^{q}\left(X, \Omega^{p}\right) \cong H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X)
$$

where $\Omega^{p}(U)=\left\{\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, 0}(U): \bar{\partial} \sigma=0\right\}$.
Proof. Similar to de Rham's theorem but with $\bar{\partial}$-Poincaré lemma instead. We have an exact complex

$$
0 \longrightarrow \Omega^{p} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, 0} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, 1} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \ldots
$$

by the $\bar{\partial}$-Poincaré lemma. We write $\mathcal{Z}^{p, q}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\bar{\partial}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q+1}\right)$. Thus we have exact sequences

as any open set in $X$ has an open subset biholomorphic to a polydisk.
It follows that $H^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{r, s}\right)=0$ for all $i>0$, for all $r, s$. Argue as in de Rham's theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{q}\left(X, \Omega^{p}\right) & \cong H^{q-1}\left(X, \mathcal{Z}^{p, 1}\right) \\
& \cong \ldots \\
& \cong H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{Z}^{p, q-1}\right) \\
& \cong \frac{H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{Z}^{p, q}\right)}{\bar{\partial}\left(H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q-1}\right)\right)} \\
& \cong \frac{\mathcal{Z}^{p, q}(X)}{\bar{\partial} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q-1}(X)} \\
& \cong H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.5 Computation of Čech cohomology

The direct limit in the definition of Čech cohomology means that it is very difficult to work out the cohomology directly. However, in a previous example we claimed that $H^{i}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathcal{O}\right)$ equals to $\check{H}^{i}\left(\left\{\mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash\{0\}, \mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash\{\infty\}\right\}, \mathcal{O}\right)$, which can then be computed manually. This is due the following theorem:

Theorem 4.4. Let $X$ be a complex manifold. Suppose $\mathcal{U}$ is an open cover with $H^{p}\left(U_{\alpha_{0} \cdots \alpha_{s}}, \mathcal{O}\right)=0$ for all $p \geq 1$ and all $\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}$. Then

$$
H^{p}(X, \mathcal{O}) \cong \check{H}^{p}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O})
$$

Remark. By Dolbeault, the hypothesis is satisfied whenever each intersection is biholomorphic to a polydisk.

Proof. The idea is to manipulate both sides into zeroth cohomology, where both sheaf and Čech cohomology can be interpreted as global section. We have

$$
H^{1}\left(U_{\alpha_{0} \cdots \alpha_{s}}, \mathcal{Z}^{0, q-1}\right)=H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0, q}\left(U_{\alpha_{0} \cdots \alpha_{s}}\right)=H^{q}\left(U_{\alpha_{0} \cdots \alpha_{s}}, \mathcal{O}\right)=0
$$

Thus
$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{0, q-1}\left(U_{\alpha_{0} \cdots \alpha_{s}}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0, q-1}\left(U_{\alpha_{0} \cdots \alpha_{s}}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{0, q}\left(U_{\alpha_{0} \cdots \alpha_{s}}\right) \longrightarrow 0$
is exact. It is true for all intersections so we have a short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow C^{p}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Z}^{0, q-1}\right) \longrightarrow C^{p}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0, q-1}\right) \longrightarrow C^{p}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Z}^{0, q}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

In the induced long exact sequence, $\check{H}^{p}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A}^{0, q}\right)=0$ so for all $p \geq 1, q \geq 1$

$$
\check{H}^{p}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Z}^{0, q}\right) \cong \check{H}^{p+1}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Z}^{0, q-1}\right)
$$

Argue as before,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\check{H}^{p}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}) & =\check{H}^{p}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Z}^{0,0}\right) \\
& \cong \check{H}^{p-1}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Z}^{0,1}\right) \\
& \cong \ldots \\
& \cong \check{H}^{1}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Z}^{0, p-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\check{H}^{1}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Z}^{0, p-1}\right) \cong \frac{\mathcal{Z}^{0, p}(X)}{\bar{\partial}\left(\mathcal{A}^{0, p-1}(X)\right)} \cong H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0, p}(X) \cong H^{p}(X, \mathcal{O})
$$

Remark. It also shows that under the same hypothesis,

$$
H^{q}\left(X, \Omega^{p}\right) \cong \check{H}^{q}\left(\mathcal{U}, \Omega^{p}\right)
$$

## Example.

$$
H^{q}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathcal{O}\right) \cong H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0, q}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)=0
$$

for all $q>0$.

## Remark.

1. One can show if $H^{p}\left(U_{\alpha}, \mathcal{O}\right)=0$ for all $U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{U}$ (no higher intersections) then

$$
H^{p}(X, \mathcal{O}) \cong \check{H}^{p}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O})
$$

See Voisin Section 4. So if $X$ is projective then one can take $\mathcal{U}$ to be a cover by affine subvarieties. When $X$ is not projective, one can take a cover by Stein manifolds, which are the complex manifold version of affine subvariety.
2. $H^{p}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{\text {sing }}^{p}(X ; \mathbb{Z})$.
3. One usually cares about $H^{0}(X, \mathcal{F})$, the global sections, and the $H^{i}$,s are viewed as obstructions. For example, in short exact sequence, MittagLeffler problem. Another reason to care about $H^{i}$ is the Euler characteristic

$$
\chi(X, \mathcal{F})=\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim} H^{i}(X, \mathcal{F})
$$

which is additive in short exact sequences and satisfies good properties. For example it is usually constant in families so can be computed geometrically, while $H^{0}$ is not. Lastly, $H^{1}$ is also "geometric".

## 5 Holomorphic vector bundles

Definition (holomorphic vector bundle). Let $X$ be a complex manifold. A holomorphic vector bundle on $X$ is a complex manifold $E$ with a (holomoprhic surjective) map $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ and the structure of an $r$ dimensional complex vector space on every fibre $\pi^{-1}(x)=E_{x}$ satisfying: there is an open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $X$ and holomorphic isomorphisms $\varphi_{\alpha}: \pi^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{C}^{r}$ commuting with projections to $U_{\alpha}$, such that the induced map $\left.E\right|_{x} \cong \mathbb{C}^{r}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear.

Definition (line bundle). A (holomorphic) line bundle is a holomorphic vector bundle of rank 1 .

Any holomorphic vector bundle induces a complex vector bundle but not vice versa.

Definition (morphism of vector bundles). Let $\pi_{E}: E \rightarrow X, \pi_{F}: F \rightarrow X$ be holomorphic vector bundles. A morphism $f: E \rightarrow F$ is a holomorphic map such that

1. $\pi_{F} \circ f=f \circ \pi_{E}$.
2. the induced map $f_{x}: E_{x} \rightarrow F_{x}$ is linear.
3. $\operatorname{rank}\left(f_{x}\right)$ is constant.

A morphism is an isomorphism if $f_{x}$ is an isomorphism for all $x \in X$.
Remark. In differential geometry one usually does not required 3. We include it to take kernel and cokernel bundles.

Next up is a review of differential geometry. For a holomorphic vector bundle $E$, its transition functions

$$
\varphi_{\alpha \beta}=\varphi_{\alpha} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{-1}:\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right) \times \mathbb{C}^{r} \rightarrow\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right) \times \mathbb{C}^{r}
$$

can be seen as holomorphic maps

$$
\varphi_{\alpha \beta}: U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{r}(\mathbb{C})
$$

They satisfy the cocycle conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\alpha \alpha} & =\mathrm{id} \\
\varphi_{\alpha \beta} & =\varphi_{\beta \alpha}^{-1} \\
\varphi_{\alpha \beta} \varphi_{\beta \gamma} \varphi_{\gamma \alpha} & =\mathrm{id}
\end{aligned}
$$

which should remind us of cocycle conditions in Čech cohomology.
Proposition 5.1. Given any open cover $X=\bigcup U_{\alpha}$ and holomorphic maps $\varphi_{\alpha \beta}: U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{r}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying the cocycle conditions, there is a holomorphic vector bundle with these transition function.

Proof. Same as in III Differential Geometry.
Given $E$ and a cover $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ with trivialisations $\varphi_{\alpha}:\left.E\right|_{U_{\alpha}} \rightarrow U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{C}^{r}$, the transition functions

$$
\left\{\varphi_{\alpha \beta}=\varphi_{\alpha} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{-1}\right\} \in C^{1}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{GL}_{r}(\mathbb{C})\right)
$$

satisfy the cocycle conditions, i.e.

$$
\delta\left(\left\{\varphi_{\alpha \beta}\right\}\right)=0
$$

so we obtain an element $\left[\varphi^{E}\right] \in H^{1}\left(X, \mathrm{GL}_{r}(\mathbb{C})\right.$ ) (viewing $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\mathbb{C})$ as a group under multiplication ${ }^{1}$. We now specialise to line bundles so $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\mathbb{C})=\mathbb{C}^{*}$ so they are abelian. In particular we have

$$
H^{1}\left(X, \mathrm{GL}_{r}(\mathbb{C})\right)=H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}^{*}\right)
$$

Proposition 5.2. There is a canonical bijection
$\{$ holomorphic line bundles up to isomorphism $\} \leftrightarrow H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}^{*}\right)$.
Proof. We have already constructed maps in each direction. Suppose $L \cong F$ are isomorphic line bundles. Choose a cover $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ trivialising both by taking their common refinement. We have isomorphisms

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\varphi_{\alpha}:\left.L\right|_{U_{\alpha}} \rightarrow U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{C} \\
\sigma_{\alpha}:\left.F\right|_{U_{\alpha}} \rightarrow U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{C}
\end{array}
$$

giving $\varphi_{\alpha \beta}, \sigma_{\alpha \beta}$ as before. We have an isomorphism $f: L \rightarrow F$, giving $f_{\alpha}$ : $\left.\left.L\right|_{U_{\alpha}} \rightarrow F\right|_{U_{\alpha}}$. Define

$$
h_{\alpha}=\sigma_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha}^{-1}: U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{C},
$$

which can alternatively be seen as a section of $\mathcal{O}^{*}$. Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\delta h)_{\alpha \beta} & =h_{\alpha} h_{\beta}^{-1} \\
& =\sigma_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha}^{-1} \varphi_{\beta} f_{\beta}^{-1} \sigma_{\beta}^{-1} \\
& =\sigma_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} \varphi_{\beta \alpha} f_{\beta}^{-1} \sigma_{\beta}^{-1} \\
& =\sigma_{\alpha} \varphi_{\beta \alpha} f_{\alpha} f_{\beta}^{-1} \sigma_{\beta}^{-1} \\
& =\sigma_{\alpha \beta} \varphi_{\alpha \beta}^{-1} \quad \text { as } f_{\alpha} f_{\beta}^{-1}=\mathrm{id}
\end{aligned}
$$

as multiplication in $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ is commutative. Thus $[\sigma]=[\tau] \in H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}^{*}\right)$.
Conversely, let $L$ and $F$ be line bundles with $[\varphi]=[\sigma] \in H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}^{*}\right)$. This means that there is $h=\left\{h_{\alpha}\right\} \in C^{0}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}^{*}\right)$ with

$$
(\delta h)_{\alpha \beta}=\sigma_{\alpha \beta} \varphi_{\alpha \beta}^{-1} .
$$

[^0]Let

$$
f_{\alpha}=\sigma_{\alpha}^{-1} h_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha}:\left.\left.L\right|_{U_{\alpha}} \rightarrow F\right|_{U_{\alpha}}
$$

We claim the $f_{\alpha}$ 's induce an isomorphism $f: L \rightarrow F$, i.e. $f_{\alpha} f_{\beta}^{-1}=\operatorname{id}$ on $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$. Indeed

$$
f_{\alpha} f_{\beta}^{-1}=\sigma_{\alpha}^{-1} h_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha} \varphi_{\beta}^{-1} h_{\beta}^{-1} \sigma_{\beta}=\mathrm{id}
$$

as before.
Note that we did not use any properties of holomorphicity so analogous results hold in smooth/analytic categories.
Remark. A similar result is true for vector bundles of all ranks, with the right definition of Čech coholomogy for sheaves of (non-abelian) groups. See course website.

Definition (Picard group). We define the Picard group $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ to be the set of line bundles on $X$ up to isomorphism.

Proposition 5.3. $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is a group under tensor product of line bundles and

$$
\operatorname{Pic}(X) \cong H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}^{*}\right)
$$

Proof. Easiest proof is using transition functions. The transition functions for $L \otimes F$ are

$$
\varphi_{\alpha \beta} \otimes \sigma_{\alpha \beta} \in \mathcal{O}^{*}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right)
$$

so $L \otimes L^{*} \cong \mathcal{O}$ and $L \otimes \mathcal{O} \cong L$.
Example. Any linear algebra operation gives an operation on vector bundles:

1. $E \oplus F$ : transition functions are $\varphi_{\alpha \beta} \oplus \sigma_{\alpha \beta} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r+r^{\prime}}(\mathbb{C})$.
2. $E \otimes F$ : transition functions $\varphi_{\alpha \beta} \otimes \sigma_{\alpha \beta} \in \mathrm{GL}\left(\mathbb{C}^{r} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$.
3. $\Lambda^{k} E$ : transition functions $\Lambda^{k} \varphi_{\alpha \beta}$. If $k=r$ we write $\Lambda^{r} E=\operatorname{det} E$. If

$$
0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0
$$

is a short exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles then

$$
\operatorname{det} F \cong \operatorname{det} E \otimes \operatorname{det} G
$$

Example. If $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a morphism, $E \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic vector bundle then one obtains the pullback bundle $f^{*} E \rightarrow Y$ by simply pulling back transition functions. We write $\left.E\right|_{Y}$ if $Y \subseteq X$ for the pullback under the inclusion map.

Definition (section). A (holomorphic) section $s$ of a vector bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow$ $X$ over $U \subseteq X$ is a holomorphic map $s: U \rightarrow E$ with $\pi \circ s=\mathrm{id}$. We write $\mathcal{O}(E)$ for the sheaf of holomorphic sections of $E$.

Note that the sheaf of holomorphic functions $\mathcal{O}$ can be seen as the sheaf of sections of $X \times \mathbb{C}$, which we have implicitly used in the proof above.

Definition (subsheaf). If $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf on $X$ and $U \subseteq X$ open then the subsheaf of $\mathcal{F}$ on $U$ is $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{U}(V)=\mathcal{F}(V)$ for all $V \subseteq U$ open.

Definition (locally free sheaf). A sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is locally free of rank $r$ if for all $x \in X$ there is an open set $x \in U \subseteq X$ open with

$$
\left.\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{U} \cong \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}\right|_{U}
$$

Proposition 5.4. Associating to a holomorphic vector bundle its sheaf of sections gives a canonical bijection between
$\{$ vector bundles up to isomorphism $\} \leftrightarrow\{$ locally free sheaves up to isomorphism $\}$.
Proof. Clearly the sheaf of sections of $E$ is locally free as $E$ is locally isomorphic to $U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{C}^{r}$ by definition. Conversely, if we have trivialisations

$$
\varphi_{\alpha}:\left.\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{U_{\alpha}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}\right|_{U_{\alpha}}
$$

then the transition maps

$$
\varphi_{\alpha \beta}=\varphi_{\alpha} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{-1}: \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right)
$$

which are isomorphisms by definition, are given by a matrix of holomorphic functions on $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$, giving a cocycle and hence a holomorphic vector bundle. Checking these maps are inverses to each other is straightforward.

Thus for a holomorphic vector bundle $E$, we define its cohomology to be the cohomology of its sheaf of sections

$$
H^{i}(X, E)=H^{i}(X, \mathcal{O}(E))
$$

Example (holomorphic tangent bundle). Recall $T X^{1,0}$, the holomorphic tangent bundle. We show this indeed is a holomorphic vector bundle.

Let $X=\bigcup U_{\alpha}$ be an open covering by chart neighbourhoods $\left(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}\right)$. The Jacobian of a transition map is

$$
J\left(\varphi_{\alpha \beta}\right)(z)=\left(\left.\frac{\partial \varphi_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma}}{\partial z^{\delta}}\right|_{\varphi_{\beta}(z)}\right)_{\gamma, \delta}
$$

Then by example sheet $1 \mathrm{Q} 1, T X^{1,0}$ has transition functions

$$
\psi_{\alpha \beta}=J\left(\varphi_{\alpha \beta}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{C})\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right)
$$

Definition (canonical line bundle). The canonical line bundle of $X$ is defined to be

$$
K_{X}=\operatorname{det} T^{*} X^{1,0} .
$$

Example (tautological line bundle). We construct line bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. Each point $\ell \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$ corresponds to a line through 0 in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Consider the set

$$
\mathcal{O}(-1)=\left\{(\ell, z) \in \mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1}: z \in \ell\right\}
$$

We claim that this is a holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$. Let $\mathbb{P}^{n}=$ $\bigcup_{\alpha=0}^{n} U_{\alpha}$ be the standard cover. A trivialisation of $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ over $U_{\alpha}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\alpha}: \pi^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) & \rightarrow U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{C} \\
(\ell, z) & \mapsto\left(\ell, z_{\alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The transition functions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\alpha \beta}(\ell): \mathbb{C} & \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
z & \mapsto \frac{\ell_{\alpha}}{\ell_{\beta}} z
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\ell=\left[\ell_{0}: \cdots: \ell_{n}\right]$.
Need to check $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ is a complex manifold. If $\left(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}\right)$ is a chart on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, define chart

$$
\hat{\varphi}_{\alpha}=\left(\varphi_{\alpha} \times \mathrm{id}\right) \circ \psi_{\alpha}: \pi^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{n} .
$$

$\mathcal{O}(-1)$ is called the tautological line bundle. $\mathcal{O}(1)=\mathcal{O}(-1)^{*}$ is the hyperplane line bundle. Finally define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}(k) & =\mathcal{O}(1)^{\otimes k} \\
\mathcal{O}(-k) & =\mathcal{O}(-1)^{\otimes k} \\
\mathcal{O}(0) & =\mathcal{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

We will show $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ with generator $\mathcal{O}(1)$.
Example. If $X$ is projective, $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n}$, then $X$ has a natural line bundle $\left.\mathcal{O}(1)\right|_{X} \rightarrow X$.

We now relate sections of line bundles, codimension 1 submanifolds and meromorphic functions.

By implicit function theorem, a subset $Y \subseteq X$ is a closed complex manifold if and only if for all $p \in X$ there exists a chart neighbourhood $(U, \varphi)$ of $p$ and holomorphic functions $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that 0 is a regular value of $\left(f_{1} \circ \varphi^{-1}, \ldots, f_{k} \circ \varphi^{-1}\right)$ and

$$
Y \cap U=\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}^{-1}(0)
$$

Recall that if $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is open, $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{k}$ holomorphic then

$$
J(f)(z)=\left(\frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial z^{\beta}}(z)\right)_{\substack{1 \leq \alpha \leq k \\ 1 \leq \beta \leq n}}
$$

$z \in U$ is regular if $J(f)(z)$ is surjective. If every point $z \in f^{-1}(w)$ is regular, $w$ is a called a regular value.

Definition (analytic subvariety). Let $X$ be a complex manifold. An analytic subvariety of $X$ is a closed subset $Y \subseteq X$ such that for all $p \in Y$, there is a neighbourhood $U$ of $p$ in $X$ and holomorphic functions $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$ with

$$
Y \cap U=\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}^{-1}(0)
$$

Say $y \in Y$ is regular or smooth if one can choose the $f_{i}$ 's such that 0 is regular.

By implicit function theorem, if $Y^{\text {s }}$ denotes the points which are not regular, then connected components of $Y^{*}=Y \backslash Y^{\mathrm{s}}$ are naturally complex manifolds.

Definition (irreducible). An analytic subvariety $Y$ is irreducible if it cannot be written as $Y=Y_{1} \cup Y_{2}$ where $Y_{1}, Y_{2}$ are analytic subvarieties with $Y \neq$ $Y_{1}, Y \neq Y_{2}$.

Definition. For $Y$ an irreducible analytic subvariety, we define

$$
\operatorname{dim} Y=\operatorname{dim} Y^{*}
$$

Similarly if each irreducible compoenent has the same dimension.
If codim $Y=1$ then $Y$ is an analytic hypersurface.

## 6 Commutative algebra on complex manifolds

Recall that if $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf on $X$ and $x \in X$ we denote by $\mathcal{F}_{x}$ the stalk of $\mathcal{F}$ at $x$.

On $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}$ the sheaf of holomorphic functions and set $\mathcal{O}_{n}=$ $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}, 0}$. Elements of $\mathcal{O}_{n}$ are of the form $(U, f)$ where $0 \in U$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}(U)$, and $(U, f)=(V, g)$ if there is an open $W \subseteq U \cap V$ such that $\left.f\right|_{W}=\left.g\right|_{W}$.

If $X$ is an $n$-dimensional complex manifold, $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ is the sheaf of holomorphic functions. Have $\mathcal{O}_{X, x} \cong \mathcal{O}_{n}$ for any $x \in X$. We call elements of $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ germs of holomorphic functions.
$\mathcal{O}_{n}$ is a local ring, in the sense that it has a unique maximal ideal $\{f: f(0)=$ $0\}$ : functions not vanishing at 0 are invertible. These are the units of the ring.

We now state several results about $\mathcal{O}_{n}$, proved using commutative algebra and complex analysis. We shall not prove them but proofs can be found in Huybrechts Chapter 1.
| Theorem 6.1. $\mathcal{O}_{n}$ is a UFD.

Theorem 6.2 (weak Nullstellensatz). Let $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_{n}$ with $f$ irreducible, $U$ a neighbourhood on which $f, g$ are defined. Suppose $\{f=0\} \cap U \subseteq\{g=0\} \cap U$ then $f$ divides $g$ in $\mathcal{O}_{n}$, i.e. $\frac{g}{f}$ is holomorphic near 0 .

Definition (thin). Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$ open. Call a set $V \subseteq U$ thin if $V$ is locally contained in the vanishing set of a set of holomorphic functions.

## Theorem 6.3.

1. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{O}_{n}$ is irreducible. Then there is a thin set $V$ of codimension 2 and an open set $U$ such that $f \in \mathcal{O}_{p}$ is irreducible for all $p \in U \backslash V$.
2. If $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_{n}$ coprime then there are $U, V$ as above such that $f, g$ are coprime in $\mathcal{O}_{p}$ for all $p \in U \backslash V$.

Remark. Huybrechts Proposition 1.1.35 claims that one can take $V=\emptyset$, but this is false by counterexample: $y^{2}-x z^{3}$ is irreducible at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{3}$ but not at $\left(x_{0}, 0,0\right)$ for $x_{0}$ near 0 . Instead the proof shows the statement above.

Definition (local defining equation). Let $X$ be a complex manifold and $Y \subseteq$ $X$ an analytic hypersurface. If $p \in Y$ then there is an open neighbourhood $p \in U \subseteq X$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$ with $Y \cap U=f^{-1}(0) \cap U$. Such an $f$ is called a local defining equation for $Y$.

If $f$ and $g$ are both defining equations for $Y$ and $f=f_{1} \cdots f_{n}, g=g_{1} \cdots g_{m}$ where $f_{i}, g_{j}$ 's are irreducible then by UFD and weak Nullstellensatz $f_{i}=g_{i}$ and $n=m$.

Theorem 6.4. Let $Y$ be an analytic hypersurface. Then $Y^{*}$ is an open dense subset of $Y . Y^{*}$ is connected if and only if $Y$ is irreducible. $Y^{s}$ is contained in an analytic subvariety (of $X$ ) of codimension at least 2.

## 7 Meromorphic functions and divisors

Definition (meromorphic function). Let $X$ be a complex manifold and $U \subseteq X$ open. A meromorphic function on $U$ is a map $f: U \rightarrow \coprod_{p \in U} K_{p}$ where $K_{p}$ is the field of fractions of $\mathcal{O}_{p}$, such that for all $p \in U, f(p) \in K_{p}$ and there is a neighbourhood $V \subseteq U$ of $p$ and $g, h \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(V)$ with $f(q)=\frac{g}{h}$ for all $q \in V$.

We denote by $\mathcal{K}$ the corresponding sheaf, and $\mathcal{K}^{*}$ the sheaf of meromorphic functions not identically 0 .

Exercise. Equivalently, one can specify $\left.f\right|_{U_{\alpha}}=\frac{g_{\alpha}}{h_{\alpha}}$ where $g_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)$.
A meromorphic "function" is undefined (even as $\infty$ ) at point $p$ where $g(p)=$ $h(p)=0$.

Definition. Let $Y \subseteq X$ be an analytic hypersurface, $p \in Y$ regular, $f$ a local defining function at $p$. For $g \in \mathcal{O}_{X, p}$, we define the order of $g$ along $Y$ at $p$ to be

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{Y, p}(g)=\max _{a \in \mathbb{N}}\left\{a: f^{a} \text { divides } g \text { in } \mathcal{O}_{X, p}\right\}
$$

It is well-defined as $\mathcal{O}_{X, p}$ is a UFD and is finite.

Lemma 7.1. There is a neighboudhood $U$ of $p$, a thin set $V$ of codimension 2 such that if $q \in(U \backslash V) \cap Y$ then

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{Y, p}(g)=\operatorname{ord}_{Y, q}(g)
$$

Proof. Use Theorem 6.3.

Definition (order). We define the order of $g$ along $Y, Y$ irreducible to be

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{Y}(g)=\operatorname{ord}_{Y, p}(g)
$$

for any $p \in Y^{*}$ away from the thin set in the lemma.
Here we used $Y^{*}$ is thin and $V$ has codimension 2 in $X$.
If $g, h$ are holomorphic around $p$ then

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{Y}(g h)=\operatorname{ord}_{Y}(g)+\operatorname{ord}_{Y}(h) .
$$

Definition (order). Let $X$ be a complex manifold, $f$ meromorphic not identically zero. Let $Y$ be an irreducible analytic hypersurface. We define

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{Y}(f)=\operatorname{ord}_{Y}(g)-\operatorname{ord}_{Y}(h)
$$

where $f=\frac{g}{h}$ at some regular point of $Y$.
This is well-defined by additivity of ord.
If $d=\operatorname{ord}_{Y}(f)>0$, we say that $f$ has zero of order $d$ along $Y$ and if $d<0$, we say that $f$ has a pole of order $d$ along $Y$.

Definition (divisor). A divisor on $X$ is a formal sum

$$
D=\sum a_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}
$$

with $a_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}, Y_{\alpha}$ irreducible analytic hypersurface, such that $D$ is locally finite (if $x \in X$ then there is a neighbourhood $V$ of $x \in X$ with $Y_{\alpha} \cap V=\emptyset$ for all but finitely many $\alpha$ ).

We say $D$ is effective if $a_{\alpha} \geq 0$ for all $\alpha$.
Example. If $\operatorname{dim} X=1$ then this is a collection of points with some multiplicities.

Definition. If $f \in H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{K}^{*}\right)$, we set

$$
(f)=\sum_{Y} \operatorname{ord}_{Y}(f) Y
$$

summing over all $Y \subseteq X$ irreducible analytic hypersurfaces.
This is locally finite as given $x \in X$ with $f=\frac{g}{h}$, there are only finitely many $Y$ with $\operatorname{ord}_{Y}(g) \neq 0$ (writing $g$ as a product of irreducibles).

Note $(f)$ is effective if and only if $f$ is holomorphic.
Definition (principal divisor). We call a divisor $D$ principal if $D=(f)$ for some $f \in H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{K}^{*}\right)$.

We say $D, D^{\prime}$ are linearly equivalent if $D-D^{\prime}$ is principal. We write $D \sim D^{\prime}$. This is transitive because $(f)+(g)=(f g)$.

There is an inclusion of sheaves $\mathcal{O}^{*} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}^{*}$ as every holomorphic function is meromorphic. Thus we obtain $\mathcal{K}^{*} / \mathcal{O}^{*}$, the quotient sheaf, by sheafifying the presheaf $U \mapsto \mathcal{K}^{*}(U) / \mathcal{O}^{*}(U)$.

A global section $f \in H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{K}^{*} / \mathcal{O}^{*}\right)$ thus consists of an open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $X$ and meromorphic functions $f_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{K}^{*}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)$ with

$$
\left.\frac{f_{\alpha}}{f_{\beta}}\right|_{U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}} \in \mathcal{O}^{*}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right)
$$

when $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$.
Proposition 7.2. There is an isomorphism

$$
H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{K}^{*} / \mathcal{O}^{*}\right) \cong \operatorname{Div}(X)
$$

Proof. Let $f \in H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{K}^{*} / \mathcal{O}^{*}\right)$ be given as above. If $Y$ is an irreducible analytic hypersurface with $Y \cap U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{Y}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=\operatorname{ord}_{Y}\left(f_{\beta}\right)
$$

$\operatorname{as~}_{\operatorname{ord}_{Y}}\left(\frac{f_{\alpha}}{f_{\beta}}\right)=0$ since $\frac{f_{\alpha}}{f_{\beta}} \in \mathcal{O}^{*}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right)$. Thus we may define

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{Y}(f)=\operatorname{ord}_{Y}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)
$$

for any $U_{\alpha}$ with $Y \cap U_{\alpha} \neq 0$. This gives a map

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{K}^{*} / \mathcal{O}^{*}\right) & \rightarrow \operatorname{Div}(X) \\
f & \mapsto \sum \operatorname{ord}_{Y}(f) Y
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly this is a group homomorphism by additivity of ord.
We next construct an inverse. Suppose $D=\sum a_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}$. Consider $Y_{\alpha}$. Then there is an open cover $\left\{U_{\beta}\right\}$ of $X$ and $g_{\alpha \beta} \in \mathcal{O}\left(U_{\beta}\right)$ such that

$$
Y_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}=g_{\alpha \beta}^{-1}(0)
$$

(with, say, $g_{\alpha \beta}=1$ if $Y_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}=\emptyset$ ) Set

$$
f_{\beta}=\prod_{\alpha} g_{\alpha \beta}^{a_{\alpha}}
$$

a finite product as divisors are locally finite. Since $g_{\alpha \beta}$ and $g_{\alpha \gamma}$ define the same hypersurface on $U_{\beta} \cap U_{\gamma}$, we have

$$
\frac{g_{\alpha \beta}}{g_{\alpha \gamma}} \in \mathcal{O}^{*}\left(U_{\beta} \cap U_{\gamma}\right) .
$$

Thus the $f_{\beta}$ 's glue to a section of $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{K}^{*} / \mathcal{O}^{*}\right)$.
The maps are clearly mutual inverses.
We shall say $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ is given by local data ( $U_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}$ ) using this construction.

Theorem 7.3. There exists a natural group homomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Div}(X) & \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(X) \\
D & \mapsto \mathcal{O}(D)
\end{aligned}
$$

defined as below, whose kernel is percisely the principal divisors.
Proof. Let $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ given by local data $\left(U_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}\right)$. Let

$$
\varphi_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{f_{\alpha}}{f_{\beta}} \in \mathcal{O}^{*}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right)
$$

These then satisfy the cocycle condition $\left(\varphi_{\alpha \beta} \varphi_{\beta \gamma} \varphi_{\gamma \alpha}=1\right)$, so gives an element of $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \cong H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}^{*}\right)$. We check this is well-defined: if $\left(U_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$ is alternative local data then $f_{\alpha}=s_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ with $s_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}^{*}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)$. The new transition functions are

$$
\varphi_{\alpha \beta}^{\prime}=\varphi_{\alpha \beta} \frac{s_{\beta}}{s_{\alpha}}
$$

Then $\left(U_{\alpha}, \frac{s_{\beta}}{s_{\alpha}}\right)$ satisfy the cocycle conditions, giving a line bundle $L$ with a nowhere vanishing section $s$ induced by $s_{\alpha}$ 's. The line bundles defined by $\left(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ and $\left(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha \beta}^{\prime}\right)$ are $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ and

$$
H \cong H^{\prime} \otimes L
$$

as $\varphi_{\alpha \beta}^{\prime}=\varphi_{\alpha \beta} \frac{s_{\beta}}{s_{\alpha}}$ and transition functions for tensor products are products of transition functions.

That this is a group homomorphism is clear: if $D, D^{\prime}$ given by local data $\left(U_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}\right),\left(U_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$ then $D+D^{\prime}$ is given by $\left(U_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$ so

$$
\mathcal{O}\left(D+D^{\prime}\right) \cong \mathcal{O}(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}\left(D^{\prime}\right)
$$

To prove the statement about kernel, suppose $D=(f)$ where $f \in H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{K}^{*}\right)$ then we can take $\left(U_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}\right)$ to be the local data. Then

$$
\varphi_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{f_{\alpha}}{f_{\beta}}=\mathrm{id}
$$

on $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$, so $\mathcal{O}(D)$ has trivial transition functions and hence

$$
\mathcal{O}(D) \cong \mathcal{O}
$$

Conversely suppose $\mathcal{O}(D) \cong \mathcal{O}$. let $s$ be a global nowhere holomorphic section. Suppose $\mathcal{O}(D)$ has transition functions $\left\{\left(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha \beta}\right)\right\}$, so $D$ is given by $\left\{\left(U_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ such that $\varphi_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{f_{\alpha}}{f_{\beta}}$. Set $\left.s\right|_{U_{\alpha}}=s_{\alpha}$, so

$$
s_{\alpha}=\varphi_{\alpha \beta} s_{\beta}
$$

(this is elaborated upon in example sheet 3) then

$$
\frac{s_{\alpha}}{a_{\beta}}=\varphi_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{f_{\alpha}}{f_{\beta}}
$$

Thus $g$ defined by $\left.g\right|_{U_{\alpha}}=\frac{f_{\alpha}}{s_{\alpha}}$ is a well-defined global meromorphic function on $X$, as $\frac{f_{\alpha}}{s_{\alpha}}=\frac{f_{\beta}}{s_{\beta}}$ on $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$. Then $D=(g)$ since the $s_{\alpha}$ 's are nowhere vanishing.

Exercise. Show that there is a short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^{*} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}^{*} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}^{*} / \mathcal{O}^{*} \longrightarrow 0
$$

and use the long exact sequence in cohomology to give another proof of the above. See example sheet 3 .

Proposition 7.4. To any $0 \neq s \in H^{0}(X, L)$ there is an associated $Z(s) \in$ $\operatorname{Div}(X)$.

Proof. Fix a trivialisation $\left\{\left(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ for $\pi: L \rightarrow X$, so $\varphi_{\alpha}: \pi^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{C}$ is an isomorphism with cocycles $\left\{\left(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha \beta}\right)\right\}$. Set

$$
f_{\alpha}=\varphi_{\alpha}\left(\left.s\right|_{U_{\alpha}}\right) \in \mathcal{O}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)
$$

not identically zero. We thus have

$$
f_{\alpha} f_{\beta}^{-1}=\varphi_{\alpha}\left(\left.s\right|_{U_{\alpha}}\right) \varphi_{\beta}\left(\left.s\right|_{U_{\beta}}\right)^{-1}=\varphi_{\alpha \beta} \in \mathcal{O}^{*}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right) .
$$

Thus one obtains $Z(s) \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ as $\left\{\left(U_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$.
In addition $Z\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right)=Z\left(s_{1}\right)+Z\left(s_{2}\right)$.

## Proposition 7.5.

1. Let $0 \neq s \in H^{0}(X, L)$. Then

$$
\mathcal{O}(Z(s)) \cong L
$$

2. If $D$ is effective then exists $0 \neq s \in H^{0}(X, \mathcal{O}(D))$ with $Z(s)=D$.

Proof.

1. Let $L$ have trivialisations $\left\{\left(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$. Then $Z(s)$ is given by $f \in H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{K}^{*} / \mathcal{O}^{*}\right)$ where

$$
f_{\alpha}=\left.f\right|_{U_{\alpha}}=\varphi_{\alpha}\left(\left.s\right|_{U_{\alpha}}\right)
$$

Then $\mathcal{O}(Z(s))$ is associated to its cocycle $\left\{\left(U_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha} f_{\beta}^{-1}\right)\right\}$. But

$$
f_{\alpha} f_{\beta}^{-1}=\varphi_{\alpha}\left(\left.s\right|_{U_{\alpha}}\right) \varphi_{\beta}\left(\left.s\right|_{U_{\beta}}\right)^{-1}=\varphi_{\alpha \beta}
$$

as above.
2. Let $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ be given by $\left\{\left(U_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ where $f_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{K}^{*}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)$. As $D$ is effective, the $f_{\alpha}$ 's are holomorphic. The line bundle $\mathcal{O}(D)$ is associated to the cocycle $\left\{\left(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{f_{\alpha}}{f_{\beta}}\right)\right\}$. The $f_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)$ glue to a global section $s \in H^{0}(X, \mathcal{O}(D))$ as $f_{\alpha}=\varphi_{\alpha \beta} f_{\beta}$.
Moreover

$$
\left.Z(s)\right|_{U_{\alpha}}=Z\left(\left.s\right|_{U_{\alpha}}\right)=Z\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=D \cap U_{\alpha} .
$$

Note that $s$ is not unique: if $\lambda \in H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}^{*}\right)$ (for example $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ ) then $Z(\lambda s)=Z(s)$.

Corollary 7.6. If $0 \neq s \in H^{0}(X, L), 0 \neq s^{\prime} \in H^{0}\left(X, L^{\prime}\right)$ then

$$
Z(s) \sim Z\left(s^{\prime}\right)
$$

if and only if $L \cong L^{\prime}$.
Proof. Follows as $\mathcal{O}(Z(s)) \cong L$ and $\mathcal{O}(D) \cong \mathcal{O}$ if and only if $D$ is principal.
We conclude this chapter by a few remarks that will be useful for the following chapter on Kähler geometry. Recall the exponential short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\times 2 \pi i} \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\exp } \mathcal{O}^{*} \longrightarrow 0
$$

which induces a long exact sequence in sheaf cohomology. In particular, as $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \cong H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}^{*}\right)$, we have a map

$$
c_{1}: \operatorname{Pic}(X) \rightarrow H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})
$$

Definition (first Chern class). For $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$, we call $c_{1}(L) \in H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ the first Chern class of $L$.

We'll return to Chern classes later.
Recall that $X$ is projective if it is biholomorphic to a closed submanifold of $\mathbb{P}^{m}$ for some $m$.

Definition (ample line bundle). We say that a line bundle $L$ on $X$ is ample if there is an embedding $\iota: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m}$ for some $m$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that

$$
L^{\otimes k} \cong \iota^{*}(\mathcal{O}(1))
$$

where $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is the hyperplane line bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{m}$.
Ampleness is a central property in algebraic geometry. Much of the rest of the course will aim to characterise ampleness in terms of complex differential geometricaly, specifically through Kähler metrics, which give a differential geometric interpretation of ampleness.

## 8 Kähler manifolds

Our goal is to put Riemannian metrics on complex manifolds which interact well with the complex structure. Just as complex structure, we begin by exploring the interaction of inner product and complex structure on a vector space.

Let $V$ be a real vector space. Let $J: V \rightarrow V$ be a complex structure and let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ be an inner product on $V$.

Definition (fundamental form). We say $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is compatible with $J$ if

$$
\langle J u, J v\rangle=\langle u, v\rangle
$$

for all $u, v \in V$. In this case the fundamental form $\omega$ is

$$
\omega(u, v)=\langle J u, v\rangle
$$

Note that $\omega$ is antisymmetric:

$$
\omega(u, v)=\langle J u, v\rangle=\langle-u, J v\rangle=-\omega(v, u)
$$

We now extend to the complexification $V_{\mathbb{C}}=V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. The inner product extends to a Hermitian inner product

$$
\langle\lambda u, \mu v\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}=\lambda \bar{\mu}\langle u, v\rangle
$$

where $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}, u, v \in V$ and using that any $\alpha \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be written as $\alpha=$ $\alpha_{1}+i \alpha_{2}$ where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in V$. $\omega$ extend to an element $\omega$ (by abuse of notation) of $\Lambda^{2} V_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$.

## Lemma 8.1.

1. The decomposition

$$
V_{\mathbb{C}}=V^{1,0} \oplus V^{0,1}
$$

is orthogonal with respect to $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$.
2. $\omega \in \Lambda^{1,1} V_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$.

Proof.

1. Take $u \in V^{1,0}, v \in V^{0,1}$, so $J u=i u, J v=-i v$ so

$$
\langle u, v\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}=\langle J u, J v\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}=\langle i u,-i v\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}=i^{2}\langle u, v\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}=-\langle u, v\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}
$$

so must be 0 .
2. Take $u, v \in V^{1,0}$. Then

$$
\omega(u, v)=\omega(J u, J v)=\omega(i u, i v)=-\omega(u, v)
$$

so is 0 . Similar for $V^{0,1}$.

It is easy to see that this generalises in case of manifolds. Recall from III Differential Geometry

Definition (Riemannian metric). A Riemannian metric $g$ on $X$ is a section of $T^{*} X \otimes T^{*} X$ such that for all $x \in X$,

$$
g_{x}: T_{x} X \times T_{x} X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

is an inner product.

Definition (fundamental form). A Riemannian metric $g$ is called compatible with an almost complex structure $J$ if for all $x \in X$, the inner product $g_{x}$ on $T_{x} X$ is compactible with $J_{x}: T_{x} X \rightarrow T_{x} X$. In this case one define the fundamental form $\omega$ by

$$
\omega(u, v)=g(J u, v)
$$

$\omega$ extends $\mathbb{C}$-linearly to $\omega \in \Lambda^{1,1} T^{*} X$. The extension $g_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $g$ gives a hermitian metric on $(T X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ and hence on $T X^{1,0}$.

Suppose on $X$ we have holomorphic coordinates $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$. Then $\mathrm{d} z_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d} z_{n}$ form a local holomorphic frame for $T^{*} X^{1,0}$. Let

$$
h_{j k}=2 g_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}\right) .
$$

Exercise. Show that $\left(h_{j k}\right)$ is a Hermitian matrix and

$$
\omega=\frac{i}{2} \sum_{j, k} h_{j k} \mathrm{~d} z_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k} .
$$

Definition (Kähler form, Kähler class). We say that $\omega$ is a Kähler form or Kähler metric if $\mathrm{d} \omega=0$. We say $[\omega] \in H^{2}(X ; \mathbb{R})$ is a Kähler class.

## Example.

1. On $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with coordinates $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$,

$$
\omega=\frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{~d} z_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{j}
$$

is a Kähler metric.
2. By a standard partition of unity argument, any complex manifold admits a hermitian metric. Alternatively, if $g$ is any Riemannian metric then define

$$
\tilde{g}(u, v)=g(u, v)+g(J u, J v)
$$

which is compatible with $J$, giving a hermitian metric. The only obstacle to being Kähler form is closedness. If $\operatorname{dim} X=1$ then every $(1,1)$-form is closed, giving lots of Kähler forms.

Note that any two of $g, J, \omega$ determine the third.
Remark. For those taking III Symplectic Topology, any Kähler metric induces a symplectic form. Thus Kähler geometry lies in the intersection of complex geometry, Riemannian geometry and symplectic geometry.

So far the requirement of closedness seems quite arbitrary, but we'll soon prove that all projective manifolds are Kähler.

Example (Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ ). Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n}$ be open and $\pi: \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \backslash$ $\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$ be the natural projection. Suppose $s: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is a holomorphic section of $\pi$, i.e. $\pi(s(z))=z$ for all $z \in U$. Let $U_{j}=\left\{\left[z_{0}: \cdots: z_{n}\right]: z_{j} \neq 0\right\}$. Then on $U_{j}$,

$$
s\left(\left[z_{0}: \cdots: z_{n}\right]\right)=\left(\frac{z_{0}}{z_{j}}, \ldots, \frac{z_{j-1}}{z_{j}}, 1, \ldots, \frac{z_{n}}{z_{j}}\right) .
$$

Let

$$
\left.\omega_{\mathrm{FS}}\right|_{U}=\frac{i}{2 \pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \|s\|^{2},
$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. We need to check this is well-defined, closed and positive definite.

Choose another $s^{\prime}$ defined on $U^{\prime}$. Then $s^{\prime}=f s$ for some $f \in \mathcal{O}^{*}\left(U \cap U^{\prime}\right)$, by the same argument as in the construction of line bundle and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{i}{2 \pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left\|s^{\prime}\right\|^{2} & =\frac{i}{2 \pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(|f|^{2}\|s\|^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{i}{2 \pi} \partial \bar{\partial}\left(\log |f|^{2}+\log \|s\|^{2}\right) \\
& =\left.\omega_{\mathrm{FS}}\right|_{U}
\end{aligned}
$$

as

$$
i \partial \bar{\partial}(\log f+\log \bar{f})=0
$$

Next, note

$$
2 \omega_{\mathrm{FS}}=\frac{i}{2 \pi}(\partial+\bar{\partial})(\bar{\partial}-\partial) \log \|s\|^{2}=\frac{i}{2 \pi} \mathrm{~d}(\bar{\partial}-\partial) \log \|s\|^{2}
$$

so

$$
\mathrm{d} \omega_{\mathrm{FS}}=\frac{i}{4 \pi} \mathrm{~d}\left(\mathrm{~d}(\bar{\partial}-\partial) \log \|s\|^{2}\right)=0
$$

The tricky part is to show positive definiteness, which is a local condition. We locally write

$$
\omega_{\mathrm{FS}}=\frac{i}{2} \sum h_{j k} \mathrm{~d} z_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k}
$$

and need to show $\left(h_{j k}\right)$ is a positive definite Hermitian matrix. We work on $U_{0}$ (proof for $U_{j}$ is identical). Set $w_{j}=\frac{z_{j}}{z_{0}}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\omega_{\mathrm{FS}}\right|_{U_{0}} & =\frac{i}{2 \pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(1+\sum\left|w_{j}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{i}{2 \pi} \partial\left(\frac{\sum w_{j} \mathrm{~d} \bar{w}_{j}}{1+\sum\left|w_{j}\right|^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{i}{2 \pi}\left(\frac{\sum \mathrm{~d} w_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{w}_{j}}{1+\sum\left|w_{j}\right|^{2}}-\frac{\left(\sum \bar{w}_{j} \mathrm{~d} w_{j}\right) \wedge\left(\sum w_{k} \mathrm{~d} \bar{w}_{k}\right)}{\left(1+\sum\left|w_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{i}{2 \pi}\left(\sum_{j, k} \frac{\left(1+\sum\left|w_{\ell}\right|^{2}\right) \delta_{j k}-\bar{w}_{j} w_{k}}{\left(1+\sum\left|w_{\ell}\right|\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} w_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{w}_{k}\right) \\
& =\frac{i}{2 \pi} h_{j k} \mathrm{~d} w_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{w}_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $0 \neq u \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ then (ignoring the positive denominator)

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{T}\left(h_{j k}\right) \bar{u} & =\langle u, u\rangle+\langle w, w\rangle\langle u, u\rangle-u^{T} \bar{w} w^{T} \bar{u} \\
& =\langle u, u\rangle+\langle w, w\rangle\langle u, u\rangle-\langle u, w\rangle\langle w, u\rangle \\
& =\langle u, u\rangle+\langle w, w\rangle\langle u, u\rangle-|\langle w, u\rangle|^{2} \\
& >0
\end{aligned}
$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz.

Proposition 8.2. Let $(X, \omega)$ be a Kähler manifold. Then any complex submanifold $\iota: U \hookrightarrow X$ is Kähler.

Proof.

$$
\mathrm{d}\left(\iota^{*} \omega\right)=\iota^{*} \mathrm{~d} \omega=0
$$

Positive definiteness is clear.

Corollary 8.3. Any projective manifold is Kähler.
This is also precisely the intuition we should have when dealing with Kähler manifold: that is, they are the closest thing to projective manifold (the class of Kähler manifolds is strictly larger, but they share many similarities with projective manifolds).

Using the hermitian metric $h=g_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $T X^{1,0}$, choose a unitary frame $\left\{\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}\right\}$ of $T^{*} X^{1,0}$ on a neighbourhood $U$ of $x \in X$, so that

$$
h=\sum \varphi_{j} \otimes \bar{\varphi}_{j} .
$$

Let $\eta_{j}=\operatorname{Re} \varphi_{j}, \xi_{j}=\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{j}$. One checks

$$
g=\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum\left(\eta_{j}+i \xi_{j}\right) \otimes\left(\eta_{j}-i \xi_{j}\right)\right)=\sum \eta_{j} \otimes \eta_{j}+\xi_{j} \otimes \xi_{j}
$$

with volume form

$$
\mathrm{dVol}=\eta_{1} \wedge \xi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_{n} \wedge \xi_{n}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\omega=\frac{i}{2 \pi} \sum\left(\eta_{j}+i \xi_{j}\right) \wedge\left(\eta_{j}-i \xi_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum \eta_{j} \wedge \xi_{j}
$$

so

$$
\frac{\omega^{n}}{n!}=\mathrm{dVol}
$$

(up to $2 \pi$ ). Thus

$$
\int_{X} \omega^{n}>0
$$

when this is defined, for example when $X$ is compact.
Proposition 8.4. If $X$ is compact Kähler then

$$
\operatorname{dim} H_{d R}^{2 q}(X ; \mathbb{R})>0
$$

Proof. Let $\omega$ be a Kähler metric and $\tau=\omega^{q}$. Then $\mathrm{d} \tau=0$ as $\mathrm{d} \omega=0$ so $[\tau] \in H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{2 q}(X ; \mathbb{R})$. Suppose $\tau=\mathrm{d} \sigma$ where $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2 q-1}(X)$. Then

$$
\int_{X} \omega^{n}=\int_{X} \omega^{n-q} \wedge \tau=\int_{X} \mathrm{~d}\left(\sigma \wedge \omega^{n-q}\right)=0
$$

by Stokes' theorem, a contradiction.
Thus there is a topological obstruction for compact complex manifolds to be Kähler. For example Hopf surface on example sheet 3 .

Remark. We saw that every (smooth) projective manifold is Kähler. Recall that for $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ we defined the first Chern class

$$
c_{1}(L) \in H^{2}(X ; \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq H^{2}(X ; \mathbb{R})
$$

Kodaira embedding theorem states that on a compact complex manifold, a class $\alpha \in H^{2}(X ; \mathbb{Z})$ is a Kähler class (i.e. there is a Kähler metric $\omega \in \alpha$ ) if and only if $\alpha=c_{1}(L)$ for $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ ample. This gives a complex differential geometric interpretation of ampleness and characterises which compact Kähler manifolds are projective.

Proposition 8.5. Let $\omega$ be a (1,1)-form associated to a hermitian metric $h$ on $X$. Then $\mathrm{d} \omega=0$ if and only if for all $x \in X$ there exist holomorphic coordinates $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ around $x$ such that locally

$$
\omega=\frac{i}{2} \sum h_{j k} \mathrm{~d} z_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k}
$$

with

$$
h_{j k}=\delta_{j k}+O\left(|z|^{2}\right)
$$

Thus $\omega$ is Kähler if and only if $\omega=\omega_{0}+O\left(|z|^{2}\right)$ where $\omega_{0}$ is the usual Kähler form on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.

This is analogous to the Riemannian geometric statement that we can choose a normal coordinates with respect to a Riemannian metric of this form.

Proof. Let

$$
\omega=\frac{i}{2} \sum h_{j k} \mathrm{~d} z_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k} .
$$

Then

$$
\mathrm{d} \omega=\frac{i}{2} \sum \frac{\partial h_{j k}}{\partial z_{\ell}} \mathrm{d} z_{\ell} \wedge \mathrm{d} z_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k}+\frac{i}{2} \sum \frac{\partial h_{j k}}{\partial \bar{z}_{\ell}} \mathrm{d} \bar{z}_{\ell} \wedge \mathrm{d} z_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k}
$$

Thus if $h_{j k}=\delta_{j k}+O\left(|z|^{2}\right)$ then

$$
\frac{\partial h_{j k}}{\partial z_{\ell}}(x)=\frac{\partial h_{j k}}{\partial \bar{z}_{\ell}}(x)=0
$$

so $d \omega=0$.

Conversely, suppose $\mathrm{d} \omega=0$ and write

$$
\omega=\frac{i}{2} \sum h_{j k} \mathrm{~d} z_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k} .
$$

By a linear change of coordinates, we may assume

$$
h_{j k}(x)=\delta_{j k} .
$$

The Taylor series expansion looks like

$$
h_{j k}=\delta_{j k}+\sum_{\ell} a_{j k \ell} z_{\ell}+\sum_{\ell} b_{j k \ell} \bar{z}_{\ell}+O\left(|z|^{2}\right) .
$$

As $h$ is Hermitian, $h_{j k}=\bar{h}_{k j}$. Thus $b_{j k \ell}=\overline{a_{k j \ell}}$. As $\mathrm{d} \omega=0$,

$$
0=\sum_{j, k, \ell} a_{j k \ell} \mathrm{~d} z_{\ell} \wedge \mathrm{d} z_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k}+\sum_{j, k, \ell} b_{j k \ell} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{\ell} \wedge \mathrm{d} z_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k} .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{j k \ell} & =a_{\ell k j} \\
b_{j k \ell} & =b_{j \ell k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let

$$
\xi_{k}=z_{k}+\frac{1}{2} \sum a_{j k \ell} z_{j} z_{\ell}
$$

a valid change of coordinates in a neighbourhood of $x$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} \xi_{k} & =\mathrm{d} z_{k}+\frac{1}{2} \sum a_{j k \ell}\left(z_{j} \mathrm{~d} z_{\ell}+z_{\ell} \mathrm{d} z_{j}\right) \\
\mathrm{d} \bar{\xi}_{k} & =\mathrm{d} \bar{z}_{k}+\frac{1}{2} \sum \bar{a}_{j k \ell}\left(\bar{z}_{j} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{\ell}+\bar{z}_{\ell} \mathrm{d} \bar{z}_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we compute their wedge product

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} \xi_{k} \wedge d \bar{\xi}_{k}= & \sum \mathrm{d} z_{k} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum \bar{a}_{j k \ell}\left(\bar{z}_{j} \mathrm{~d} z_{k} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{\ell}+\bar{z}_{\ell} \mathrm{d} z_{k} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{j}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum a_{j k \ell}\left(z_{j} \mathrm{~d} z_{\ell} \wedge \mathrm{d} \bar{z}_{k}+z_{\ell} \mathrm{d} z_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k}\right)+O\left(|z|^{2}\right) \\
= & \sum \mathrm{d} z_{k} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k} \\
& +\sum a_{j k \ell} z_{\ell} \mathrm{d} z_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k} \\
& +\sum b_{j k \ell} \bar{z}_{\ell} \mathrm{d} z_{k} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{j}+O\left(|z|^{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{2}{i} \omega+O\left(|z|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus any identity only involving the metric $h$ and its first derivative, if true on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with its usual Kähler metric, is true on any Kähler manifold. We'll use this several times.

### 8.1 Kähler identities

Recall some operators from differential geometry. Let $(X, g)$ be an oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension $2 n$. The exterior derivative $\mathrm{d}: \mathcal{A}^{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{k+1}$ satisfies $\mathrm{d}^{2}=0$. Let dVol be the volume form associated to $g$. The Hodge star operator $\star: \mathcal{A}^{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{2 n-k}$ is defined in such a way that

$$
\alpha \wedge \star \beta=\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle_{g} \mathrm{dVol}
$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}^{k}$.
Set

$$
\mathrm{d}^{*}=-\star \mathrm{d} \star: \mathcal{A}^{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{k-1}
$$

The Laplacian is

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{d}^{*} \mathrm{~d}+\mathrm{dd}^{*}: \mathcal{A}^{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{k}
$$

Now suppose $X$ is a complex manifold of dimension $n$, with Riemannian metric $g$ compatible with $J$. Then the Hodge star operator extends naturally to

$$
\star: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2 n-k}
$$

in such a way that

$$
\alpha \wedge \star \beta=g_{\mathbb{C}}(\alpha, \beta) \mathrm{dVol} .
$$

Write $\mathrm{d}=\partial+\bar{\partial}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p+1, q} \\
& \bar{\partial}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial^{*} & =-\star \partial \star \\
\bar{\partial}^{*} & =-\star \bar{\partial}^{\prime} \star
\end{aligned}
$$

and subsequently two more Laplacians

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{\partial}=\partial^{*} \partial+\partial \partial^{*} \\
& \Delta_{\bar{\partial}}=\bar{\partial}^{*} \bar{\partial}+\overline{\partial \bar{\partial}}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\omega$ is Kähler, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
L: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q} & \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p+1, q+1} \\
\alpha & \mapsto \alpha \wedge \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the Lefschetz operator. Finally set

$$
\Lambda=\star^{-1} L \star: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p-1, q-1}
$$

the inverse Lefschetz operator or sometimes the contraction operator.
Remark. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}$,

$$
\star \star \alpha=(-1)^{k(2 n-k)} \alpha
$$

so

$$
\star^{-1}=(-1)^{k(2 n-k)} \star .
$$

The operators $\partial^{*}$ and $\bar{\partial}^{*}$ are adjoint to $\partial, \bar{\partial}$ respectively with respect to $L^{2}$ inner product when $X$ is compact, which is defined as

$$
\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle_{L^{2}}=\int_{X} \alpha \wedge \star \beta=\int_{X} g_{\mathbb{C}}(\alpha, \beta) \mathrm{dVol} .
$$

Lemma 8.6. Suppose $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}, \beta \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p+1, q}$ then

$$
\langle\partial \alpha, \beta\rangle_{L^{2}}=\left\langle\alpha, \partial^{*} \beta\right\rangle_{L^{2}}
$$

Similarly if $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}, \beta \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q+1}$ then

$$
\langle\bar{\partial} \alpha, \beta\rangle_{L^{2}}=\left\langle\alpha, \bar{\partial}^{*} \beta\right\rangle_{L^{2}} .
$$

Proof. We prove the first identity. By Stokes' theorem

$$
0=\int_{X} \mathrm{~d}(\alpha \wedge \star \beta)=\int_{X} \partial(\alpha \wedge \star \beta)
$$

because

$$
\alpha \wedge \star \beta \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p+(n-(p+1)), q+(n-q)}=\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n-1, n}
$$

so $\bar{\partial}(\alpha \wedge \star \beta)=0$. Thus

$$
0=\int_{X} \partial(\alpha \wedge \star \beta)=\int_{X} \partial \alpha \wedge \star \beta+(-1)^{k} \alpha \wedge \partial \star \beta
$$

where $k=p+q$, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\partial \alpha, \beta\rangle_{L^{2}} & =\int_{X} \partial \alpha \wedge \star \beta \\
& =(-1)^{k+1} \int_{X} \alpha \wedge \partial \star \beta \\
& =(-1)^{k+1+k(2 n-k)} \int_{X} \alpha \wedge \star(\star \partial \star) \beta \\
& =\left\langle\alpha, \partial^{*} \beta\right\rangle_{L^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $k(2 n-k+1)$ is even.
We now prove the Kähler identities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\bar{\partial}^{*}, L\right] } & =i \partial,\left[\partial^{*}, L\right]=-i \bar{\partial} \\
{[\Lambda, \bar{\partial}] } & =-i \partial^{*},[\Lambda, \partial]=i \bar{\partial}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

We begin with $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ equipped with the standard Kähler metric. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega & =\frac{i}{2} \sum \mathrm{~d} z_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{j} \\
g & =\frac{1}{2} \sum \mathrm{~d} z_{j} \otimes \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

We introduce some notations

Definition. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}, \xi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1}$. Define $\xi \vee \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k-1}$ by

$$
g_{\mathbb{C}}(\xi \vee \alpha, \beta)=g_{\mathbb{C}}(\alpha, \bar{\xi} \wedge \beta)
$$

for all $\beta \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k-1}$.
It is an exercise in linear algebra to check this exists and is well-defined, as $g_{\mathbb{C}}$ is nondegenerate. For example in holomorphic coordinates,

$$
\mathrm{d} z_{1} \vee \alpha=\alpha\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}},-\right) .
$$

For today we write $g_{\mathbb{C}}(\alpha, \beta)=\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$.
Definition. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}$, using multiindex notation, write

$$
\alpha=\sum_{|I|+|J|=k} \alpha_{I J} \mathrm{~d} z_{I} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{J}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{j} \alpha=\sum_{|I|+|J|=k} \frac{\partial \alpha_{I J}}{\partial z_{j}} \mathrm{~d} z_{I} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{J} \\
& \bar{\partial}_{j} \alpha=\sum_{|I|+|J|=k} \frac{\partial \alpha_{I J}}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}} \mathrm{~d} z_{I} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{J}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma 8.7.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d} z_{j} \vee \mathrm{~d} z_{k}=0 \\
& \mathrm{~d} z_{j} \vee \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k}=\delta_{j k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} z_{j} \vee \mathrm{~d} z_{k} & =\left\langle\mathrm{d} z_{j}, \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}=0=0 .
$$

## Lemma 8.8.

1. $\bar{\partial} \alpha=\sum_{j} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{j} \wedge \bar{\partial}_{j} \alpha$.
2. $\partial_{j}\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle=\left\langle\partial_{j} \alpha, \beta\right\rangle+\left\langle\alpha, \bar{\partial}_{j} \beta\right\rangle$.
3. $\partial_{j}\left(\mathrm{~d} z_{k} \vee \alpha\right)=\mathrm{d} z_{k} \vee \partial_{j} \alpha$.

Proof.

1. Follows from definition of $\bar{\partial}$.
2. Follows as the metric is the standard one so has no dependency on coordinate:

$$
\partial_{j}\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle=\partial_{j} \sum \alpha_{I J} \bar{\beta}_{I J}=\sum\left(\left(\partial_{j} \alpha_{I J}\right) \bar{\beta}_{I J}+\alpha_{I J} \partial_{j} \bar{\beta}_{I J}\right)
$$

3. Follows as $\partial_{j}$ commutes with $\left(\mathrm{d} z_{k} \vee-\right)$, since it commutes with $\left(\mathrm{d} \bar{z}_{k} \wedge-\right)$.

Explicitly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\partial_{j}\left(\mathrm{~d} z_{k} \vee \alpha\right), \beta\right\rangle & =\partial_{j}\left\langle\mathrm{~d} z_{k} \vee \alpha, \beta\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathrm{d} z_{k} \vee \alpha, \bar{\partial}_{j} \beta\right\rangle \\
& =\partial_{j}\left\langle\alpha, \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k} \wedge \beta\right\rangle-\left\langle\alpha, \mathrm{d} \bar{z}_{k} \wedge \bar{\partial}_{j} \beta\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\partial_{j} \alpha, \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k} \wedge \beta\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathrm{d} z_{k} \vee \partial_{j} \alpha, \beta\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma 8.9 .

$$
\bar{\partial}^{*} \alpha=-\sum_{j} \mathrm{~d} z_{j} \vee \partial_{j} \alpha .
$$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}, \beta \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k-1}$ have compact support. Then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}} \partial_{j}\left\langle\mathrm{~d} z_{j} \vee \alpha, \beta\right\rangle \mathrm{dVol}=0
$$

by Stokes' theorem, with dVol being the stardard volume form so exact, and $\beta$ having compact support. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}} \partial_{j}\left\langle\mathrm{~d} z_{j} \vee \alpha, \beta\right\rangle \mathrm{dVol} \\
& =\left\langle\partial_{j}\left(\mathrm{~d} z_{j} \vee \alpha\right), \beta\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+\left\langle\mathrm{d} z_{j} \vee \alpha, \bar{\partial}_{j} \beta\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
& =\left\langle\mathrm{d} z_{j} \vee \partial_{j} \alpha, \beta\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+\left\langle\mathrm{d} z_{j} \vee \alpha, \bar{\partial}_{j} \beta\right\rangle_{L^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\bar{\partial}^{*} \alpha, \beta\right\rangle_{L^{2}} & =\langle\alpha, \bar{\partial} \beta\rangle_{L^{2}} \quad \text { adjoint relation } \\
& =\sum\left\langle\alpha, \mathrm{d} \bar{z}_{j} \wedge \bar{\partial}_{j} \beta\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
& =\sum\left\langle\mathrm{d} z_{j} \vee \alpha, \bar{\partial}_{j} \beta\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
& =-\sum\left\langle\mathrm{d} z_{j} \vee \partial_{j} \alpha, \beta\right\rangle_{L^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives the result as it holds for all such $\beta$.

Lemma 8.10. On $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with the standard metric,

$$
\left[\bar{\partial}^{*}, L\right]=i \partial
$$

Proof. Give a form $\alpha$,

$$
\left[\bar{\partial}^{*}, L\right] \alpha=\bar{\partial}^{*} L \alpha-L \bar{\partial}^{*} \alpha=\bar{\partial}^{*}(\omega \wedge \alpha)-\omega \wedge \bar{\partial}^{*} \alpha .
$$

The first term is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\partial}^{*}(\omega \wedge \alpha) & =-\sum \mathrm{d} z_{j} \vee \partial_{j}(\omega \wedge \alpha) \quad \text { by the previous lemma } \\
& =-\sum \mathrm{d} z_{j} \vee\left(\left(\partial_{j} \omega \wedge \alpha\right)+\omega \wedge \partial_{j} \alpha\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\omega$ is the standard Kähler form, $\partial_{j} \omega=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & -\frac{i}{2} \sum \mathrm{~d} z_{j} \vee\left(\sum_{k} \mathrm{~d} z_{k} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k} \wedge \partial_{j} \alpha\right) \\
= & -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{j, k} \underbrace{\left(\mathrm{~d} z_{j} \vee \mathrm{~d} z_{k}\right)}_{=0} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k} \wedge \partial_{j} \alpha \\
& +\frac{i}{2} \sum_{j, k} \mathrm{~d} z_{k} \wedge \underbrace{\left(\mathrm{~d} z_{j} \vee \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k}\right)}_{=2 \delta_{j k}} \wedge \partial_{j} \alpha \\
& \underbrace{-\frac{i}{2} \sum_{j, k} \mathrm{~d} z_{k} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k} \wedge\left(\mathrm{~d} z_{j} \vee \partial_{j} \alpha\right)}_{=-\omega \wedge \sum_{j} \mathrm{~d} z_{j} \vee \partial_{j} \alpha} \\
= & 0+i \partial \alpha+\omega \wedge \bar{\partial}^{*} \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

so indeed

$$
\left[\bar{\partial}^{*}, L\right] \alpha=i \partial \alpha+\omega \wedge \bar{\partial}^{*} \alpha-\omega \wedge \bar{\partial}^{*} \alpha=i \partial \alpha
$$

The local result on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ can be generalised to Kähler manifolds.
Theorem 8.11 (Kähler identities). Let $(X, \omega)$ be a Kähler manifold. Then

1. $\left[\bar{\partial}^{*}, L\right]=i \partial$.
2. $\left[\partial^{*}, L\right]=-i \bar{\partial}$.
3. $[\Lambda, \bar{\partial}]=-i \partial^{*}$.
4. $[\Lambda, \partial]=i \bar{\partial}^{*}$.

## Proof.

1. As $\omega$ is Kähler around any $x \in X$ there are coordinates $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}$ in which

$$
\omega=\omega_{0}+O\left(|z|^{2}\right)
$$

where $\omega_{0}$ is the standard metric on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. As $\left[\bar{\partial}^{*}, L\right]$ only involves the metric and the first derivative of its coefficients, this follows from the result for $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.
2. Conjugate 1 and notice that $\omega$ is real.
3. Adjoint of 1.
4. Adjoint of 2.
$\Lambda$ being adjoint to $L$ is formally justified by
Lemma 8.12. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(X), \beta \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p-1, q-1}(X)$. Then

$$
g_{\mathbb{C}}(\alpha, L \beta)=g_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda \alpha, \beta) .
$$

So $L$ is the adjoint of $\Lambda$.
Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{\mathbb{C}}(L \alpha, \beta) \mathrm{dVol} & =L \alpha \wedge \star \beta \\
& =\omega \wedge \alpha \wedge \star \beta \\
& =\alpha \wedge \omega \wedge \star \beta \\
& =g_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\alpha, \star^{-1} L \star \beta\right) \mathrm{dVol} \\
& =g_{\mathbb{C}}(\alpha, \Lambda \beta) \mathrm{dVol}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\Lambda=\star^{-1} L \star$.

Theorem 8.13. On a Kähler manifold $(X, \omega)$, we have

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{d}}=2 \Delta_{\partial}=2 \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} .
$$

Remark. This is not true on arbitrary complex manifolds.
Proof. First we claim

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\partial}^{*} \partial+\partial \bar{\partial}^{*}=0 \\
& \partial^{*} \bar{\partial}+\bar{\partial} \partial^{*}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Kähler identities give

$$
\bar{\partial}^{*}=-i[\Lambda, \partial]
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\partial}^{*} \partial+\partial \bar{\partial}^{*} & =-i[\Lambda, \partial] \partial-i \partial[\Lambda, \partial] \\
& =-i \Lambda \partial \partial+i \partial \Lambda \partial-i \partial \Lambda \partial+i \partial \partial \Lambda \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\partial^{2}=0$. Next we show

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{d}}=\Delta_{\partial}+\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} .
$$

This is because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\mathrm{d}} & =\mathrm{d}^{*} \mathrm{~d}+\mathrm{dd}^{*} \\
& =\left(\partial^{*}+\bar{\partial}^{*}\right)(\partial+\bar{\partial})+(\partial+\bar{\partial})\left(\partial^{*}+\bar{\partial}^{*}\right) \\
& =\Delta_{\partial}+\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}
\end{aligned}
$$

as the cross terms cancel. Finally we show

$$
\Delta_{\partial}=\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} .
$$

This is because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\partial} & =\partial \partial^{*}+\partial^{*} \partial \\
& =i \partial[\Lambda, \bar{\partial}]+i[\Lambda, \bar{\partial}] \partial \\
& =i \partial \Lambda \bar{\partial}-i \partial \bar{\partial} \Lambda+i \Lambda \bar{\partial} \partial-i \bar{\partial} \Lambda \partial
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} & =\overline{\partial \partial}^{*}+\bar{\partial}^{*} \bar{\partial} \\
& =-i \bar{\partial}[\Lambda, \partial]-i[\Lambda, \partial] \bar{\partial} \\
& =\Delta_{\partial}
\end{aligned}
$$

This theorem shows that no matter which (co)differential we choose, there is no "weird" Hodge theory on Kähler manifold as all three Laplacians coincide.

Theorem 8.14 (Kähler identities II). Let $(X, \omega)$ be a Kähler manifold. Let $\pi_{k}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}$ be the projection and define the counting operator

$$
H=\sum_{k=0}^{2 n}(n-k) \pi_{k}
$$

where $2 n$ is the real dimension of $X$. Then

1. $H, \Lambda, L$ commute with $\Delta_{\mathrm{d}}$.
2. 

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\Lambda, L] } & =H \\
{[H, L] } & =-2 L \\
{[H, \Lambda] } & =2 \Lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We first consider commutators with $H$. By linearity, it suffices to prove these results for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}$ where $p+q=k$. Then

$$
\left[H, \Delta_{\mathrm{d}}\right] \alpha=(n-k) \Delta_{\mathrm{d}} \alpha-\Delta_{\mathrm{d}}(n-k) \alpha=0 .
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[H, L] \alpha } & =H L \alpha-L H \alpha \\
& =(n-(k+2)) L \alpha-L(n-k) \alpha \\
& =-2 L \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking adjoints and using $H=H^{*}$ as

$$
g_{\mathbb{C}}(H \alpha, \beta)=g_{\mathbb{C}}(\alpha, H \beta)
$$

gives

$$
[H, \Lambda]=2 \Lambda .
$$

Showing $\left[L, \Delta_{\mathrm{d}}\right]=0$ is equivalent to asking $\Delta_{\mathrm{d}} \omega=0$ (i.e. $\omega$ is harmonic) and this is on example sheet 3 . As $\Delta_{d}=\Delta_{d}^{*}$,

$$
\left[\Lambda, \Delta_{d}\right]=0
$$

We show lastly that

$$
[\Lambda, L]=H
$$

That is, if $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}$ where $p+q=k$ then

$$
[\Lambda, L] \alpha=(n-k) \alpha
$$

This identity has no derivatives, so holds for $(X, \omega)$ if it holds for $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with respect to the standard Kähler metric. We check this explicitly. When $n=1$ we have

$$
\Lambda\left(\frac{i}{2} g(z) \mathrm{d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}\right)=g(z)
$$

so the identity holds. In general, write

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & =\sum_{i} L_{j} \\
L_{j} \alpha & =\frac{i}{2} \mathrm{~d} z_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{j} \wedge \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\Lambda=\sum \Lambda_{j}$, where $\Lambda_{j}=L_{j}^{*}$ removes $\mathrm{d} z_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{j}$ if $\alpha$ has a $\mathrm{d} z_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{j}$ term and $\Lambda_{j} \alpha=0$ otherwise (up to an appropriate dimensional constant). Then

$$
\left[L_{j}, \Lambda_{\ell}\right]=0
$$

if $j \neq \ell$, so this reduces to (a small variant of) the one dimensional case. By linearity one reduces to

$$
\alpha=\frac{i}{2} \mathrm{~d} z_{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{j} \wedge \hat{\alpha}
$$

where $\hat{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p-1, q-1}$, then

$$
\left[\Lambda_{j}, L_{j}\right] \alpha=(n-p-q) \alpha
$$

as in the one dimensional case.
Remark. See Huybrechts Proposition 1.2.26 for a proof which carefully keeps track of the constants.

## 9 Hodge Theory

We wish to understand the Dolbeault cohomology groups $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X)$, and how they compare with the sheaf cohomology $H^{k}(X, \mathbb{C})$ where $p+q=k$. We begin by picking canonical representatives of cohomology.

Recall that
Definition (harmonic form). Given an oriented Riemannian manifold ( $X, g$ ), we define the space of harmonic forms of degree $k$ to be

$$
\mathcal{H}^{k}(X, g)=\left\{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^{k}(X), \Delta_{\mathrm{d}} \alpha=0\right\} .
$$

Remark. On $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with the Euclidean metric, if $f \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ then

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{d}} f=\Delta f
$$

the usual Laplacian. Thus $\Delta_{\mathrm{d}} f=0$ if and only if $f$ is harmonic in the classical sense.

Lemma 9.1. Suppose $(X, \omega)$ is compact. $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \alpha=0$ if and only if

$$
\bar{\partial} \alpha=\bar{\partial}^{*} \alpha=0 .
$$

Proof. Similar to that in Riemannian geometry. If $\bar{\partial} \alpha=\bar{\partial}^{*} \alpha=0$ then $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \alpha=0$ by definition of $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}$.

Conversely, if $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \alpha=0$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left\langle\Delta \bar{\partial}^{\alpha} \alpha, \alpha\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
& =\left\langle\left(\bar{\partial}^{*} \bar{\partial}+\overline{\partial \bar{\partial}}^{*}\right) \alpha, \alpha\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
& =\|\bar{\partial} \alpha\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\bar{\partial}^{*} \alpha\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\bar{\partial} \alpha=\bar{\partial}^{*} \alpha=0$.
Recall that if $(X, \omega)$ is Kähler then

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{d}} \alpha=0 \Longleftrightarrow \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \alpha=0 \Longleftrightarrow \Delta_{\partial} \alpha=0
$$

so we can define harmonic forms on $X$ with respect to any of the Laplacian

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X, g)=\left\{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(X): \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \alpha=0\right\} .
$$

Recall from III Differential Geometry
Theorem 9.2 (Hodge decomposition for Riemannian manifolds). If ( $X, g$ ) is a compact Riemannian manifold then there is an $L^{2}$-orthogonal decomposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{k}(X) & \cong \mathcal{H}^{k}(X) \oplus \mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}^{k-1}(X) \oplus \mathrm{d}^{*} \mathcal{A}^{k+1}(X) \\
& \cong \mathcal{H}^{k}(X) \oplus \Delta_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathcal{A}^{k}(X)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The space $\mathcal{H}^{k}(X)$ of harmonic forms is finite-dimensional.

The second isomorphism is because

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{d}} \mathcal{A}^{k}(X)=\mathrm{dd}^{*} \mathcal{A}^{k}(X) \oplus \mathrm{d}^{*} \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{A}^{k}(X)=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}^{k-1}(X) \oplus \mathrm{d}^{*} \mathcal{A}^{k+1}(X)
$$

For example if $\alpha=\mathrm{d} \beta \in \mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}^{k-1}(X), \beta=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}$ then

$$
\mathrm{d} \beta=\mathrm{d} \beta_{3}=\mathrm{dd}^{*} \gamma
$$

for some $\gamma$.
Theorem 9.3 (Hodge deomposition for Kähler manifolds). If $(X, \omega)$ is a compact Kähler manifold then there is an $L^{2}$-orthogonal decomposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(X) & \cong \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\bar{p}}}^{p, q}(X) \oplus \bar{\partial} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q-1}(X) \oplus \bar{\partial}^{*} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q+1}(X) \\
& \cong \mathcal{H}_{\partial}^{p, q}(X) \oplus \partial \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p-1, q}(X) \oplus \partial^{*} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p+1, q}(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\partial}^{p, q}(X)=\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X)=\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{d}}^{p, q}(X)
$$

as

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{d}}=2 \Delta_{\bar{\partial}}=2 \Delta_{\partial} .
$$

Remark. Just as in III Differential Geometry, we shall not prove this result. The proof uses techniques from elliptic PDE theory. See Griffiths-Harris chapter 0.6 .

Corollary 9.4. The map

$$
\mathcal{H} \frac{p, q}{p, q}(X) \rightarrow H \frac{{ }_{\bar{\gamma}}^{p, q}}{}(X)
$$

sending $\alpha$ to its class is an isomorphism. That is, each class in $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X)$ is represented by a unique harmonic form.

Proof. The map is well-defined: if $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \alpha=0$ then $\bar{\partial} \alpha=0$.
We first show surjectivity. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(X)$ satisfy $\bar{\partial} \alpha=0$. By Hodge decomposition we may write

$$
\alpha=\beta_{1}+\bar{\partial} \beta_{2}+\bar{\partial}^{*} \beta_{3}
$$

with $\beta_{1}$ harmonic. Thus

$$
0=\bar{\partial} \alpha=\overline{\partial \bar{\partial}}^{*} \beta_{3} .
$$

But then

$$
0=\left\langle\overline{\partial \partial}^{*} \beta_{3}, \beta_{3}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}=\left\langle\bar{\partial}^{*} \beta_{3}, \bar{\partial}^{*} \beta_{3}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}=\left\|\bar{\partial}^{*} \beta_{3}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
$$

so $\bar{\partial}^{*} \beta_{3}=0$. So $\alpha=\beta_{1}+\bar{\partial} \beta_{2}$ and

$$
[\alpha]=\left[\beta_{1}\right] \in H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X)
$$

with $\beta_{1}$ harmonic.
Now we show injectivity. Suppose $\alpha \in \mathcal{H} \frac{p}{\bar{\partial}}, q(X)$ is harmonic with $0=[\alpha] \in$ $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X)$. Then $\alpha=\bar{\partial} \beta$. As $\alpha$ is harmonic,

$$
0=\bar{\partial}^{*} \alpha=\bar{\partial}^{*} \bar{\partial} \beta
$$

so $\bar{\partial} \beta=0$ by an $L^{2}$ argument. Thus $\alpha=0$.

Corollary 9.5. The map

$$
\mathcal{H} \frac{k}{\partial}(X) \rightarrow H_{d R}^{k}(X ; \mathbb{C})
$$

is an isomorphism. That is each cohomology class is represented by a unique harmonic form.

Proof. Same as before.
Remark. The vector spaces $\mathcal{H}^{p, q}(X)\left(\cong H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X)\right)$ admits the following operations:

1. conjugation $\alpha \mapsto \bar{\alpha}$ sends harmonic forms to harmonic forms (since $\bar{\partial} \bar{\alpha}=$ $\overline{\partial \alpha}$, hence inducing an isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{H}^{p, q}(X) \cong \mathcal{H}^{q, p}(X)
$$

We used Kähler identities ( $\Delta_{\partial} \alpha=0$ if and only if $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \alpha=0$ ) and this is not true for arbitrary compact complex manifolds.
2. Hodge star operator $\alpha \mapsto \star \alpha$ sends harmonic forms to harmonic forms (since $\partial^{*} \star \alpha=-\star \partial \alpha$ ), hence inducing an isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{H}^{p, q}(X) \cong \mathcal{H}^{n-p, n-q}(X)
$$

3. another way to see this is Serre duality: consider the pairing

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}^{p, q}(X) \times \mathcal{H}^{n-p, n-q}(X) & \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
(\alpha, \beta) & \mapsto \int_{X} \alpha \wedge \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\alpha \neq 0$ then

$$
(\alpha, \star \bar{\alpha}) \mapsto \int_{X} \alpha \wedge \star \bar{\alpha}>0
$$

giving an isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{H}^{p, q}(X) \cong \mathcal{H}^{n-p, n-q}(X)
$$

4. Lefschetz operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
L: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(X) & \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p+1, q+1}(X) \\
\alpha & \mapsto \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

It satisfies $\left[L, \Delta_{\bar{\partial}}\right]=0$, giving a map

$$
L: \mathcal{H}^{p, q}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{p+1, q+1}(X)
$$

We will revisit this shortly.
These induce symmetries and pairings on Dolbeault cohomology groups using the canonical isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X) \cong H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X) .
$$

Denote $h^{p, q}=\operatorname{dim} H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X)$. This is finite as $X$ is compact. The Hodge diamond is the array

$$
\begin{array}{cccccccc} 
& & & & h^{0,0} & & & \\
& & h^{0,1} & h^{0,2} & & h^{1,1} & & h^{2,0} \\
& & & & & & \\
h^{0, n} & \cdot & & & \vdots & & & \ddots
\end{array}
$$

The rows are symmetric by conjugation and the columns are symmetric by the Hodge star operator.

Theorem 9.6. Let $(X, \omega)$ be compact Kähler. Then there is a decomposition

$$
H_{d R}^{k}(X ; \mathbb{C})=H^{k}(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X)
$$

independent of the chosen Kähler metric.
Proof. The decomposition is induced by the Hodge decomposition

$$
H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{k}(X ; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{H} \frac{k}{\bar{\partial}}(X) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{H} \frac{p, q}{\partial}(X) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X) .
$$

We must show that this decomposition is independent of chosen $\omega$. It suffices to show that if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1} \in \mathcal{H} \frac{p, q}{\bar{\partial}}\left(X, \omega_{1}\right) \\
& \alpha_{2} \in \mathcal{H} \frac{p, q}{\bar{\gamma}}\left(X, \omega_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\left[\alpha_{1}\right]=\left[\alpha_{2}\right] \in H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X)$ then $\left[\alpha_{1}\right]=\left[\alpha_{2}\right] \in H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{k}(X ; \mathbb{C})$. Write $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}+\bar{\partial} \gamma$ for some $\gamma$. As $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ are $\Delta_{\mathrm{d}}$-harmonic, they are d-closed (which is independent of the Kähler metric) so

$$
\mathrm{d}(\bar{\partial} \gamma)=\mathrm{d}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)=0
$$

Then $\bar{\partial} \gamma$ is $L^{2}$-orthogonal to $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X, \omega)$ by Kähler Hodge decomposition. As $\mathcal{H} \frac{k}{\bar{\partial}}(X, \omega)=\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{d}}^{k}(X, \omega), \bar{\partial} \gamma$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{d}}^{k}(X, \omega)$.

Since

$$
\left\langle\bar{\partial} \gamma, \mathrm{d}^{*} \varphi\right\rangle=0
$$

for all $\varphi$, so $\bar{\partial} \gamma \in \mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}^{k+1}$. Thus by Riemannian Hodge decomposition $\bar{\partial} \gamma \in$ $\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}^{k-1}(X)$. Thus $\left[\alpha_{1}\right]=\left[\alpha_{2}\right] \in H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{k}(X ; \mathbb{C})$.

## 10 Hermitian vector bundles

Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a complex vector bundle over a complex manifold $X$.
Definition. We define

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}(E)(U)=\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}(U) \otimes C^{\infty}(E)(U)
$$

where $C^{\infty}(E)(U)$ denotes the smooth sections of $E$.
We have a splitting

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}(E)=\bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(E)
$$

arising from the splitting $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}(U)=\bigoplus \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(U)$ as $(p, q)$-forms.
Definition (hermitian metric). A hermitian metric $h$ on $E$ is a smooth varying hermitian metric $h_{x}$ on the fibre $E_{x}$ over $x \in X$.

If $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}$ is a local frame for $E$ (of rank $r$ ), then $\left[h_{j k}=h\left(e_{j}, e_{k}\right)\right]$ is a hermitian matrix for each $x$ whose coefficients vary smoothly in $x$. As in the smooth case, a partition of unity argument produces hermitian metrics on any complex vector bundle.

Exercise. If $E, F$ are given hermitian metrics then $E \oplus F, E \otimes F, E^{*}, \Lambda^{j} E$ all admit natural hermitian metrics.

Proposition 10.1. Suppose $E$ is a holomorphic vector bundle. Then there is a natural $\mathbb{C}$-linear operator

$$
\bar{\partial}_{E}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q+1}(E)
$$

satisfying

$$
\bar{\partial}_{E}(\alpha \otimes s)=(\bar{\partial} \alpha) \otimes s+\alpha \otimes \bar{\partial}_{E} s
$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(U), s \in C^{\infty}(E)(U)$.
Proof. In a local holomorphic frame $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}$ we define

$$
\bar{\partial}_{E}\left(\alpha \otimes e_{j}\right)=\bar{\partial} \alpha \otimes e_{j} .
$$

To see this is well-defined, let $e_{j}^{\prime}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{r} \varphi_{j \ell} e_{\ell}$ be another local holomorphic frame so that the $\varphi_{j \ell}$ are local holomorphic functions. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\partial}_{E}\left(\alpha \otimes \sum_{\ell} \varphi_{j \ell} e_{\ell}\right) & =\sum_{\ell} \varphi_{j \ell} \bar{\partial} \alpha \otimes e_{\ell} \\
& =\bar{\partial} \alpha \otimes \sum \varphi_{j \ell} e_{\ell} \\
& =\bar{\partial} \alpha \otimes e_{j}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition (connection). A connection on a complex vector bundle is a sheaf morphism

$$
D: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1}(E)
$$

such that

$$
D(f s)=\mathrm{d} f \otimes s+f D s
$$

where $f \in C^{\infty}(U), s \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0}(E)(U)$.
If $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}$ is a local frame for $E$, this gives a connection matrix

$$
D e_{j}=\sum \Theta_{j \ell} e_{\ell}
$$

where $\Theta=\left(\Theta_{j \ell}\right)$ is a matrix of 1-forms.
A connection may be compatible with holomorphic structure or with hermitian structure. We will then prove that there is a unique connection compatible with both.

Definition (connection compatible with holomorphic structure). Let $E$ be a holomorphic vector bundle. We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{\prime} & : \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0}(E) \\
D^{\prime \prime}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0}(E) & \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,1}(E)
\end{aligned}
$$

by $D=D^{\prime}+D^{\prime \prime}$. We say $D$ is compatible with the holomorphic structure if

$$
D^{\prime \prime}=\bar{\partial}_{E}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,1}(E)
$$

Proposition 10.2. A connection $D$ on $E$ is compatible with the holomorphic structure if and only if for all local holomorphic frames, the connection matrix $\left(\Theta_{j \ell}\right)$ is given by $(1,0)$-forms.

This gives a local characterisation of compatibility.
Proof. Suppose $D$ is compatible. Then the $(0,1)$-part of $\left(\Theta_{j \ell}\right)$ vanishes as

$$
D e_{j}=\sum \Theta_{j \ell} e_{\ell}
$$

and $e_{\ell}$ 's are holomorphic.
Conversely, if $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}$ is a local frame and $\alpha_{j} \in C^{\infty}(U)$ then

$$
D\left(\sum \alpha_{j} e_{j}\right)=\sum \mathrm{d} \alpha_{j} \otimes e_{j}+\alpha_{j} D e_{j}
$$

and projecting to the $(0,1)$-part,

$$
D^{\prime \prime}\left(\sum \alpha_{j} e_{j}\right)=\sum \bar{\partial} \alpha_{j} \otimes e_{j}
$$

But this is our local expression for $\bar{\partial}_{E}$.

Definition (connection compactible with hermitian structure). Let ( $E, h$ ) be a hermitian vector bundle. We say $D$ is compatible with $h$ if

$$
\mathrm{d}(\alpha, \beta)_{h}=(D \alpha, \beta)_{h}+(\alpha, D \beta)_{h}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0}(E)$.

Proposition 10.3. A connection $D$ on $(E, h)$ is compatible with $h$ if and only if for every unitary frame $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}$, the connection matrix is skewHermitian, i.e.

$$
\Theta_{j \ell}=-\overline{\Theta_{\ell j}}
$$

Proof. If $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}$ is an unitary frame, then $\left(e_{j}, e_{\ell}\right)_{h}=\delta_{j \ell}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\mathrm{d}\left(e_{j}, e_{\ell}\right)_{h} \\
& =\left(D e_{j}, e_{\ell}\right)_{h}+\left(e_{j}, D e_{\ell}\right)_{h} \\
& =\left(\sum \Theta_{j k} e_{k}, e_{\ell}\right)_{h}+\left(e_{j}, \sum \Theta_{\ell k} e_{k}\right)_{h} \\
& =\Theta_{j \ell}+\overline{\Theta_{\ell j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, suppose $\left(\Theta_{j \ell}\right)$ is skew-Hermitian in any unitary frame. It suffices to show

$$
\mathrm{d}(\alpha, \beta)_{h}=(D \alpha, \beta)_{h}+(\alpha, D \beta)_{h}
$$

locally. This holds by above when $\alpha, \beta \in\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}\right\}$. Thus it suffices to show

$$
\mathrm{d}(f \alpha, \beta)_{h}=(D(f \alpha), \beta)_{h}+(f \alpha, D \beta)_{h}
$$

LHS is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}(f \alpha, \beta)_{h} & =\mathrm{d} f \otimes(\alpha, \beta)_{h}+f \mathrm{~d}(\alpha, \beta)_{h} \\
& =\mathrm{d} f \otimes(\alpha, \beta)_{h}+f\left((D \alpha, \beta)_{h}+(\alpha, D \beta)_{h}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

RHS is

$$
\begin{aligned}
(D(f \alpha), \beta)_{h}+(f \alpha, D \beta)_{h} & =(\mathrm{d} f \otimes \alpha, \beta)_{h}+(f D \alpha, \beta)_{h}+(f \alpha, D \beta)_{h} \\
& =\mathrm{d} f \otimes(\alpha, \beta)_{h}+f(D \alpha, \beta)_{h}+f(\alpha, D \beta)_{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 10.4. Let $(E, h)$ be a hermitian and holomorphic vector bundle. Then there is a unique connection compatible with both structures.

Definition (Chern connection). This connection is called the Chern connection.

Remark. In practice, one typically has a hermitian holomorphic vector bundle, and the Chern connection can be seen as the "canonical" extra information.

Proof. We begin with uniqueness. Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}$ be a local holomorphic frame (not necessarily unitary) and let

$$
h_{i j}=h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)
$$

Define the connection matrix by

$$
D e_{j}=\sum_{k} \Theta_{j k} e_{k}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} h_{j k} & =\mathrm{d} h\left(e_{j}, e_{k}\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{\ell} \Theta_{j \ell} e_{\ell}, e_{k}\right)_{h}+\left(e_{j}, \sum_{\ell} \Theta_{k \ell} e_{\ell}\right)_{h} \\
& =\sum \Theta_{j \ell} h_{\ell k}+\sum \overline{\Theta_{k \ell}} h_{j \ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $D$ is compatible with the holomorphic structure, $\left(\Theta_{j \ell}\right)$ is a matrix of $(1,0)$ forms. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial h_{j k}=\sum \Theta_{j \ell} h_{\ell k} \\
& \bar{\partial} h_{j k}=\sum \overline{\Theta_{k \ell}} h_{j \ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

thus $\Theta=\partial h \cdot h^{-1}$. This gives uniqueness.
This also constructs such a connection on each trivialisation. By uniqueness, these local connections glue to a connection on $(E, h)$.

Lemma 10.5. If $D_{1}, D_{2}$ are two connections on a complex vector bundle, then $D_{1}-D_{2}$ is $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0}$-linear, hence gives an element of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1}(\operatorname{End} E)$. If $D$ is a connection on $E$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1}(\operatorname{End} E)$ then $D+a$ is a connection.

Proof. Using that $\mathrm{d} f \otimes s$ cancel in the definition, we have

$$
\left(D_{1}-D_{2}\right)(f s)=f D_{1} s-f D_{2} s
$$

$a \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1}(\operatorname{End} E)$ acts on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0}(E)$ by multiplication in the form part and evaluation in the $E$ component $(E \times \operatorname{End} E \rightarrow E)$. Then

$$
(D+a)(f s)=D(f s)+a(f s)=\mathrm{d} f \otimes s+f D s+f a s=\mathrm{d} f \otimes s+f(D+a) s
$$

so $D+a$ is a connection.

Corollary 10.6. The set of all connections on a complex vector bundle $E$ is in a natural way an affine space modelled on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1}(\operatorname{End} E)$.

A connection extends to

$$
D: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p+1}(E)
$$

by

$$
D(\alpha \otimes s)=\mathrm{d} \alpha \otimes s+(-1)^{p} \alpha \wedge D s
$$

for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p}(U), s \in C^{\infty}(E)(U)$.

Definition (curvature). The curvature of $D$ is the map

$$
F_{D}=D \circ D: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}(E)
$$

Lemma 10.7. $F_{D}$ is $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0}$-linear.
Proof. For $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0}(U), s \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0}(E)(U)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{D}(f s) & =D(\mathrm{~d} f \otimes s+f D s) \\
& =\mathrm{d}^{2} f \otimes s-\mathrm{d} f \otimes D s+\mathrm{d} f \otimes D s+f D^{2} s \\
& =f D^{2} s \\
& =f F_{D}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 10.8. $F_{D}$ is induced by an element of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2}(\operatorname{End} E)$.
Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}$ be a local frame. Let $\Theta$ be the connection matrix defined by $D e_{j}=\sum \Theta_{j k} e_{k}$ where $\Theta_{j k}$ 's are 1-forms. Given a local section $s=\sum s_{j} e_{j}$, we have

$$
D s=\sum \mathrm{d} s_{j} \otimes e_{j}+\sum s_{j} \Theta_{j k} e_{k}
$$

We write this as

$$
D=\mathrm{d}+\Theta .
$$

In this notation we can also write down the expression for curvature. Have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{D} s & =D^{2} s \\
& =(\mathrm{d}+\Theta)(\mathrm{d}+\Theta) s \\
& =\mathrm{d}^{2} s+(\mathrm{d} \Theta) s-\Theta(\mathrm{d} s)+\Theta(\mathrm{d} s)+\Theta \wedge \Theta s \\
& =(\mathrm{d} \Theta+\Theta \wedge \Theta) s
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma 10.9.

1. If $(E, h)$ is hermitian and $D$ is compatible with $h$ then

$$
h\left(F_{D} s_{j}, s_{k}\right)+h\left(s_{j}, F_{D} s_{k}\right)=0
$$

2. If $E$ is holomorphic and $D$ is compatible with the holomorphic structure then $F_{D}$ has no ( 0,2 )-component, i.e.

$$
F_{D} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2,0}(\operatorname{End} E) \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,1}(\operatorname{End} E)
$$

3. If $D$ is the Chern connection then $F_{D}$ is a skew-Hermitian form in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,1}($ End $E)$.

Proof.

1. The statement is local so let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}$ be a local unitary frame, $D=\mathrm{d}+\Theta$ with $\Theta^{*}=-\Theta$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{D}^{*} & =(\mathrm{d} \Theta+\Theta \wedge \Theta)^{*} \\
& =(\mathrm{d} \Theta)^{*}-\Theta^{*} \wedge \Theta^{*} \\
& =\mathrm{d} \Theta^{*}-\Theta \wedge \Theta \\
& =-\mathrm{d} \Theta-\Theta \wedge \Theta \\
& =-F_{D}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. $D: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k+1}(E)$ splits as $D=D^{\prime}+D^{\prime \prime}$. Then $D^{\prime \prime}=\bar{\partial}_{E}$ by hypothesis. Thus

$$
D \circ D=\left(D^{\prime}+\bar{\partial}_{E}\right) \circ\left(D^{\prime}+\bar{\partial}_{E}\right)=D^{\prime} \circ D^{\prime}+D^{\prime} \circ \bar{\partial}_{E}+\bar{\partial}_{E} \circ D^{\prime}+\underbrace{\bar{\partial}_{E}^{2}}_{=0}
$$

so the $(0,2)$-component vanishes.
3. Follows from 1 and 2.

From now on we focus on line bundles. Let $(L, h)$ be a hermitian holomorphic line bundle and $D$ be the Chern connection. Then $F_{D} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,1}($ End $L)$ is skewHermitian, so $F_{D}$ is a real $(1,1)$-form. In this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta & =\partial \log h=h^{-1} \partial h \\
F_{D} & =\bar{\partial} \partial \log h
\end{aligned}
$$

We can interpret Fubini-Study metric now. If $X=\mathbb{P}^{n}$ and $L=\mathcal{O}(1)$, there is a natural hermitian metric on $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ arising from the usual hermitian metric on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. This induces a hermitian metric on $L=\mathcal{O}(1)$. Then on $U_{0}=\left\{\left[z_{0}\right.\right.$ : $\left.\left.\cdots z_{n}\right]: z_{0} \neq 0\right\}$

$$
\omega_{\mathrm{FS}}=\frac{i}{2 \pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(1+\sum\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

$\left(z_{0}=1\right)$ which is $\frac{i}{2 \pi} F_{D}$ where $F_{D}$ is the curvature of the natural hermitian metric on $\mathcal{O}(1)$.

Definition (positive). We say that $L$ is positive if there is a hermitian metric $h$ on $L$ such that $\frac{i}{2 \pi} F_{D}$, where $F_{D}$ is the curvature of the Chern connection, is a Kähler metric on $X$.

Exercise. Show that $\left[\frac{i}{2 \pi} F_{D}\right] \in H^{2}(X, \mathbb{C})$ is equal $c_{1}(L)$, the first Chern class of $L$.

One can show that this is equivalent to $c_{1}(L) \in H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ being a Kähler class, i.e. there is an $\omega \in c_{1}(L)$ Kähler.

On a projective space, $\mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$ admits a hermitian metric $h_{\mathrm{FS}}$ with curvature $\omega_{\mathrm{FS}}=\frac{i}{2 \pi} F_{D}$ which is Kähler. Thus $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is positive.

If $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of complex manifolds and $(E, h) \rightarrow Y$ a hermitian holomorphic vector bundle, then we can pullback to get $\left(\varphi^{*} E, \varphi^{*} h\right)$
a hermitian holomorphic vector bundle on $X$. If $E=L$ is a line bundle then $F_{D}=\bar{\partial} \partial \log h$ and $\varphi^{*} F_{D}=\varphi^{*}(\bar{\partial} \partial \log h)=\bar{\partial} \partial \log \left(\varphi^{*} h\right)$.

If $\iota: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$ is projective, we obtain $\iota^{*} \mathcal{O}(1)=\left.\mathcal{O}(1)\right|_{X}$ on $X$. If $h_{\mathrm{FS}}$ is the Fubini-Study hermitian metric then $\iota^{*} h_{\mathrm{FS}}$ has curvature $\iota^{*} \omega_{\mathrm{FS}}=\omega_{\mathrm{FS}}$ (up to $\left.\frac{i}{2 \pi}\right)$. But we showed $\left.\omega_{\mathrm{FS}}\right|_{X}$ is a Kähler metric on $X$. Thus $\left.\mathcal{O}(1)\right|_{X} \rightarrow X$ is positive.

We now turn to the algebro-geometric analogue.

### 10.1 Ampleness

If $X$ is a compact complex manifold, one cannot embed $X$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ for any $n$ as $X$ admits no nonconstant holomorphic functions. Instead we use (holomorphic) sections of line bundles to embed $X$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$.

Let $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle.
Definition (trivialisation). A trivialisation of $L$ over $U \subseteq X$ is a $\xi \in$ $\mathcal{O}^{*}(L)(U)$, a nowhere vanishing section.

Let $s_{0}, \ldots, s_{n} \in H^{0}(X, L)$ be global sections and suppose for all $x \in X$ there is an $s_{j}$ with $s_{j}(x) \neq 0$. Let $\xi$ be a trivialisation over $U \subseteq X$ so $s_{j}=\xi f_{j}$ for some $f_{j} \in \mathcal{O}(U)$. Then $\left[f_{0}(x): \cdots: f_{n}(x)\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$ as not all $s_{j}(x)=0$. We claim this is independent of $\xi$. if $\tilde{\xi}$ is another trivialisation then $\tilde{\xi}=g \xi$ for some $g \in \mathcal{O}^{*}(U)$. Then

$$
\left[f_{0}(x): \cdots: f_{n}(x)\right]=\left[g(x) f_{0}(x): \cdots: g(x) f_{n}(x)\right] .
$$

We denote this by $\left[s_{0}(x): \cdots: s_{n}(x)\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$.
Definition (basepoint-free). We say $L$ is basepoint-free if for all $x \in X$, there is $s \in H^{0}(X, L)$ with $s(x) \neq 0$.

If $L$ is basepoint-free, after choosing a basis of $H^{0}(X, L)$, we obtain a map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{L}: X & \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n} \\
x & \mapsto\left[s_{0}(x): \cdots: s_{n}(x)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition (very ample). We say that $L$ is very ample if $\varphi_{L}$ is an embedding (for some basis). We say $L$ is ample if $L^{\otimes k}$ is very ample for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

This is independent of basis: any two bases are related by an element $\nu \in$ $\mathrm{GL}(n+1) . \quad \nu$ induces a biholomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ and $X \rightarrow \nu^{*} X$ using the two bases.

Suppose $L$ is very ample, using the embedding $\varphi_{L}$, we have $\varphi_{L}^{*} \mathcal{O}(1) \cong L$ (if $z_{0}$ is viewed as a global section of $\mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$, then $\varphi_{L}^{*} z_{0}$ is a global section of $L)$. Hence $L$ is very ample if and only if there is an embedding $\iota: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$ with $\iota^{*} \mathcal{O}(1) \cong L$. This is how ampleness was mentioned earlier.

Thus $L$ is ample if $L^{\otimes k}$ has enough global sections such that $(k \gg 0)$

1. $L^{\otimes k}$ is basepoint-free.
2. $\varphi_{L{ }^{\otimes k}}$ is injective: if $x \neq y \in X$, there is an $s \in H^{0}\left(X, L^{\otimes k}\right)$ with $s(x) \neq$ $s(y)$.
3. $d \varphi_{L^{\otimes k}}$ is injective.

By inverse function theorem this is equivalent to $X$ being biholomorphic to a submanifold of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$.

We want to relate ampleness to positivity.
|Lemma 10.10. If $L \rightarrow X$ is ample then $L$ is positive.
Proof. $L^{\otimes k}$ is very ample for some $k \gg 0$ so $L^{\otimes k} \cong \varphi_{L^{\otimes k}}^{*} \mathcal{O}(1)$ with $\varphi_{L^{\otimes k}}: X \hookrightarrow$ $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ an embedding. Hence $L^{\otimes k}$ is positive, i.e. it has a hermitian metric $h$ with curvature $\frac{i}{2 \pi} F_{D}$ Kähler.

Let $\xi$ be a trivialisation of $L$ over $U \subseteq X$. Then $\xi^{\otimes k}$ is a trivialisation of $L^{\otimes k}$. Define a metric on $L$ by

$$
|\xi|_{h}=\sqrt[k]{\left|\xi^{\otimes k}\right|_{h}}
$$

This characterises $h$ as $\xi$ is a trivialisation. The curvature $\frac{i}{2 \pi} F_{D}=\frac{i}{2 \pi} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h$ for $h$ is related to the curvature $\frac{i}{2 \pi} F_{\frac{1}{k}}$ of $h^{1 / k}$ we constructed above by

$$
\frac{i}{2 \pi} F_{\frac{1}{k}}=\frac{i}{2 \pi} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h^{1 / k}=\frac{1}{k} \frac{i}{2 \pi} \bar{\partial} \partial \log h
$$

seen clearly in a trivialisation. Lastly $\frac{1}{k} \frac{i}{2 \pi} F_{D}$ is Kähler.
Conversely,
Theorem 10.11 (Kodaira embedding theorem). Let $X$ be a compact complex manifold. If $L \rightarrow X$ is positive then $L$ is ample.

Corollary 10.12. A compact complex manifold is projective if and only if it admits a line bundle $L$ with $c_{1}(L)$ a Kähler class.

To prove this we return to the cohomology of line bundles via Hodge theory.
Let $(X, \omega)$ be a compact Kähler manifold and $(E, h)$ a hermitian holomorphic vector bundle. We obtain a hermitian metric on $\Lambda^{p, q} T^{*} X$ through $\omega$ and hence on $\Lambda^{p, q} T^{*} X \otimes E$. We denote this by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$.
$h$ gives a conjugate linear map $h: E \rightarrow E^{*}$ (which is not an isomorphism of complex vector bundles in the strict sense).

Definition. Define $\overline{{ }^{{ }_{E}}}: \Lambda^{p, q} T^{*} X \otimes E \rightarrow \Lambda^{p, q} T^{*} X \otimes E^{*}$ by

$$
\overline{\star_{E}}(\varphi \otimes s)=\overline{\star \varphi} \otimes h(s)=\star \bar{\varphi} \otimes h(s) .
$$

We can define

$$
(\alpha, \beta) \mathrm{dVol}=\alpha \wedge \overline{\star_{E}} \beta
$$

where $\wedge$ here means wedge product on the form part, and evaluation $E \otimes E^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on the bundle part.

Definition. $\bar{\partial}_{E}^{*}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q-1}(E)$ is defined by

$$
\bar{\partial}_{E}^{*}=-{\overline{\star_{E}}}^{\bar{\partial}_{E}} \overline{\bar{\star}_{E}} .
$$

Note that when $E=\mathcal{O}$ is trivial, $\bar{\star}(\varphi)=\overline{\star \varphi}=\star \bar{\varphi}$ so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{O}}^{*}(\varphi) & =-\bar{\star} \bar{\partial} \bar{\star}(\varphi) \\
& =-\bar{\star} \bar{\partial}(\overline{\star \varphi}) \\
& =-\bar{\star}(\overline{\partial \star \varphi}) \\
& =-\star \partial \star(\varphi)
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
Definition. Define

$$
\Delta_{E}=\bar{\partial}_{E}^{*} \bar{\partial}_{E}+\bar{\partial}_{E} \bar{\partial}_{E}^{*}
$$

then $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(E)$ is harmonic if

$$
\Delta_{E} \alpha=0 .
$$

We write

$$
\mathcal{H}^{p, q}(X, E)=\left\{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(E): \Delta_{E} \alpha=0\right\} .
$$

$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(E)$ admits an $L^{2}$-inner product

$$
\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle_{L^{2}}=\int_{X}(\alpha, \beta) \mathrm{dVol} .
$$

Lemma 10.13. $\bar{\partial}_{E}^{*}$ is the $L^{2}$-adjoint of $\bar{\partial}_{E}$, and $\Delta_{E}$ is self-adjoint. Moreover $\Delta_{E} \alpha=0$ if and only if

$$
\bar{\partial}_{E} \alpha=\bar{\partial}_{E}^{*} \alpha=0 .
$$

Proof. Similar to the case $E$ is trivial.

Theorem 10.14 (Hodge decomposition for bundles). There is an $L^{2}$-orthogonal decomposition

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(E)=\mathcal{H}^{p, q}(X, E) \oplus \bar{\partial}_{E} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q-1}(E) \otimes \bar{\partial}_{E}^{*} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q+1}(E)
$$

and $\mathcal{H}^{p, q}(X, E)$ is finite-dimensional.
The natural thing to do is to relate this to Dolbeault cohomology.
Definition (Dolbeault cohomology for bundle). The Dolbeault cohomology for the bundle $E$ is

$$
H \frac{\bar{\partial}}{p, q}(X, E)=\frac{\operatorname{ker}\left(\bar{\partial}_{E}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q+1}(E)\right)}{\operatorname{im}\left(\bar{\partial}_{E}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q-1}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(E)\right)}
$$

Theorem 10.15 (Dolbeault theorem for bundles). We have isomorphisms between Dolbeault cohomology and Čech cohomology

$$
H \frac{p, q}{p, q}(X, E) \cong H^{q}\left(X, \Omega^{p} \otimes E\right)
$$

where $\Omega^{p}$ is the sheaf of holomorphic p-forms.
Proof. Similar to the case $E$ trivial.

Lemma 10.16. There is a natural map

$$
\mathcal{H}^{p, q}(X, E) \rightarrow H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X, E)
$$

which is an isomorphism. Thus

$$
\mathcal{H}^{p, q}(X, E) \cong H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p, q}(X, E) \cong H^{q}\left(X, \Omega^{p} \otimes E\right)
$$

Proof. Similar to the case $E$ trivial.
Now let $D$ be the Chern connection associated to $(E, h)$. Then in a local holomorphic frame $D=\mathrm{d}+\Theta$ where $\Theta$ is a matrix of ( 1,0 )-forms.

Recall that the key ingredient in proving Kähler identities is that we can find a normal frame.

Proposition 10.17. Given $x \in X$, there is a holomorphic frame $e_{j}$ and coordinates $z_{\ell}$ such that

$$
\left\langle e_{j}(z), e_{k}(z)\right\rangle_{h}=\delta_{j k}+O\left(|z|^{2}\right)
$$

The $e_{j}$ 's are called a normal frame. Thus for the Chern connection, one can find a holomorphic frame which is orthonormal to first order.

Proof. Nonexaminable. Similar to the proof of being able to pick $z_{j}$ with

$$
\omega=\omega_{0}+O\left(|z|^{2}\right)
$$

where $\omega_{0}$ is the standard metric on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. See Demailly "Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry", Proposition 12.10 Chapter VI.

Definition (Lefschetz operator, inverse Lefschetz operator). Define Lefschetz operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
L: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(E) & \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p+1, q+1}(E) \\
\varphi \otimes s & \mapsto L \varphi \otimes s=\omega \wedge \varphi \otimes s
\end{aligned}
$$

and inverse Lefschetz operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(E) & \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{E}}^{p-1, q-1}(E) \\
\varphi \otimes s & \mapsto \Lambda \varphi \otimes s
\end{aligned}
$$

$\|$ where $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(X), s \in \mathcal{A}^{0}(E)$.
Recall the Kähler identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\Lambda, L]=(n-(p+q)) \mathrm{id}} \\
& {[\Lambda, \bar{\partial}]=-i \partial^{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first extends directly to bundles. For the second one, we have
Lemma 10.18 (Nakano identity). Let $D$ be the Chern connection. Then

$$
\left[\Lambda, \bar{\partial}_{E}\right]=i\left(D^{1,0}\right)^{*}
$$

where by definition

$$
\left(D^{1,0}\right)^{*}=\overline{\star_{E}} D_{E^{*}}^{1,0} \overline{{ }^{\star} E} .
$$

Proof. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(E)$ be given in a normal frame as

$$
\tau=\sum \varphi_{j} \otimes e_{j}
$$

where $\varphi_{j} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(U)$. Then one checks

$$
D \tau=\sum \mathrm{d} \varphi_{j} \otimes e_{j}+O(|z|)
$$

so

$$
\bar{\partial}_{E} s=D^{0,1} s=\sum \bar{\partial} \varphi_{j} \otimes e+j+O(|z|)
$$

and

$$
\left(D^{1,0}\right)^{*} \tau=\sum \partial^{*} \varphi_{j} \otimes e_{j}+O(|z|)
$$

as $\overline{{ }^{E}} \overline{ }=\bar{\star}+O(|z|)$ using that the frame is normal. The result follows from

$$
[\Lambda, \bar{\partial}]=-i \partial^{*} .
$$

Remark. Huybrechts' proof (Lemma 5.2.3) seems to be incorrect.

Lemma 10.19. $\left(D^{1,0}\right)^{*}$ is $L^{2}$-adjoint to $D^{1,0}$, i.e.

$$
\left\langle\left(D^{1,0}\right)^{*} \alpha, \beta\right\rangle_{L^{2}}=\left\langle\alpha, D^{1,0} \beta\right\rangle_{L^{2}} .
$$

Proof. Follows from the definition of $\left(D^{1,0}\right)^{*}$ and similar to the case $E$ trivial.

Following is a technical lemma for harmonic forms:
Lemma 10.20. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^{p, q}(X, E)$ be harmonic. Then

1. $i\left\langle F_{D} \Lambda \alpha, \alpha\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \leq 0$,
2. $i\left\langle\Lambda F_{D} \alpha, \alpha\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \geq 0$.

Proof. $\Lambda \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p-1, q-1}(E)$ so $F_{D} \Lambda \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{p, q}(X, E)$ so the statement makes sense. Here $F_{D}$ acts on $\alpha$ by wedge in the form part and evaluation End $E \times E \rightarrow E$ in the bundle part.

As $D$ is the Chern connection,

$$
F_{D}=D^{1,0} \circ \bar{\partial}_{E}+\bar{\partial}_{E} \circ D^{1,0}
$$

As $\alpha$ is harmonic,

$$
\bar{\partial}_{E} \alpha=\bar{\partial}_{E}^{*} \alpha=0
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
i\left\langle F_{D} \Lambda \alpha, \alpha\right\rangle_{L^{2}} & =i\left\langle D^{1,0} \bar{\partial}_{E} \Lambda \alpha, \alpha\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+i\left\langle\bar{\partial}_{E} D^{1,0} \Lambda \alpha, \alpha\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
& =-\left\langle\bar{\partial}_{E} \Lambda \alpha, i\left(D^{1,0}\right)^{*} \alpha\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+i\langle D^{1,0} \Lambda \alpha, \underbrace{\left.\bar{\partial}_{E}^{*} \alpha\right\rangle_{L^{2}}}_{=0} \\
& =\left\langle\bar{p}_{E} \Lambda \alpha,\left[\Lambda, \bar{\partial}_{E}\right] \alpha\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \quad \text { Nakano } \\
& =-\left\|\bar{\partial}_{E} \Lambda \alpha\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle i \Lambda F_{D} \alpha, \alpha\right\rangle_{L^{2}} & =i\left\langle\Lambda \bar{\partial}_{E} D^{1,0} \alpha, \alpha\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
& =i\left\langle\left[\Lambda, \bar{\partial}_{E}\right] D^{1,0} \alpha, \alpha\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
& =i\left\langle-i\left(D^{1,0}\right)^{*} D^{1,0} \alpha, \alpha\right\rangle_{L^{2}}+i\langle\Lambda D^{1,0} \alpha, \underbrace{\left.\bar{\partial}_{E}^{*} \alpha\right\rangle_{L^{2}}}_{=0} \\
& =\left\|D^{1,0} \alpha\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
& \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we have
Theorem 10.21 (Kodaira vanishing theorem). Let $L$ be positive. Then

$$
H^{q}\left(X, \Omega^{p} \otimes L\right)=0
$$

for $p+q>n$.
Proof. Let $L$ be positive, i.e. $\frac{i}{2 \pi} F_{D}$ Kähler. Thus

$$
L \alpha=\frac{i}{2 \pi} F_{D} \wedge \alpha .
$$

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^{p, q}(X, L)$. Then $[\Lambda, L]=-H$, the counting operator. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq\left\langle\frac{i}{2 \pi}\left[\Lambda, F_{D}\right] \alpha, \alpha\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
& =\langle[\Lambda, L] \alpha, \alpha\rangle_{L^{2}} \\
& =(n-(p+q))\|\alpha\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\alpha=0$ as $p+q>n$. Finally

$$
\mathcal{H}^{p, q}(X, L) \cong H^{q}\left(X, \Omega^{p} \otimes L\right) .
$$

Another useful vanishing theorem is

Theorem 10.22 (Serre vanishing theorem). If $E \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic vector bundle, $L \rightarrow X$ positive. Then

$$
H^{j}\left(X, E \otimes L^{\otimes k}\right)=0
$$

for all $k \gg 0$.
Thus positive (i.e. ample) line bundles are those that kill all higher cohomologies of a holomorphic vector bundle tensored with a high enough power.

Proof. Omitted. Similar techniques to Kodaira vanishing.

## 11 Blow-ups

The blow-up of a complex manifold $X$ at a point $p \in X$ is a complex manifold $\pi: \mathrm{Bl}_{p} X \rightarrow X$ with $\pi^{-1}(p) \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}=E$, a divisor and $\pi: \mathrm{Bl}_{p} X \backslash E \rightarrow X \backslash\{p\}$ an isomorphism.

Let $\Delta$ be the unit disk in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Let $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ be coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. and $\ell=\left[\ell_{1}: \cdots: \ell_{n}\right]$ homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Define

$$
\mathrm{Bl}_{0} \Delta=\left\{(z, \ell): z_{j} \ell_{k}=z_{k} \ell_{j} \text { for all } j, k\right\} \subseteq \Delta \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}
$$

This consists of pairs $(z, \ell)$ with $z \in \ell$, i.e. if and only if $z \wedge \ell=0$ (wedge product of vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ ).

If one replaces $\Delta$ with $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, this is how we constructed $\mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. As $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ is a complex manifold, $\mathrm{Bl}_{0} \Delta$ is also a complex manifold.
$\pi: \mathrm{Bl}_{0} \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is given by $(z, \ell) \rightarrow z$. A non-zero point $z$ is contained in a unique line. Thus $\pi: \mathrm{Bl}_{0} \Delta \backslash\left\{\pi^{-1}(0)\right\} \rightarrow \Delta \backslash\{0\}$ is an isomorphism. Moreover $\pi^{-1}(0)$ consists of all lines, so is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

In general, let $X$ be a complex manifold and $p \in X$. Let $z: U \rightarrow \Delta \subseteq X$ be (biholomorphic to) a disk. The restriction $\pi: \mathrm{Bl}_{p} \Delta \backslash E \rightarrow \Delta \backslash\{p\}$ gives an isomorphism between a neighbourhood of $E$ in $\mathrm{Bl}_{p} \Delta$ and of $p$ in $X$. So we can construct $\mathrm{Bl}_{p} X$ as

$$
(X \backslash\{p\}) \cup_{\pi} \operatorname{Bl}_{p} \Delta
$$

i.e. obtained by replacing $\Delta$ with $\mathrm{Bl}_{p} \Delta$. One obtains $\pi: \mathrm{Bl}_{p} X \rightarrow X$ with the desired properties. We call $E=\pi^{-1}(p) \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ the exceptional divisor.

We claim this is independent of choice of coordinates on $\Delta$. Let $\left\{z_{j}^{\prime}=f_{j} z\right\}$ be another choice of coordinates with $f_{j}(0)=0$ and let $\mathrm{Bl}_{0} \Delta^{\prime}$ be the blow-up in these coordinates. Then the isomorphism

$$
f: \mathrm{Bl}_{p} \Delta \backslash E \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{p} \Delta^{\prime} \backslash E^{\prime}
$$

extends to an isomorphism $f: \mathrm{Bl}_{p} \Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{p} \Delta^{\prime}$ by setting $f(0, \ell)=\left(0, \ell^{\prime}\right)$ where

$$
\ell_{j}^{\prime}=\sum \frac{\partial f_{k}(0)}{\partial z_{j}} \ell_{k}
$$

It is an exercise that this indeed gives the claim.
Similarly the identification

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(T_{p} X^{1,0}\right) \\
(0, \ell) & \mapsto \sum \ell_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

is independent of coordinate choice. Thus blow-up is the process of replacing a point with (the projectivisation of) the tangent space at that point.

Let $\mathcal{O}(E)$ be the line bundle associate to the divisor $E$. Then $\mathcal{O}(E)$ can be identified with $\coprod_{(z, \ell)} \ell \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{p} \Delta$ as this admits a section $t(z, \ell)=((\ell, z), z)$ which vanishes along $E$ with multiplicity 1 . Thus $\mathcal{O}(E) \cong p^{*} \mathcal{O}(-1)$ where $p: \mathrm{Bl}_{p} \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is the projection from $\mathrm{Bl}_{p} \Delta \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. It follows that $\left.\mathcal{O}(E)\right|_{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1)$, which is then true for any complex manifold.

The dual bundle $\mathcal{O}(E) \cong \mathcal{O}(-E)$ has fibre over $(z, \ell) \in \mathrm{Bl}_{p} \Delta$ the space of linear functionals on the line $\ell \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$ so $\left.\mathcal{O}(-E)\right|_{E}$ is the hyperplane bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

As $E \cong \mathbb{P}\left(T_{p} X^{1,0}\right)$, we get an isomorphism

$$
H^{0}\left(E,\left.\mathcal{O}(-E)\right|_{E}\right) \cong T_{p}^{*} X^{1,0}
$$

If $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta)$ vanishes at $p(=0)$, the function $\pi^{*} f$ vanishes along $E$, so can be considered a section of $\mathcal{O}(-E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{p} \Delta$. The isomorphism above is

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{0}\left(E,\left.\mathcal{O}(-E)\right|_{E}\right) & \rightarrow T_{p}^{*} X^{1,0} \\
\pi^{*} f & \mapsto \mathrm{~d} f_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the diagram

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{0}\left(\mathrm{Bl}_{p} \Delta,\left.\mathcal{O}(-E)\right|_{E}\right) \xrightarrow{r_{E}} H^{0}\left(E,\left.\mathcal{O}(-E)\right|_{E}\right) \\
=\uparrow \\
H^{0}\left(\Delta, \mathcal{I}_{p}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_{p}} T_{p}^{*} X^{1,0}
\end{gathered}
$$

commutes. Here $\mathcal{I}_{p}$ is the ideal sheaf of $p$ given by

$$
\mathcal{I}_{p}(U)=\{f \in \mathcal{O}(U): f(p)=0\}
$$

Proposition 11.1. Let $F$ be any line bundle on $X$ and $L \rightarrow X$ positive. Then for any integers $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{\ell}>0$, the line bundle

$$
\pi^{*}\left(L^{\otimes k} \otimes F\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}\left(-\sum d_{j} E_{j}\right)
$$

is positive on $\mathrm{Bl}_{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{\ell}} X$ for $k \gg 0$. Here $E_{j}$ 's are the exceptional divisors.
For example when $F=\mathcal{O}$ is trivial, which is the most important application.
Proof. In a neighbourhood $p_{j} \in U_{j} \subseteq X$, the blow-up is $\mathrm{Bl}_{p_{j}} U_{j} \subseteq U_{j} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, $\mathcal{O}\left(E_{j}\right) \cong p_{j}^{*}(\mathcal{O}(-1))$. We give $\mathcal{O}\left(E_{j}\right)$ the pullback of the Fubini-Study metric. Using a partition of unity, this produces metrics (by tensor product) on $\mathcal{O}\left(\sum-d_{j} E_{j}\right)$. Locally near $E_{j}$, the curvature is

$$
-d_{j}(2 \pi i) p_{j}^{*} \omega_{\mathrm{FS}}
$$

Thus this metric is strictly positive on $E_{j}$ (on vectors tangent to $E_{j}$ ) and semipositive locally. Let $\frac{i}{2 \pi} F_{D}$ be the curvature, and let $\omega \in c_{1}(L)$ be the curvature of a positive metric on $L$ ( $\omega$ Kähler). Let $\alpha$ be the curvature of a metric on $F$.
$\pi^{*} \omega$ is trivial along $E$, positive everywhere else. Thus

$$
\pi^{*}(k \omega+\alpha)+\frac{i}{2 \pi} F_{D}
$$

is Kähler for $k \gg 0$ (maybe also need $X$ compact), and is the curvature of a metric on the desired line bundle.

Exercise. Set $K_{X}=\Lambda^{n} T^{*} X^{1,0}$, then

$$
K_{\mathrm{Bl}_{p} X} \cong \pi^{*} K_{X} \otimes(\mathcal{O}(-n+1) E)
$$

One analytic tool we need to prove Kodaira embedding theorem is

Theorem 11.2 (Hartogs' extension theorem). Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be open with $n \geq 2$. Let $f: U \backslash\left\{z_{1}=z_{2}=0\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic. Then there is a unique holomorphic extension $\tilde{f}: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of $f$.

Proof. Non-examinable and omitted. See Huybrechts Proposition 2.16.
Exercise. Let $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ and $Y \subseteq X$ a submanifold of codimension $\geq 2$. Then the restriction

$$
H^{0}(X, L) \rightarrow H^{0}(X \backslash Y, L)
$$

is an isomorphism.
We state again
Theorem 11.3 (Kodaira embedding theorem). If $X$ is a compact complex manifold. If $L \rightarrow X$ is positive then $L$ is ample.

Proof. In this proof we write $L^{k}$ for $L^{\otimes k}$. Let $N_{k}+1=\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(X, L^{k}\right)$. We need to show that there is $k>0$ such that

1. basepoint-free: for all $x \in X$, there is an $s \in H^{0}\left(X, L^{k}\right)$ with $s(x) \neq 0$.
2. injectivity: for all $x, y \in X$, there are sections $s \in H^{0}\left(X, L^{k}\right)$ with $s(x) \neq$ $s(y)$.
3. embedding: for all $x \in X, d \varphi_{L^{k}, x}: T_{x} X \rightarrow T_{\varphi_{L^{k}}(x)} \mathbb{P}^{N_{k}}$ is injective where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{L^{k}}: X & \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_{k}} \\
x & \mapsto\left[s_{0}(x): \cdots: s_{N_{k}}(x)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

after choosing some $s_{0}, \ldots, s_{N_{k}} \in H^{0}\left(X, L^{k}\right)$.
In the sheaf cohomology language, let $L_{x}^{k}$ be the fibre of $L^{k}$ at $x \in X$. Then 1 asks for $\psi: H^{0}\left(X, L^{k}\right) \rightarrow L_{x}^{k}$ to be surjective. There is a short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow L^{k} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{x} \longrightarrow L^{k} \longrightarrow L_{x}^{k} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $L^{k} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{x}$ denotes the sehaf of sections of $L^{k}$ vanishing at $x . \psi$ is surjective if $H^{1}\left(X, L^{k} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{x}\right)=0$.

Similarly

$$
0 \longrightarrow L^{k} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{x, y} \longrightarrow L^{k} \longrightarrow L_{x}^{k} \oplus L_{y}^{k} \longrightarrow 0
$$

is related to 2 .
We prove 2 and 1 is similar. We do not have theorems for points, but we do have lots of vanishing theorems for line bundles. We thus pass from points to divisors (hence line bundles) by blowing-up.

Let $\tilde{X}$ be the blow-up of $X$ at $x, y$ with exceptional divisors $E_{x}, E_{y}$. Set $E=E_{x}+E_{Y}$. Let $\tilde{L}=\pi^{*} L$ where $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is the natural map. (If $\operatorname{dim} X=1$, we set $\pi=\operatorname{id}$ and $\tilde{X}=X$ )

Consider the pullback

$$
\pi^{*}: H^{0}\left(X, L^{k}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L}^{k}\right)
$$

which is injective. Any $\tilde{\sigma} \in H^{0}\left(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L}^{k}\right)$ induces a section $\sigma \in H^{0}\left(X \backslash\{x, y\}, L^{k}\right)$ as $X \backslash\{x, y\} \cong \tilde{X} \backslash E$, inducing $\sigma \in H^{0}\left(X, L^{k}\right)$ by Hartogs' theorem. Thus $\pi^{*}$ is an isomorphism. By construction $\tilde{L}^{k}$ is trivial along $E_{x}, E_{y}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\tilde{L}^{k}\right|_{E_{x}} \cong E_{x} \times L_{x}^{k} \\
& \left.\tilde{L}^{k}\right|_{E_{y}} \cong E_{y} \times L_{y}^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
H^{0}\left(E,\left.\tilde{L}^{k}\right|_{E}\right) \cong L_{x}^{k} \oplus L_{y}^{k}
$$

If $r_{E}$ is the restruction then the diagram

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{0}\left(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L}^{k}\right) \xrightarrow{r_{E}} H^{0}\left(E,\left.\tilde{L}^{k}\right|_{E}\right) \\
\stackrel{\pi^{*} \uparrow}{ } \\
H^{0}\left(X, L^{k}\right) \xrightarrow{r_{x, y}} L_{x}^{k} \oplus L_{y}^{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

commutes. Thus it suffices to show $r_{E}$ is surjective to prove 2 . Choose $k$ such that

$$
L^{\prime}=\tilde{L}^{k} \otimes K_{\tilde{X}}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E) \cong \pi^{*}\left(L^{k} \otimes K_{X}^{*}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-n E)
$$

is positive. Then by Kodaira vanishing theorem

$$
H^{1}\left(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L}^{k} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)\right)=H^{1}\left(\tilde{X}, L^{\prime} \otimes K_{\tilde{X}}\right)=0
$$

so considering

$$
\left.0 \longrightarrow \tilde{L}^{k} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E) \longrightarrow \tilde{L}^{k} \xrightarrow{r_{E}} \tilde{L}^{k}\right|_{E} \longrightarrow 0
$$

we see $r_{E}: H^{0}\left(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L}^{k}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(E,\left.\tilde{L}^{k}\right|_{E}\right)$ is surjective, proving 2 near $x, y$.
If $\varphi_{L^{k}}$ is defined at $x, y$ and $\varphi_{L^{k}}(x) \neq \varphi_{L^{k}}(y)$ then the same is true for nearby points. As $X$ is compact, cone can find $k \gg 0$ with $L^{k}$ basepoint-free and injective.

For 3 , let $\varphi_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \times\left.\mathbb{C} \rightarrow L^{k}\right|_{U_{\alpha}}$ be a trivialisation. Then

$$
d \varphi_{L^{k}, x}: T_{x} X \rightarrow T_{\varphi_{L^{k}}(x)} \mathbb{P}^{N_{k}}
$$

is injective if and only if for all $v^{*} \in T_{x}^{*} X^{1,0}$, there is an $s \in H^{0}\left(X, L^{k}\right)$ with $s_{\alpha}=\varphi_{\alpha}^{*} s_{\alpha}, s(x)=0, d s_{\alpha}(x)=v^{*}$ (here we view $\varphi_{L^{k}}$ locally as (if $s_{0}(x) \neq 0$ ) a function

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N_{k}} \\
y & \mapsto\left(s_{1}(y), \ldots, s_{N_{k}}(y)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

More intrinsically, let $L^{k} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{x}$ be as before. If $s \in L^{k} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{x}(U), \varphi_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\beta}$ trivialisations of $L^{k}$ over $U$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{\alpha} & =\varphi_{\alpha}^{*} s \\
s_{\beta} & =\varphi_{\beta}^{*} s \\
s_{\alpha} & =\varphi_{\alpha \beta} s_{\beta} \\
d\left(s_{\alpha}\right) & =d\left(s_{\beta}\right) \varphi_{\alpha \beta}+d \varphi_{\alpha \beta} s_{\beta}=d\left(s_{\beta}\right) \varphi_{\alpha \beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $s_{\beta}(x)=0$, giving a sheaf morphism

$$
d_{x}: L^{k} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{x} \rightarrow T_{x}^{*} X^{1,0} \otimes L_{x}^{k}
$$

where $L_{x}^{k}$ comes from $\varphi_{\alpha \beta}$. Then 3 states that

$$
d_{x}: H^{0}\left(X, L^{k} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{x}\right) \rightarrow T_{x}^{*} X^{1,0} \otimes L_{x}^{k}
$$

is surjective (or $H^{1}\left(X, L^{k} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{x}^{2}\right)=0$ ) for all $x \in X$.
If $\sigma \in H^{0}\left(X, L^{k}\right)$ then $\sigma(x)=0$ if and only if $\pi^{*} \sigma=\tilde{\sigma}$ vanishes along $E$ $\left(\tilde{X}=\mathrm{Bl}_{x} X\right)$. Thus $\pi^{*}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
H^{0}\left(X, L^{k} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{x}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L}^{k} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)\right)
$$

We can identify

$$
H^{0}\left(E,\left.\left(\tilde{L}^{k} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)\right)\right|_{E}\right)=L_{x}^{k} \otimes H^{0}\left(E,\left.\mathcal{O}(-E)\right|_{E}\right)=L_{x}^{k} \otimes T_{x}^{*} X^{1,0}
$$

as $\left.\tilde{L}^{k}\right|_{E}$ is trivial.
Moreover the diagram

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{0}\left(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L}^{k} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)\right) \xrightarrow{r_{E}} H^{0}\left(E,\left.\left(\tilde{L}^{k} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)\right)\right|_{E}\right) \\
\pi^{*} \uparrow \cong \\
H^{o}\left(X, L^{k} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{x}\right) \xrightarrow{\downarrow} \xrightarrow[d_{x}]{ } T_{x}^{*} X^{1,0} \otimes L_{x}^{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

commutes so suffices to prove $r_{E}$ is surjective.
Taking $k \gg 0$ such that

$$
H^{1}\left(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L}^{k} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2 E)\right)=0
$$

as before (by positivity and Kodaira vanishing theorem), $r_{E}$ is surjective. One obtains $k$ which works for all $x \in X$ as before.

## 12 Classification of surfaces*

Recall that a Riemann surface $S$ is a compact complex manifold of dimension 1. As any ( 1,1 )-form is closed (as $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} S=2$ ), $S$ is Kähler. Thus

$$
H^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}
$$

so let $\alpha \in H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ Kähler then $\alpha=c_{1}(L)$ for some $L$ ample by Kodaira embedding theorem, so $S$ is projective.

By Riemann-Roch, a line bundle $L \rightarrow S$ is ample if and only if

$$
\operatorname{deg} L=\int_{S} \omega=\int_{S} c_{1}(L)>0
$$

where $\omega \in c_{1}(L)$.
Riemann surfaces are classified by their genus:

- $g=0: \mathbb{P}^{1}$ unique.
- $g=1$ : elliptic curves, isomorphic to $\mathbb{C} / \Lambda$ for some lattice $\Lambda$. They are classified by the $j$-invariant $j \in \mathbb{C}$.
- $g \geq 2: 3 g-3$ dimensional moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g}$.

For $\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathcal{O}(1)=K_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{*}$ is ample so $c_{1}(X)=c_{1}\left(K_{S}^{*}\right)$ is Kähler. For elliptic curve, $K_{S} \cong \mathcal{O}_{S}$ and $c_{1}(S)=0$. Finallly for $g \geq 2, K_{S}$ is ample so $c_{1}(S)$ is ample.

### 12.1 Enriques-Kodaira classification of surfaces

Let $X$ be a compact surface. For line bundles $L_{1}, L_{2}$, let

$$
L_{1} \cdot L_{2}=\int_{X} \omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{2}=\int_{X} c_{1}\left(L_{1}\right) \smile c_{1}\left(L_{2}\right)
$$

where $\omega_{1} \in c_{1}\left(L_{1}\right), \omega_{2} \in c_{1}\left(L_{2}\right)$. One thing to note that if $\mathcal{O}(D) \cong L$ then $Z(s)=D$ where $s \in H^{0}(X, L)$. Thus

$$
D \cdot L_{2}=\int_{X} \omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{2}=\int_{D} c_{1}\left(L_{2}\right)=\int_{D} \omega_{2} .
$$

If $E \subseteq \mathrm{Bl}_{p} X$ is the exceptional divisor then

$$
E \cdot E=\left.\int_{E} \mathcal{O}(E)\right|_{E}=\int_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \mathcal{O}(-1)=-1
$$

Given $X$, we can blow-up to get $\mathrm{Bl}_{p} X$ to get a new compact complex surface. Conversely

Theorem 12.1 (Castelnuovo). If $\mathbb{P}^{1} \cong C \subseteq X$ has $C . C=-1$ then there is $a Y$ with $X=\mathrm{Bl}_{p} Y$ and $C$ the exceptional divisor.

In practice, we classify minimal surfaces, which are those with no such $C$ (i.e. $X$ not a blow-up).

We say $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ is meromorphic if $\varphi: X \backslash Z \rightarrow Y$ is holomorphic where $Z$ is an analytic hypersurface. $X, Y$ are bimeromorphic if there is a meromorphic $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ with meromorphic inverse. It turns out that all bimeromorphic maps between surfaces are compositions of blow-ups and "blow-downs".

Define plurigenera to be

$$
P_{r}=\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(X, K_{X}^{\otimes r}\right)
$$

These are bimeromorphic invariants. Define Kodaira dimension by growth of $P_{r}$ :

- $\mathcal{K}(X)=-\infty$ if $P_{r}=0$ for all $r$.
- $\mathcal{K}(X)=0$ if $P_{r} \in\{0,1\}$.
- $\mathcal{K}(X)=1$ if exists $C$ with $P_{r}<C r$.
- $\mathcal{K}(X)=2$ otherwise.

Equivalently, this can be formulated as

$$
\mathcal{K}(X)=\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \log \frac{\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(X, K_{X}^{\otimes r}\right)}{\log r}
$$

- $\mathcal{K}(X)=-\infty$ : all projective
- rational surfaces $\mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $\Sigma_{n}$ with $\pi: \Sigma_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}, \pi^{-1}(x) \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$ for all $x . \Sigma_{n}$ has a $\mathbb{P}^{1} \cong C \subseteq \Sigma_{n}$ with $C . C=-n$.
Remark. If $K_{X}^{*}$ is ample then $X$ is called Fano or del Pezzo surfaces. For example $\mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathrm{Bl}_{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{8}} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ for 8 general points.
- ruled surfaces of genus $>0$. These have a map $\pi: X \rightarrow S, \pi^{-1}(x) \cong$ $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ for all $x$. $S$ has genus $\geq 1$.
- $\mathcal{K}(X)=0$ : not all are projective.
- abelian surfaces (complex tori) $\mathbb{C}^{2} / \Lambda$. Projective if and only if HodgeRiemann relation holds on $\Lambda . K_{X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}$ and $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=1$. Can have no divisors.
- K3 surfaces. $K_{X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}$. In general $K_{X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}$ says $X$ is CalabiYau. They are sometimes non-projective. More precisely, they have 20 dimensional family, 19 dimensional family are projective.
For example $V(f) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ where $f$ has degree 4 .
- Enriques surfaces. $K_{X}^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}$ but $K_{X} \not \not \mathcal{O}(X)$. For example $Y /(\mathbb{Z} /(2))$ where $Y$ is a K 3 surface.
- $\mathcal{K}(X)=1$ : (some) elliptic surfaces. $\pi: X \rightarrow S, \pi^{-1}(x)$ an ellitpic curve for $x \in S \backslash\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right\}$. The other fibres can be singular (and non-reduced). $K_{X} \cdot K_{X}=0$.
Note that not all elliptic surfaces have $\mathcal{K}(X)=1$. For example $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times E$ where $E$ is an elliptic curve.
As an aside, $\pi: X \rightarrow B, F$ a general fibre, $\mathcal{K}(X) \geq \mathcal{K}(B)+\mathcal{K}(F)$ is the Iltaka conjecture.
- $\mathcal{K}(X)=2$ : surfaces of general type. $K_{X} \cdot K_{X}>0$. These are wild and difficult to study. They do have nice moduli space (generalising $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ ) by Giesecker (Kollár-Shepherd compactification). We don't know, for exmaple, what their topology is (for a general one).


### 12.2 Non-Kähler surfaces

If $b_{1}=\operatorname{dim} H^{2}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is even then $X$ is Kähler. THus $b_{1}$ is odd.

- $\mathcal{K}(X)=1$ : can have non-Kähler elliptic surfaces.
- $\mathcal{K}(X)=0$
- primary Kodaira surfaces. Let $S$ be an elliptic curve, $L \rightarrow S$ with $\operatorname{deg} L \neq 0$. Let $L^{*}=\{$ complement of zero section $\}$. Let $L^{*} / q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ where $q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is an infinite discrete cyclic subgroup of $\mathbb{C}$.
- secondary Kodaira surfaces: they are quotients $X_{\text {prim }} / G$ where $G$ is a finite group acting on the primary Kodaira surface $X_{\text {prim }}$.
- $\mathcal{K}(X)=-\infty, b_{1}(X)=1$.
- If $b_{2}=0$ then have Hopf surfaces and $\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash\{0\} /$ discrete group acting freely. Inoue: $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H} /$ solvable discrete group where $\mathbb{H}$ is upper half plane. No divisors.
$-b_{2}=1$ : classified by Nakamura (1984) and A. Teleman (2005).
$-b_{2}>1$ : still open.
For $\operatorname{dim} X \geq 3$, we try to reduce to $K_{X}^{*}$ or $K_{X}$ ample, $K_{X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}$. This is known as minimal model program. It is mostly open except $K_{X}$ ample, done by Birkar-Cascini-Hacon-Mclernan. "Most" 3-folds are not projective and "most" complex 3-folds are not Kähler. Minimal fails for non-Kähler 3-folds (Wilson).


## Index

$\bar{\partial}$-Poincaré lemma, 14
almost complex structure, 6
ample, 41, 66
analytic subvariety, 33 irreducible, 33
atlas, 4
equivalent, 4
basepoint-free, 66
biholomorphic, 4
blow-up, 73
canonical line bundle, 31
Čech cohomology, 21
Chern connection, 62
closed submanifold, 5
cocycle condition, 28
complex manifold, 4
complex structure, 4
standard, 6
complexified tangent bundle, 6
connection, 61
contraction operator, 48
counting operator, 54
curvature, 64
de Rham cohomology, 10
del Pezzo surfaces, 79
direct limit, 21
divisor, 37
effective, 37
linearly equivalent, 37
principal, 37
Dolbeault cohomology, 10, 56
bundle, 68
Dolbeault theorem
bundle, 69
Dolbeault's theorem, 25
Euler characteristic, 27
exceptional divisor, 73
exponential short exact sequence, 18

Fano variety, 79
first Chern class, 41, 46, 65
form, 8

Fubini-Study metric, 44, 65
fundamental form, 42, 43
germ, 34
harmonic form, 56
Hartogs' extension theorem, 75
hermitian metric, 43, 60
Hodge decomposition, 56, 57, 68 bundle, 68
Hodge diamond, 59
Hodge star operator, 48
holomorphic, 3, 4
holomorphic tangent bundle, 7, 31
holomorphic vector bundle, 28
morphism, 28
hyperplane line bundle, 32, 41
identity principle, 3
integrable, 7
inverse Lefschetz operator, 48, 69
kernel, 19
Kodaira embedding theorem, 46, 67, 75
Kähler class, 43
Kähler form, 43
Kähler identities, 49, 52, 54, 70
Laplacian, 48
Lefschetz operator, 48, 69
line bundle, 28
ample, 41
canonical, 31
tautological, 32
local defining equation, 34
maximum principle, 3
meromorphic function, 36
Mittag-Leffler problem, 11

Nakano identity, 70
normal frame, 69
order, 36
Picard group, 30
plurigenera, 79
presheaf, 17
projective manifold, 5, 41
pullback bundle, 30
refinement, 21
Riemann surface, 78
Riemannian metric, 43
section, 17, 30
Serre duality, 58
Serre vanishing theorem, 72
sheaf, 17
constant, 18
isomorphism, 17
locally free, 31
morphism, 17
quotient, 37
short exact sequence, 18
exponential, 18, 40
subseaf, 31
stalk, 18, 34
Stein manifold, 26
tautological line bundle, 32
thin, 34
trivialisation, 66
vector bundle, 28
very ample, 66


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note that $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\mathbb{C})$ is not abelian for $r>1$, and it is not immediately clear what the corresponding Čech cohomology should be. However, we'll restrict our attention to line bundles in this course.

